

# **Which primes are sums of two cubes?**

**Fernando Rodriguez Villegas  
Don Zagier**

Department of Mathematics  
Princeton University  
Princeton, NJ 08544  
USA

Max-Planck-Institut  
für Mathematik  
Gottfried-Claren-Str. 26  
53225 Bonn  
GERMANY



## Which primes are sums of two cubes?

FERNANDO RODRIGUEZ VILLEGAS AND DON ZAGIER

ABSTRACT. Let  $S_p$  be the “unknown” part of the  $L$ -series of the elliptic curve  $x^3 + y^3 = p$  at  $s = 1$ , so that conjecturally  $S_p = 0$  if  $p$  is a sum of two distinct cubes and equals the order of a Tate–Shafarevich group otherwise. The question of the title is then to determine whether  $S_p = 0$ . For  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{9}$  the answer depends only on  $p \pmod{9}$  and is well known. We give three different criteria for the remaining case. Our first formula represents  $S_p$  as the trace of a certain algebraic number (the value of a specific modular function at a CM point), the second represents  $S_p$  as the square of the trace of a similar number, and the third shows that  $S_p$  vanishes if and only if  $p \mid f_{2(p-1)/3}(0)$ , where  $\{f_n(t)\}_{n \geq 0}$  is a sequence of polynomials satisfying a simple recursion relation.

### 1. Introduction and results

A classical problem of Diophantine analysis is to recognize which numbers  $N$  are the sum of two rational cubes. For instance, 1 is not so represented (Fermat, Euler), whereas every prime of the form  $9k - 1$  conjecturally is (Sylvester). If we assume the Birch–Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture, then the question is equivalent to the vanishing at  $s = 1$  of the  $L$ -series of the elliptic curve  $E_N : X^3 + Y^3 = N$ .

We consider only the case when  $N = p$  is prime. If  $p \equiv 2, 3$  or  $5 \pmod{9}$ , then  $L(E_p, 1) \neq 0$ , so  $p$  should not be a sum of two cubes (except for  $1^3 + 1^3 = 2$ ). This is in fact true and follows either from a 3-descent argument (given already in the 19th century by Sylvester, Lucas and Pepin) or from the Coates–Wiles theorem. If  $p \equiv 4, 7$  or  $8 \pmod{9}$  then the functional equation forces  $L(E_p, 1)$  to vanish, so  $p$  should be a sum of two cubes, and for the first two of these three cases a proof of this has been announced by Noam Elkies. From now on we restrict to the remaining case  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ . Here the  $L$ -series may or may not vanish. The question is numerically decidable for any given prime, since

$$L(E_p, 1) = \frac{\sqrt{3} \Gamma(\frac{1}{3})^3}{2\pi \sqrt[3]{p}} S_p,$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 11F67, 11D85, 11G40.

©0000 American Mathematical Society  
0000-0000/00 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

where  $S_p$  is known to be an integer (conjecturally equal to 0 if  $E_p(\mathbf{Q}) \neq \{0\}$  and to the order of the Tate–Shafarevich group of  $E_p$  otherwise), but a table of these numbers, such as the one for  $p < 2000$  given at the end of this section, suggests no simple pattern. In this paper we will give three formulas for  $S_p$  and hence three conjectural answers to the question of the title. Most of the proofs rely on ideas similar to those in [5] and [7] and have been omitted or only sketched, but, to quote from Sylvester’s paper on the same subject [9], “I trust my readers will do me justice to believe that I am in possession of a strict demonstration of all that has been advanced without proof.” We do include a few short proofs which use ideas different from those in the two papers cited.

**First answer:** We associate to the prime  $p = 9k + 1$  an algebraic number  $\alpha_p$  of degree  $18k$ , defined as follows

$$\alpha_p = \frac{\sqrt[3]{p} \Theta(p\delta)}{54 \Theta(\delta)},$$

where  $\Theta(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,n \in \mathbf{Z}} e^{2\pi i(m^2 + mn + n^2)z}$  and  $\delta = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + 1/3\sqrt{-3})$ . (The value of  $\Theta(\delta)$ , by the way, is  $-3\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})^3/(2\pi)^2$ .) Then

$$S_p = \text{Tr}(\alpha_p),$$

where  $\text{Tr}$  denotes absolute trace. A more detailed statement is given in Theorem 1 below.

**Second answer:** This has the same form, but gives the *square root* of  $S_p$  as a trace (thus proving, in particular, that  $S_p$  is a square, as expected). Of course  $S_p$  has two square roots when nonzero. It turns out that they are canonically indexed by the two primes above  $p$  in  $K = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be one of these primes. Choosing  $\mathcal{P}$  is equivalent, via  $\mathcal{P} = (p, \frac{-r + \sqrt{-3}}{2})$ , to choosing an integer  $r \pmod{2p}$  with  $r^2 \equiv -3 \pmod{4p}$ . Let  $z_0 = (r + \sqrt{-3})/2$  and set

$$\beta_{\mathcal{P}} = \frac{\sqrt[6]{p} \eta(pz_0)}{\sqrt{\pm 12} \eta(z_0/p)},$$

where  $\eta(z) = e^{\pi iz/12} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - e^{2\pi inz})$  is Dedekind’s eta function, the sign is  $+1$  if  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $-1$  if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , and the correct choice of the 6<sup>th</sup> root of  $p$  will be explained later. Then  $\beta_{\mathcal{P}}$  is algebraic of degree  $6k$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$  and we have

$$S_p = [\text{Tr}(\beta_{\mathcal{P}})]^2, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tr}(\beta_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}}) = -\text{Tr}(\beta_{\mathcal{P}}).$$

A more detailed statement is given in Theorem 2 below.

**Third answer:** Define polynomials  $f_n(t)$  by  $f_0(t) = 1$ ,  $f_1(t) = t^2$  and

$$f_{n+1}(t) = (1 - t^3) f'_n(t) + (2n + 1) t^2 f_n(t) - n^2 t f_{n-1}(t) \quad (n \geq 1),$$

and let  $A_k = f_{3k}(0)$ . (It is trivial that  $f_n(0) = 0$  if 3 does not divide  $n$ .) Then

$$S_p \equiv (-3)^{\frac{p-10}{3}} \left(\frac{p-1}{3}\right)!^2 A_{2(p-1)/9} \pmod{p}.$$

This determines  $S_p$  since  $|S_p| < p/2$  as we shall prove in §5. In particular, we have

$$L(E_p, 1) = 0 \iff p | A_{2(p-1)/9}.$$

**Third answer (variant):** In fact, the  $A_k$ 's of the third answer (which are normalized central values of certain Hecke  $L$ -series) are always squares, and we can get their square roots as follows. Define polynomials  $g_n(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$  by  $g_0(t) = 1$ ,  $g_1(t) = \frac{3}{8}t^2$  and

$$g_{n+1}(t) = (1-t^3)g'_n(t) - (2n + \frac{3}{8})t^2g_n(t) - n(n - \frac{1}{2})tg_{n-1}(t) \quad (n \geq 1),$$

and let  $B_k = g_{3k}(0)$ . (Just as with  $f_n$ ,  $g_n(0) = 0$  if 3 does not divide  $n$ .) Then

$$A_{2k} = B_k^2, \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0$$

and in particular

$$L(E_p, 1) = 0 \iff p | B_{(p-1)/9},$$

and

$$\sqrt{S_p} \equiv \pm(\sqrt{-3})^{\frac{p-10}{3}} \left(\frac{p-1}{3}\right)! B_{(p-1)/9} \pmod{p}.$$

We conjecture that this formula is always true with the  $+$  sign if we interpret  $\sqrt{S_p}$  and  $\sqrt{-3} \pmod{p}$  as  $\text{Tr}(\beta_p)$  and  $r \pmod{p}$ , respectively (with  $r$ ,  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\beta_p$  as in the second answer), and have checked this for  $p < 2000$ .

We give a short table of values of the numbers  $B_k$ .

$k$	$B_k$
0	1
1	-2
2	-152
3	-6848
4	-8103296
5	22483912960
6	-8062284861440
7	196434444070666240
8	532650564250569441280
9	2039228675045199496806400
10	-5209573728611533514689740800

This also gives the first values of the numbers  $A_{2k} = B_k^2$ . The odd-index values  $A_{2k+1}$ , which are not needed for our "third answer," are also the squares of the constant terms of certain polynomials  $c_{3k+1}(t)$  satisfying a recursion (cf. Theorem 3 below, where a short table is given).

The numbers  $A_k$  and  $B_k$  have a different description in terms of generating functions:

$$F\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; \frac{2}{3}; x\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_k}{(3k)!} T^k$$

and

$$(1-x)^{\frac{1}{3}} F\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; \frac{2}{3}; x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_k}{(3k)!} \left(\frac{-T}{2}\right)^k,$$

where  $F = {}_2F_1$  is Gauss's hypergeometric function and

$$T = x \frac{F\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; \frac{4}{3}; x\right)^3}{F\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; \frac{2}{3}; x\right)^3}.$$

That the coefficients in the first of these hypergeometric expansions are the squares of the coefficients in the second is a surprising and beautiful identity, quite apart from the connection with  $L$ -series.

There are similar results for the number  $S_{p^2}$  corresponding to the elliptic curve  $E_{p^2} : x^3 + y^3 = p^2$ , namely

$$S_{p^2} = \text{Tr}(\gamma_p)^2, \quad \gamma_p = \frac{\zeta p^{\frac{1}{3}} \eta(pz_0)}{\sqrt{12} \eta(-z_0/p)},$$

for a certain root of unity  $\zeta$ , and

$$S_{p^2} = 0 \iff p \mid A_{(p-1)/9} \iff p \mid B_{2(p-1)/9}.$$

However in the rest of this note we will stay with  $S_p$ .

Using any of the "answers" given in this section, we can easily calculate  $S_p$  numerically. We give a table for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ ,  $p < 2000$ . In this range, the value of  $S_p$  is always 0, 1 or 4, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S_p = 0 : & \quad 19, 37, 127, 163, 271, 379, 397, 433, 523, 631, 829, 883, 919, \\ & \quad 937, 1063, 1171, 1459, 1531, 1567, 1621, 1657, 1801 \\ S_p = 1 : & \quad 73, 109, 181, 199, 307, 487, 541, 577, 613, 757, 811, 1009, \\ & \quad 1117, 1153, 1279, 1297, 1423, 1549, 1693, 1783 \\ S_p = 4 : & \quad 739, 991, 1747, 1873, 1999 \end{aligned}$$

A complete table of  $S_N$  for  $N < 1000$  is given in [10].

## 2. The formulas for $S_p$

Let  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbf{Z}[\omega] \subset K = \mathbf{Q}(\omega) = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ , where  $\omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$ ,  $\sqrt{-3} = 2\omega + 1$ , and embed  $K$  in  $\mathbf{C}$  via  $\omega \mapsto e^{2\pi i/3}$ . The elliptic curve  $E : x^3 + y^3 = 1$  has complex multiplication by  $\mathcal{O}$ . Its  $L$ -series is  $L(\psi, s)$ , where  $\psi$  is the Hecke character of  $K$  satisfying

$$\psi((\alpha)) = \alpha, \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathcal{O}, \alpha \equiv 1 \pmod{3}.$$

Let  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  be a prime. We consider the groups

$$\Delta = (\mathcal{O}/p\mathcal{O})^*/(\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})^* \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_0 = \Delta/\mathcal{O}^*,$$

which are cyclic of orders  $p-1$  and  $(p-1)/3$  respectively. We let  $H_{3p}$  ( $H_p$ ) be the ring class field modulo  $3p$  (modulo  $p$ ) of  $K$  and identify  $\Delta$  ( $\Delta_0$ ) with  $\text{Gal}(H_{3p}/K)$  ( $\text{Gal}(H_p/K)$ ) via the Artin map.

Let  $\chi : \Delta \rightarrow \langle \omega \rangle$  be the cubic character defined by

$$\chi(u) \equiv \left( \frac{\bar{u}}{u} \right)^{(p-1)/3} \pmod{p} \quad (u \in \mathcal{O}, (u, p) = 1).$$

Then  $L(\psi\chi, s)$  and  $L(\psi\chi^2, s)$  are the  $L$ -series of the curves  $E_p$  and  $E_{p^2}$ , respectively. The sign in their functional equation is  $+1$  if and only if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$  or, equivalently, if and only if  $\chi$  factors through  $\Delta_0$ .

The formulas for  $S_p$  that we will obtain involve linear combinations of values of certain modular forms on CM points in the upper-half plane corresponding to  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta_0$ . We need to introduce the following notation in order to do this explicitly.

1) Let  $\delta = (-1 - 1/3\sqrt{-3})/2 \in K \cap \mathcal{H}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  denotes the complex upper-half plane. As usual  $\eta$  will denote Dedekind's eta function. As a set of representatives for  $\Delta$  we take the numbers  $1$  and  $\delta - k$ , with  $k \in \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$  such that  $\delta - k$  is prime to  $p$  (hence excluding two values and bringing the total to  $p-1$ ).

Let  $\mu_p : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be given by

$$\mu_p(1) = \frac{p\Theta(p\delta)}{\Theta(\delta)}, \quad \mu_p(\delta - k) = \frac{\Theta(\frac{\delta-k}{p})}{\Theta(\delta)} \quad (k \in \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}, (\delta - k, p) = 1),$$

with  $\Theta(z)$  as in §1. The function  $\mu_p$  is well defined and its values are conjugate algebraic integers in  $H_{3p}/K$ .

Note that  $p^{\frac{1}{3}} \in H_{3p}$  and that  $p^{\frac{1}{3}} \in H_p$  if and only if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ . We define  $\kappa_p = p^{-\frac{2}{3}}\mu_p(1) = p^{\frac{1}{3}}\Theta(p\delta)/\Theta(\delta)$ . It belongs to  $H_{3p}$  and its conjugates over  $K$  are  $\{p^{-\frac{2}{3}}\bar{\chi}(u)\mu_p(u) : u \in \Delta\}$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$  be prime. With the above notation we have*

$$\text{Tr}_{H_{3p}/K}(\kappa_p) = p^{-\frac{2}{3}} \sum_{u \in \Delta} \bar{\chi}(u)\mu_p(u) = 27 S_p,$$

where  $S_p \in \mathbf{Z}$  is the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer number defined in the introduction.

2) We choose  $w$  a primitive cube of unity modulo  $p$ ; this corresponds, via  $\mathcal{P} = (w - \omega, p)$ , to choosing a prime  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\mathcal{O}$  above  $p$ . As a set of representatives for  $\Delta_0$  we take the numbers  $1$  and  $\omega - k$ , where  $k$  runs over  $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z} \setminus \{w, -1-w, 0, -1\} / \sim$ , and where  $\sim$ , defined by  $k \sim -1/(k+1) \sim -1-1/k$ , corresponds to orbits under multiplication by  $\omega$ . (The values of  $\omega - k$  for  $k = 0$  or  $-1$  represent the same class as  $1$  in  $\Delta_0$ ).

Let  $\lambda_{\mathcal{P}} : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be given by

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{P}}(\omega - k) = \left( \frac{\omega - k}{\mathcal{P}} \right) \zeta_{24}^{kp} \eta\left(\frac{\omega - k}{p}\right) / \eta(\omega) \quad (k \in \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}, k \neq w, -1 - w)$$

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{P}}(1) = \left(\frac{2}{p}\right) \epsilon_p \sqrt{p} \eta(\Gamma\omega) / \eta(\omega),$$

where  $\left(\frac{\omega - k}{\mathcal{P}}\right) = \left(\frac{w - k}{p}\right)$  is the quadratic symbol at  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\zeta_{24} = e^{2\pi i/24}$ ,  $\sqrt{p} > 0$ , and  $\epsilon_p = 1, i$  if  $p \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$ . The function  $\lambda_{\mathcal{P}}$  is well defined and its values are conjugate units in an abelian extension of  $K$ , which is quadratic over  $H_p$ .

Let  $r$  be a solution of  $r^2 \equiv -3 \pmod{4p}$  such that  $r \equiv 2w + 1 \pmod{p}$ , and let  $z_0 = (r + \sqrt{-3})/2$ . We define  $\rho_{\mathcal{P}} = \left(\frac{r}{p}\right) \zeta_{24}^{-p(r+1)/2} \eta(z_0/p) / \eta(\omega)$ . It is not hard to check that  $\rho_{\mathcal{P}}^2 \in \mathcal{O}$  generates  $\mathcal{P}$ . Finally, we let  $\xi_{\mathcal{P}} = \zeta p^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_{\mathcal{P}}^{-1} \lambda_{\mathcal{P}}(1)$ , where  $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}^*$  is such that  $\zeta \rho_{\mathcal{P}}^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ ; it belongs to  $H_p$  and its conjugates over  $K$  are  $\{\zeta p^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_{\mathcal{P}}^{-1} \chi(u) \lambda_{\mathcal{P}}(u) : u \in \Delta_0\}$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$  be prime. With the above notation we have*

$$\text{Tr}_{H_p/K}(\xi_{\mathcal{P}}) = p^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho_{\mathcal{P}}^{-1} \sum_{u \in \Delta_0} \chi(u) \lambda_{\mathcal{P}}(u) = \sqrt{-3} R_{\mathcal{P}},$$

with  $R_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathbf{Z}$ . The number  $R_{\mathcal{P}}$  satisfies

$$S_p = R_{\mathcal{P}}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}} = -R_{\mathcal{P}},$$

where  $S_p$  is the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer number defined in the introduction.

**Remarks. 1.** Theorem 2 holds in more generality. For any character  $\phi$  of  $\Delta$  let

$$R_{\mathcal{P}}(\phi) = \sum_{u \in \Delta} \phi(u) \lambda_{\mathcal{P}}(u)$$

(a Lagrange resolvent). Then for  $\phi$  of odd order,  $R_{\mathcal{P}}(\phi)^2$  is essentially the algebraic part of  $L(\psi\phi^{-2}, 1)$ .

**2.** It is possible to define, in a similar way, integers  $R_{\mathcal{A}}$  associated to any cube-free ideal  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{O}$  such that  $R_{\mathcal{A}}^2 = S_{\mathcal{N}}$  is the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer number of the elliptic curve  $x^3 + y^3 = N$ , where  $N = \mathbf{N}(\mathcal{A})$ . One might hope that these numbers are the Fourier coefficients of a modular form of some sort.

Theorem 1 is proved by writing the special value  $L(\psi\chi, 1)$  as a linear combination of values of an Eisenstein series as in [4] and using the Shimura reciprocity law. One then deduces Theorem 2 from a variant of the factorization formula of [7] and a careful chasing of  $24^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity. Theorems 1 and 2 are easily seen to be equivalent to the analogous statements given in the introduction.

### 3. Congruences

Our third answer to the question when  $S_p$  vanishes was based on a congruence between  $S_p$  (which is, up to a factor, the value of a certain  $L$ -function at  $s = 1$ ) and another number  $A_k$  which, as we will discuss in a moment, is (again up to a factor) a special value of an  $L$ -function independent of  $p$  at some other value of  $s$ . Congruences of this sort go back to Cauchy, Kummer, and Hurwitz. For example [1], the class number  $h(-p)$  of the quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})$  for a prime  $p > 3$ ,  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  satisfies  $h(-p) \equiv -2B_{(p+1)/2} \pmod{p}$ , where (here only!)  $B_n$  denotes the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Bernoulli number. One way to interpret this is to say that the two Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{n}{p}\right) n^{-s} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{\frac{p-1}{2}} n^{-s},$$

which are congruent term by term modulo  $p$ , also have congruent values at  $s = 0$ . Turning this fact into a heuristic argument, we would expect that (suitable algebraic versions of) the values at  $s = 0$  of the Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \{0\}} \chi(\alpha) \frac{1}{\psi(\alpha) \mathbf{N}(\alpha)^s} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \{0\}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\bar{\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{3}} \frac{1}{\psi(\alpha) \mathbf{N}(\alpha)^s},$$

which are easily seen to equal  $L(\psi\chi, 1)$  and  $L(\psi^{2k-1}, k)$  respectively, with  $k-1 = 2(p-1)/3$ , should also be congruent modulo  $p$  (see §2 for notations). This is indeed the case, at least if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , where it follows from the existence of a  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function interpolating special values of Hecke  $L$ -series due to Manin-Vishik and Katz. We now make this precise.

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  define the algebraic part of  $L(\psi^{2k-1}, k)$  to be

$$L_k = 3\nu \left(\frac{2\pi}{3\sqrt{3}\Omega^2}\right)^{k-1} \frac{(k-1)!}{\Omega} L(\psi^{2k-1}, k),$$

where  $\nu = 2$  if  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$  and  $\nu = 1$  otherwise, and  $\Omega = \Gamma(1/3)^3 / (2\pi\sqrt{3}) = 1.766638 \dots$  is the fundamental real period of the elliptic curve  $x^3 + y^3 = 1$ . Then using the formulas of [2] we find that

$$S_p \equiv (-3)^{\frac{p-10}{3}} \left(\frac{p-1}{3}\right)!^2 L_{(2p+1)/3} \pmod{p}.$$

This corresponds to the "third answer" of the introduction because  $L_{3n+1} = A_n$  for all  $n$ , as we will now discuss.

### 4. Recursions

By the methods of [7] one can obtain formulas for  $L(\psi^{2k-1}, k)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , in terms of derivatives of modular forms and then deduce recursive formulas giving the algebraic parts  $L_k$ , and similarly for their square roots. A typical formula for the values is the identity  $L_{3n+1} = A_n$  just mentioned, but since the results

for the square roots are more interesting and give more precise information, we shall state the full results only there (but see Remark 2 after Theorem 4 below).

The formulas for the square roots of  $L_k$  can be divided naturally into three branches: (a)  $k \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ , (b)  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ , and (c)  $k \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ . (For other values of  $k$  the functional equation forces  $L(\psi^{2k-1}, k)$  to be zero.) For each branch there is a formula for  $\sqrt{L_k}$  in terms of a higher non-holomorphic derivative of a fixed half-integral weight modular form at a fixed CM point (e.g. for  $\sqrt{L_{6n+1}}$  it is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  non-holomorphic derivative of  $\eta(z)$  at the point  $z = \omega$ ), and this in turn leads to the following description of the square roots as the constant terms of a recursively defined sequence of polynomials.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $a_n(t), b_n(t), c_n(t)$  be the polynomials defined by the recursions*

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n+1}(t) &= -(1 - 8t^3) a'_n(t) - (16n + 3) t^2 a_n(t) - 4n(2n - 1) t a_{n-1}(t), \\ b_{n+1}(t) &= (1 - 8t^3) b'_n(t) + (16n + 9) t^2 b_n(t) - 4n(2n + 1) t b_{n-1}(t), \\ c_{n+1}(t) &= (1 - 8t^3) c'_n(t) + (16n + 9) t^2 c_n(t) - 4n(2n + 1) t c_{n-1}(t) \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \geq 1$ , with initial conditions

$$a_0(t) = 1, a_1(t) = -3t^2, b_0(t) = 1, b_1(t) = 9t^2, c_0(t) = t, c_1(t) = 1 + t^3.$$

Then for all  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$

$$L_{6n+1} = a_{3n}(0)^2, \quad L_{6n+2} = b_{3n}(0)^2, \quad L_{6n+4} = c_{3n+1}(0)^2,$$

while  $a_m(0) = b_m(0) = c_{m+1}(0) = 0$  for  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

We give a short table. Note that  $a_{3n}(0)$  is the  $B_n$  of the introduction.

$n$	$a_{3n}(0)$	$b_{3n}(0)$	$c_{3n+1}(0)$
0	1	1	1
1	-2	6	-8
2	-152	-216	1240
3	-6848	-119232	-621440
4	-8103296	24105600	-51596800

**Remark.** The constant terms of the polynomials  $*_n(t)$  ( $*$  =  $a, b$  or  $c$ ) satisfy the congruences  $*_{n+(p-1)/2}(0) \equiv (\pi + \bar{\pi}) *_n(0) \pmod{p}$  for all  $n > 1$  and all  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  prime, where  $\pi$  denotes a generator of a prime in  $K$  above  $p$  with  $\pi \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . The corresponding congruence for the squares of the  $*_n(0)$  (i.e., for the numbers  $L_k$ ) was known, and the possibility of choosing the signs in such a way that this congruence descends to the square roots for all  $p$  simultaneously had been conjectured by Koblitz [3]. In fact, Koblitz conjectured the existence of a  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function interpolating suitable modifications of the presumed square roots. This has been proved by Sofer [8] in other similar cases (see also [6]).

There is another way of obtaining the numbers  $a_n(0)$ ,  $b_n(0)$  and  $c_n(0)$  directly in terms of generating series.

**THEOREM 4.** (1) Let  $u(\tau) = F(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}; \frac{2}{3}; \tau^3)$  and  $v(\tau) = \tau F(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}; \frac{4}{3}; \tau^3)$ , where  $F = {}_2F_1$  is Gauss's hypergeometric function. Let

$$h_a(\tau) = u(\tau)^{1/2}(1 - \tau^3)^{1/24}, \quad h_b(\tau) = h_a(\tau)^3, \quad h_c(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}\tau h_b(\tau)$$

and define

$$H_a(x) = h_a(\tau), \quad H_b(x) = h_b(\tau), \quad H_c(x) = h_c(\tau),$$

where  $x = v/2u = \frac{1}{2}(\tau + \frac{1}{6}\tau^4 + \frac{103}{1260}\tau^7 + \frac{169}{3240}\tau^{10} + \dots)$ . Then

$$H_a(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n a_n(0) \frac{x^n}{n!}, \quad H_b(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n(0) \frac{x^n}{n!}, \quad H_c(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n(0) \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

(2) The series  $H_a(x)$  is the expansion of  $\eta(z)$  about  $\omega = (-1 + \sqrt{-3})/2$  in the following sense:

$$(1-x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \eta\left(\frac{\omega - \bar{\omega}x}{1-x}\right) = c_1 H_a(c_2 x), \quad (|x| < 1),$$

where  $c_1 = \eta(\omega) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} (3^{\frac{1}{2}} \Omega / 2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $c_2 = -3\sqrt{3} \Omega^2 / 4\pi$  with  $\Omega$  as in §2.

**Remarks. 1.** As a corollary of the identity  $H_b(x) = H_a(x)^3$  we obtain somewhat surprising polynomial relations between the square roots of the  $L$ -values  $\{L_{6n+1}\}$  and  $\{L_{6n+2}\}$ . Analogous identities also hold for other CM curves, linking  $L$ -values of one curve to  $L$ -values of its twist by  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})/\mathbb{Q}$ ; ultimately they boil down to classical Jacobi identity  $\theta'(0) = 2\pi\eta^3$ .

**2.** We briefly state here the power series expansions involving the  $L_k$  themselves. With the notation of Theorem 4 let  $G_0(x) = u(\tau)$  and  $G_1(x) = \tau u(\tau)$ . Then  $2^{-n}G_0^{(n)}(0)$  equals  $a_{n/2}(0)^2$  if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ ,  $c_{(n-1)/2}(0)^2$  if  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ , and 0 otherwise;  $2^{-n}G_1^{(n)}(0)$  equals  $b_{(n-1)/2}(0)^2$  if  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$  and zero otherwise. We may even separate the two branches (a) and (b) in  $G_0$  by considering the series  $u(\tau)(1 \pm (1 - \tau^3)^{\frac{1}{2}})$ . It is presumably possible to prove directly that the series  $H_a, H_b, H_c, G_0$  and  $G_1$  have their Taylor coefficients related as indicated, but we have not done so.

**Proof (sketch).** Part 1) of the theorem follows from part 2) and the interpretation of the constant terms  $a_n(0)$ ,  $b_n(0)$ ,  $c_n(0)$  as non-holomorphic derivatives of holomorphic modular forms, together with the general fact that the expansion of any modular form as a power series in a modular function satisfies a linear differential equation. (Classical examples of this latter assertion are the expansion of  $\eta^2$  or  $\sqrt[3]{E_4}$  as a power series in  $1/j$  or of  $\theta^2$  as a power series in  $\lambda$ , all of which involve hypergeometric functions.) It can also be proved directly from the recursive definitions of the polynomials  $a_n$ ,  $b_n$  and  $c_n$  without any a priori knowledge that modular forms are involved.

Part 2) is a consequence of the following simple result about non-holomorphic derivatives. We recall their definition. For any  $k \in \mathbf{R}$  we let  $\vartheta_k$  be the differential operator  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{k}{2iy}$  acting on functions  $f$  of  $z = x + iy \in \mathcal{H}$ . It has the property

$$(\vartheta|_{k+2})\gamma = \vartheta(f|_k\gamma), \quad (\gamma \in Sl_2(\mathbf{R})),$$

where  $|_k$  has the usual meaning. In particular, if  $f$  is a modular form of weight  $k$  on some group  $\Gamma \subset Sl_2(\mathbf{R})$ , then  $\vartheta_k^n f$ , where  $\vartheta_k^n = \vartheta_{k+2n} \circ \cdots \circ \vartheta_{k+2} \circ \vartheta_k$ , is a (non-holomorphic) modular form of weight  $k + 2n$  on the same group.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be an analytic function and  $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$  a point in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then the following expansion holds*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \vartheta_k^n f(z_0) \frac{(2iy_0 w)^n}{n!} = (1-w)^{-k} f\left(\frac{z_0 - \bar{z}_0 w}{1-w}\right) \quad (|w| < 1).$$

**Proof.** It is easy to check by induction that

$$\frac{1}{n!} \vartheta_k^n f(z_0) = \sum_{j+l=n} \binom{j+l+k-1}{l} \left(\frac{1}{2iy_0}\right)^l \frac{f^{(j)}(z_0)}{j!}.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \vartheta_k^n f(z_0) \frac{(2iy_0 w)^n}{n!} = \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{f^{(j)}(z_0)}{j!} (2iy_0 w)^j \sum_{l \geq 0} \binom{j+l+k-1}{l} w^l,$$

and our claim follows from Taylor's and the binomial theorems.

**Remark.** Notice that the substitution  $\phi(t) = (z_0 - \bar{z}_0 t)/(1-t)$  is an isomorphism from  $\mathcal{H}$  to the unit disk sending  $z_0$  to 0, with inverse  $\phi^{-1}(z) = (z - z_0)/(z - \bar{z}_0)$ . The proposition then says that the non-holomorphic derivatives  $\vartheta_k^n f(z_0)$  are essentially the Taylor coefficients of  $f|_k \phi$  at  $t = 0$ .

## 5. Estimates of $S_p$

The last two criteria for the vanishing of  $S_p$  described in the introduction are given in terms of the vanishing of  $S_p \pmod{p}$ . That these two statements are in fact equivalent is a consequence of the following general estimate.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $E/\mathbf{Q}$  be a modular elliptic curve of conductor  $N$ . Then*

$$|L(E, 1)| < (4N)^{1/4} \left( \log \frac{\sqrt{N}}{8\pi} + \gamma \right) + c_0,$$

where  $\gamma = 0.577\dots$  is Euler's constant and  $c_0 = \zeta(\frac{1}{2})^2 = 2.13263\dots$

**Proof.** Because of the universal estimate  $|a_n| \leq \sqrt{n} \sigma_0(n)$  for the coefficients of  $L(E, s)$  we have

$$|L(E, 1)| = \left| (1+w) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} e^{-2\pi n/\sqrt{N}} \right| \leq 2F\left(\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{N}}\right),$$

where  $w = \pm 1$  is the sign in the functional equation and  $F(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_0(n)}{\sqrt{n}} e^{-nx}$ .

Using the fact that the Mellin transform of  $F(x)$  is  $\Gamma(s)\zeta(s + \frac{1}{2})^2$ , we find the asymptotic expansion

$$F(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{x}} \left( \log \frac{1}{4x} + \gamma \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^n \quad (x \searrow 0)$$

with  $c_0 = \zeta(\frac{1}{2})^2$  and  $c_1 = -\zeta(-\frac{1}{2}) < 0$ . Some numerical work shows that  $\sum_{n \geq 1} c_n x^n < 0$  for all  $x > 0$ . (For the proposition, we need this only for  $x \leq 2\pi/\sqrt{N}$ .)

Applying this to the curve  $E_p$ , whose conductor is  $27p^2$ , we find after a simple calculation the following estimate.

**COROLLARY.** For  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$  we have

$$|S_p| < 0.61 p^{5/6} \log p.$$

In particular,  $S_p$  is determined by its value modulo  $p$  and

$$S_p \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \iff S_p = 0.$$

**Remark.** We can also estimate  $S_p$  using Theorems 1 or 2. For instance, from Theorem 2 and the estimate  $\eta\left(\frac{\omega-k}{p}\right) = O(p^{1/4})$ , we obtain  $R_p = O(p^{2/3})$ , so that  $R_p$ , and hence  $S_p$ , is determined by its value modulo  $p$ . The corresponding estimate using Theorem 1 is more difficult, because  $\Theta$  is not a cusp form, but seems to lead to the estimate  $S_p = O(p^{5/6+\epsilon})$ , essentially the same as in the Corollary above. Note that to determine  $S_p$  from its value modulo  $p$  we need only the weaker estimate  $S_p < p^2/4$ , since we know that  $S_p$  is a square.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Hurwitz, *Klassenzahl binärer quadratischer Formen von negativer Determinante*, Acta Math. **19** (1895), 351–384. Mathematische Werke, Bd. II, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel-Stuttgart, 1963, pp. 208–235.
2. N. M. Katz, *p-adic interpolation of real analytic Eisenstein series*, Ann. of Math. **104** (1976), 459–571.
3. N. Koblitz, *p-adic congruences and modular forms of half-integral weight*, Math. Ann. **274** (1986), 191–220.
4. F. Rodriguez Villegas, *On the square root of special values of certain L-series*, Invent. math. **106** (1991), 549–573.
5. ———, *Square root formulas for central values of Hecke L-series II*, Duke Math. J. **72** (1993), 431–440.

6. ———, *On the Taylor coefficients of theta functions of CM elliptic curves*, Arithmetic Geometry (N. Childress and J.W. Jones, eds.), Contemporary Mathematics 174, AMS, 1994.
7. F. Rodriguez Villegas and D. Zagier, *Square roots of central values of Hecke L-series*, Advances in Number Theory, (F. Q. Gouvêa and N. Yui, eds.), Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1993, pp. 81–99.
8. A. Sofer, *p-adic interpolation of square roots of central values of Hecke L-functions*, Ph.D. Thesis, The Ohio State University, 1993.
9. S. Sylvester, *On the equation in numbers  $Ax^3 + By^3 + Cz^3 = Dxyz$ , and its associate system of equations*, Philosophical Magazine 31 (1847), 293–296. Mathematical Papers, Vol. 1, Chelsea, New York, 1973, pp. 110–113.
10. D. Zagier and G. Kramarz, *Numerical investigations related to the L-series of certain elliptic curves*, J. Ind. Math. Soc. 52 (1987), 51–69.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NJ 08544, USA  
E-mail address: villegas@math.princeton.edu

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, G.-CLAREN-STR. 26, 53225 BONN, GERMANY  
E-mail address: zagier@mpim-bonn.mpg.de