## A COUNTEREXAMPLE IN 3-SPACE TO A CONJECTURE OF H. HOPF

Henry C. Wente Department of Mathematics The University of Toledo Toledo, Ohio 43606, U. S. A.

In this article we produce a counterexample to the following conjecture of H. Hopf. We shall carefully state the theorems involved in the construction and also provide a geometric description (with suggestive sketches) of the surfaces giving the counterexample. An expanded version complete with proofs is to appear in a paper of the author [8]. <u>Conjecture of Heinz Hopf</u>: If  $\Sigma$  is an immersion of an oriented closed hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with constant mean curvature  $H \neq 0$ , then the hypersurface is the standard embedded (n-1)-sphere.

If the immersed surface is known to be embedded then a well-known result of A. D. Alexandroff [1] asserts that the conjecture is true. H. Hopf himself [4] showed that if  $\Sigma$  is an immersion of  $S^2$  into  $R^3$  with constant mean curvature then the conjecture is still true. Recently Wu-Yi Hsiang [5] produced an immersion of  $S^3$  into  $R^4$  with constant mean curvature which is not isometric to the standard sphere. However, his construction does not work in the classical dimension (=3) and the conjecture has remained open in this case. We have the following.

<u>Counterexample Theorem</u>: There exist closed immersed surfaces of genus one in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with constant mean curvature. (In fact, we exhibit a countably infinite number of isometrically distinct examples.)

We shall exhibit the surface by producing a conformal mapping of the plane  $R^2$  into  $R^3$  with constant mean curvature which is doubly periodic with respect to a rectangle in the plane. Let w = (u,v) = u + iv represent a typical point in  $R^2 = C$  while  $\bar{x} = (x,y,z)$  denotes a point in  $R^3$  so that our immersion is given by a function  $\bar{x}(u,v)$ . We let

$$d\bar{x} \cdot d\bar{x} = ds^2 = E (du^2 + dv^2) = e^{2\omega} (du^2 + dv^2)$$
 (1a)

$$-d\bar{\mathbf{x}} \cdot d\bar{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = Ldu^2 + 2Mdudv + Ndv^2$$
(1b)

be the first and second fundamental forms for the surface. We shall set the mean curvature  $H = \frac{1}{2}$ . The Gauss and Codazzi-Mainardi equations in this case become (see [4] for details)

$$\Delta \omega$$
 + Ke<sup>2 $\omega$</sup>  = 0 , K = Gauss curvature = (LN - M<sup>2</sup>)/E<sup>2</sup> (2a)

$$\Phi(w) = (L - N)/2 - iM$$
 is a complex analytic function. (2b)

Now suppose that  $\omega(u,v)$  is a solution to the differential equation

$$\Delta \omega + \sinh \omega \cosh \omega = 0 . \tag{3}$$

If we set  $E = e^{2\omega}$ ,  $L = e^{\omega} \sinh \omega$ , M = 0, and  $N = e^{\omega} \cosh \omega$ , then it follows that the Gauss and Codazzi-Mainardi equations are satisfied and by a theorem of Bonnet the system can be integrated to yield a surface  $\bar{x}(u,v)$ , unique up to a Euclidean motion in  $R^3$ , having the given fundamental forms. The equations to be integrated are

$$\bar{x}_{uu} = \omega_{u} \bar{x}_{u} - \omega_{v} \bar{x}_{v} + L\bar{\xi}$$

$$\bar{x}_{uv} = \omega_{v} \bar{x}_{u} + \omega_{u} \bar{x}_{v} + M\bar{\xi}$$

$$\bar{x}_{vv} = -\omega_{u} \bar{x}_{u} + \omega_{v} \bar{x}_{v} + N\bar{\xi}$$

$$\bar{\xi}_{u} = -k_{1} \bar{x}_{u}$$

$$\bar{\xi}_{v} = -k_{2} \bar{x}_{v}$$

$$(4)$$

Here  $k_1 = L/E = e^{-\omega} \sinh \omega$ ,  $k_2 = e^{-\omega} \cosh \omega$  so we see that the lines of curvature correspond to lines parallel to the coordinate axes in  $R^2$ . Furthermore, the surface is free of umbilic points.

If  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})$  is to be a doubly periodic mapping then so must  $\omega(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})$ . However the converse need not be true. Suppose that  $\omega(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})$  is a positive solution to the differential equation (3) on a rectangular domain  $\Omega_{AB}$  lying in the first quadrant with two of its sides on the coordinate axes and the vertex opposite the origin at (A,B). Suppose also that the solution  $\omega(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})$  vanishes on the boundary of the rectangle. Following the argument used in [3], one can show that  $\omega(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})$  satisfies the following symmetry properties.

- a)  $\omega(u,v)$  is symmetric about the lines u = A/2 and v = B/2. (5)
- b) For a fixed v, 0 < v < B,  $\omega(u,v)$  is an increasing function of u,  $0 \le u \le A/2$ . For a fixed u, 0 < u < A,  $\omega(u,v)$  is an increasing function of v,  $0 \le v \le B/2$ .
- c)  $\omega_u(u,0)$  is strictly increasing for  $0 \le u \le A/2$ .  $\omega_u(0,v)$  is strictly increasing for  $0 \le v \le B/2$ .

Furthermore,  $\omega(u,v)$  can be extended as a solution of the differential equation (3) on all of  $R^2$  by odd reflections across the grid lines u = mA, v = nB (m, n integers).

Theorem 2: Suppose  $\omega(u,v)$  is a solution to the differential equation (3) on  $R^2$  which is positive on the fundamental rectangle  $\Omega_{AB}$  , vanishing on the boundary and satisfying the properties (5). The mapping  $ar{\mathbf{x}}(\mathtt{u},\mathtt{v})$  obtained by integrating the system (4) is an immersed surface of constant mean curvature  $H = \frac{1}{2}$  and satisfying the following symmetry properties. (6)

a) The curve  $\bar{x}((m + \frac{1}{2})A, v)$  lies in a normal plane  $\pi_m$  with  $\bar{x}_u$  as a normal vector to  $\pi_m$ . If  $R_m$  is the reflection map about  $\pi_m$  in  $R^3$  then  $\bar{x}((m + \frac{1}{2})A + u, v) = R_m \mathbf{o} \, \bar{x}((m + \frac{1}{2})A - u, v)$ .

b) The curve  $\bar{x}(u, (n + \frac{1}{2})B)$  lies in a normal plane  $\Omega_n$  with  $\bar{x}_v$  as a normal vector to  $\Omega_n$ . If  $R'_n$  is the reflection map about  $\Omega_n$ in  $R^3$  then  $\bar{x}(u, (n + \frac{1}{2})B + v) = R'_n \circ \bar{x}(u, (n + \frac{1}{2})B - v)$ . Each  $\Omega_n$  is orthogonal to each  $\Pi_m$  .

c) The curve  $\bar{x}(u,0)$  is a planar curve lying in a plane  $\Gamma_0$  which is a tangent plane to the surface at each point. This curve intersects each plane  $I_m$  orthogonally.  $\bar{x}_{ij}(u,0)$  is an even function of u. This allows us to conclude that all of the planes  $\pi_m$  are parallel.

d) The curve  $\bar{x}(0,v)$  satisfies the condition  $(\bar{x} + \bar{\xi})(0,v) = \bar{c}_{0}$ a constant vector. Therefore  $\bar{x}(0,v)$  lies on a sphere  $S(\bar{c}_0,1)$  with center  $\bar{c}_{o}$  and radius one. Similarly  $\bar{x}(kA,v)$  lies on a sphere  $S(\overline{c}_{k}, 1)$ . The points  $\overline{c}_{k}$  lie in every plane  $\Omega_{n}$ . e)  $\overline{x}(u + 2A, v) = \overline{x}(u, v) + \overline{b}$  where  $\overline{b} = \overline{c}_{2} - \overline{c}_{0}$  is a vector nor-

mal to the planes  $\Pi_m$  carrying  $\Pi_0$  to  $\Pi_2$ .

f)  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}+2\mathbf{B}) = \Theta \ \bar{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})$  where  $\Theta$  is a rotation from  $\Omega_0$  to  $\Omega_2$ about their line of intersection, 1.

The surface will close up if we can select the rectangle  $\Omega_{AB}$  so that the translation  $\overline{b} = \overline{0}$  (i.e. all the planes  $\Pi_m$  are identical) and so that the rotation angle  $\Theta$  is a rational multiple of  $2\pi$ . We use a continuity argument to show that this is possible. The procedure is as follows. Map (via a homothety)all rectangles of similar shape onto a representative rectangle which we select by the standard Schwartz-Christoffel mapping of rectangles onto the unit disk.



Figure 1: The Fundamental Domain.

We have the following identities satisfied by the various functions defined on the domains pictured in Figure 1.

a) On 
$$\Omega(\alpha, \lambda)$$
.  
 $\Delta \omega + \sinh \omega \cosh \omega = 0$   
 $\Delta \sigma + \sinh \sigma = 0$  where  $\sigma = 2\omega$ .  
b) On  $\Omega(\alpha)$ .  
 $\Delta W + 2\lambda \sinh W \cosh W = 0$  where  $W = \omega \circ \Phi$ .  
 $\Delta \Sigma + 2\lambda \sinh \Sigma = 0$  where  $\Sigma = 2W$ .  
c) On the disk D.  
 $\Delta \Psi + \lambda |f'(z, \alpha)|^2 (e^{\Psi} - e^{-\Psi}) = 0$ , where  $\Psi = \Sigma \circ f$ .  
 $W = f(z, \alpha) = \int_0^Z (t^4 + 2(\cos 2\alpha)t^2 + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt$ 

The proof of the existence of positive solutions to the system (7c) on D which vanish on the boundary (and such that small values for  $\lambda$  correspond to large solutions  $\Psi$ ) is based on a method developed by V.K. Weston [7] and R.L. Moseley [6].

<u>Theorem 3</u>: There exists an open set  $O \subset (\alpha, \lambda)$ -plane where for each  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  with  $0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \pi/2$  there exists  $\tilde{\lambda} = \tilde{\lambda}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) > 0$  so that  $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2] \ge (0, \tilde{\lambda}] \subset 0$ , and a mapping from O to  $C(\bar{D})$  denoted by  $\Psi(z, \alpha, \lambda)$  such that

a)  $\Sigma(\mathbf{w},\alpha,\lambda) = \Psi(g(\mathbf{w},\alpha),\alpha,\lambda)$  is a positive solution to (7b) which vanishes on the boundary.

b) The functions  $\Sigma$ ,  $\Sigma_{u}$ ,  $\Sigma_{v}$  depend continuously on  $(\alpha, \lambda)$  down to  $\lambda = 0$  with  $\Sigma(w, \alpha, 0) = \Sigma_{o}(w, \alpha) = 4 \log(1/|g(w, \alpha)|)$ .

c) For  $\lambda > 0$  the mapping  $(\alpha, \lambda) \longrightarrow \Psi(z, \alpha, \lambda)$  is a continouosly differentiable mapping of 0 into  $C(\overline{D})$ .

<u>Remark on the proof</u>: One first constructs a good approximate solution  $U_{o}(z,\lambda)$  with the correct asymtotic limit as  $\lambda$  approaches 0 by using the Liouville form of the exact solution to the differential equation  $\Delta V + \lambda e^{V} = 0$ , namely  $\lambda e^{V} = |F'(z)|^{2}/(1 + |F(z)|^{2})^{2}$  where F(z) is a complex analytic function with at most simple zeros and poles. Then one applies a modified Newton iteration scheme, starting with  $U_{o}(z,\lambda)$  using the appropriate integral operator, and shows that the resulting sequence converges in  $C(\bar{D})$  to the desired solution.

We want to measure the distance between the parallel planes  $\Pi_0$ and  $\Pi_1$  and wish to show that for certain  $(\alpha, \lambda)$  the distance is zero. It is better to look at the surfaces  $\overline{Y}(w, \alpha, \lambda) = \overline{x} \circ \Phi(w, \alpha, \lambda) / \sqrt{2\lambda}$  defined relative to the fundamental domain  $\Omega(\alpha)$  and to measure the distance between the parallel planes  $\pi'_0$  and  $\pi'_1$  which correspond to the mapping  $\bar{y}$ . We do this by looking at the curve  $\bar{y}(u,0,\alpha,\lambda)$ , a planar curve which cuts through the planes  $\pi'_m$  orthogonally and has the symmetry indicated in Figure 2.



Figure 2:Measuring the Distance between the Parallel Planes  $I_0'$  and  $I_1'$ 

The functions  $\overline{y}(u.v,\alpha,\lambda)$  are conformal immersions into  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with constant mean curvature  $H = \sqrt{2\lambda}$ , so that as  $\lambda$  approaches 0 the mean curvature approaches 0 and the mapping tends to a planar map. The functions  $\overline{y}$  satisfy a system just like (4) with  $\omega$  replaced by  $W = \Sigma/2$ , L is replaced by  $\widetilde{L} = \sqrt{2\lambda} L$  and so on. Since by Theorem 3b the function  $W(u,v,\alpha,\lambda)$  approaches  $W(u,v,\alpha,0) = 2 \log(1/|g(w,\alpha)|)$  as  $\lambda$  approaches 0, the curve  $\overline{y}(u,0,\alpha,\lambda)$  approaches a limit curve  $\overline{y}(u,0,\alpha,0)$  as  $\lambda$  approaches 0. It follows that the distance function  $S = S(\alpha,\lambda)$ , as indicated in Figure 2, is continuous down to  $\lambda = 0$  and differentiable if  $\lambda$  is positive. Since  $W(u,v,\alpha,0)$  is known explicitly one can calculate  $S(\alpha,0)$ , obtaining

$$S(\alpha,0) = \int_0^\beta (\cos 2\theta / (2\cos 2\theta - 2\cos 2\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}}) d\theta , \beta = (\pi/2) - \alpha.$$

We immediately have the following conclusions.

- a)  $S(\alpha,0)$  is strictly increasing for  $0 < \alpha < \pi/2$ .
- b)  $S(\alpha, 0)$  approaches  $-\infty$  as  $\alpha$  approaches 0.

c)  $S(\alpha, 0)$  is positive for  $\alpha$  greater than  $\pi/4$ .

It follows that there is exactly one value  $\alpha^*$ ,  $0 < \alpha^* < \pi/4$ , for which  $S(\alpha^*, 0) = 0$ . We have the following picture (see Figure 3). There is a small rectangle  $[\alpha_1, \alpha_2] \times [0, \tilde{\lambda}]$  with  $S(\alpha_1, \lambda)$  negative,  $S(\alpha_2, \lambda)$  positive, and  $S(\alpha^*, 0) = 0$ . There is a connected set X included in this small rectangle on which S vanishes and which separates the left side of the rectangle from the right side. In particular  $(\alpha^*, 0)$  is in the set X and every line  $\lambda$  = constant slices into X.



Figure 3: The Set S=0: All the Planes  $\Pi_m$  are Identical.

Now we measure the rotation angle between the planes  $\Omega_0$  and  $\Omega_1$ by looking at the image of the curve  $\bar{y}(0,v,\alpha,\lambda)$ ,  $B(\alpha)/2 < v < 3B(\alpha)/2$ . From Theorem 2d it follows that this curve lies on the sphere with center  $\tilde{c}_0$  and radius  $(2\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , connecting the planes  $\Omega_0$  to  $\Omega_1$ and intersecting them orthogonally. Let  $T(\alpha,\lambda)$  be the distance between these planes as measured on a great circle of the sphere whose radius is  $(2\lambda)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . By repeating the calculation used to compute  $S(\alpha,\lambda)$ , one finds that for small  $\lambda$ , and  $\alpha$  less than  $\pi/4$ ,  $T(\alpha,\lambda)$  is positive down to the limit  $\lambda = 0$  with the expression for  $T(\alpha,0)$  being similar to that for  $S(\alpha,0)$ . However, for the angle function  $\Theta(\alpha,\lambda)$  we have the identity  $\Theta(\alpha,\lambda) = (2\lambda)^{\frac{1}{2}} T(\alpha,\lambda)$ . This gives us the following:

- a)  $\Theta(\alpha, \lambda)$  is positive for  $\lambda$  positive.
- b)  $\Theta(\alpha, \lambda)$  approaches 0 as  $\lambda$  approaches 0.

Since X is a connected set with more than one point (see Figure 3), it follows by continuity that on the set X the function  $\Theta(\alpha,\lambda)$ takes on a continuum of values  $[0,\varepsilon]$  where  $\varepsilon$  is positive. Whenever  $O(\alpha,\lambda)$  is a rational multiple of  $2\pi$  the surface will close up. This establishes the existence of a countable number of isometrically distinct immersions of a torus into R<sup>3</sup> with constant mean curvature.

## A View of the Immersed Tori.

Let  $\Omega = \Omega_{AB}$  be a representative rectangle chosen so that the smallest eigenvalue of the Laplace differential equation

 $\Delta W + 2\lambda \sinh W \cosh W = 0 \text{ on } \Omega \text{ , } W = 0 \text{ on } \delta \Omega \text{.} \tag{10}$  We have the following facts regarding solutions to the differential equation (10).

a) There exists a branch of positive solutions to (10) which bifurcate from the zero solution at  $2\lambda = \gamma_1 = 1$  or  $\lambda = 1/2$ .

b) For any positive solution (W, $\lambda$ ) we must have 0 <  $\lambda$  < 1/2, and for any  $\lambda$  in this interval there exists at least one positive solution.

c) As  $\lambda$  approaches 0 there is a curve of large positive solutions (W, $\lambda$ ) obtained by applying Theorem 3.

It is tempting (but not yet proven) to conjecture that the branch bifurcating from the zero solution at  $\lambda = 1/2$  connects up with the branch of large solutions established in Theorem 3. Even more tempting is the following conjecture.

<u>Conjecture</u>: Let  $(W_1, \lambda_1)$  and  $(W_2, \lambda_2)$  be two positive solutions to the system (10). If  $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < 1/2$  then  $W_1$  is greater than  $W_2$  at every point inside  $\Omega$ .

For each solution of the system (10) we may apply (7) to get a solution  $\omega(u,v)$  to the differential equation (3) and then apply our recipe to construct an immersion  $\bar{x}(u,v)$  with constant mean curvature. In the limit case where W = 0 the resulting immersion is simply a conformal mapping of the plane onto a circular cylinder whose cross section is a circle of radius one.

In the figures that follow we shall sketch the image  $\bar{x}(u,v)$  of a portion of the fundamental rectangle  $\sqrt{2\lambda} \, \Omega_{AB}$  as indicated in the first figure and labeled {1,2,3,4,5,6}. A + sign indicates that  $\omega(u,v)$  is positive and hence the Gauss curvature of the image surface  $K = e^{-2\omega} \sinh \omega \cosh \omega$  is positive, while a - sign indicates that both functions are negative. The rest of the surface is obtained by rotating the surface 180° about the normal line at the image of 2 followed by a series of reflections about the appropriate planes.

V A	·							
T	+	4	+	5	-	6	-	
T	+	1	+	2	_	3	-	u

Figure 4: The Fundamental Domain  $\sqrt{2\lambda} \Omega(\alpha) = \Omega(\alpha, \lambda)$ .



Figure 5: Case 1, W=0, A Pure Cylinder.



Figure 6: Case 2. W is positive on  $\Omega(\alpha, \lambda)$  but not too Large.



Figure 7: Case 3. W somewhat larger, the Planes  $\Pi_0$ ,  $\Pi_1$  still separated. If one keeps  $\alpha$  fixed and lets  $\lambda$  approach 0, then one can easily show the following.

1) 
$$\int_{\mathbf{X}}^{K} dA = \text{ area of the Gauss map} \rightarrow 4\pi \text{ as } \lambda \text{ approaches } 0.$$
  
2)  $\int_{\Omega^{+}}^{e^{2\omega}} dudv = \text{ Area of } \mathbf{x}(\Omega^{+}) \longrightarrow 4\pi(2)^{2} \text{ as } \lambda \text{ approaches } 0.$   
3)  $\int_{\Omega^{-}}^{e^{2\omega}} dudv = \text{ Area of } \mathbf{x}(\Omega^{-}) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \text{ approaches } 0.$ 

These calculations suggest that as  $\lambda$  approaches 0,  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}(\Omega^+)$  takes on the shape of a sphere of radius 2.



Figure 8: Case 4. The Parallel Planes II, I, are Identical.

If one reflects the sketched Figure 8 about the plane of the paper ( $\Pi_0 = \Pi_1$ ) you obtain a surface which resembles a clam shell. Upon rotating this shall  $180^{\circ}$  about the vertical line  $c_0^{-}(2)$  one obtains the other shell. The combined figure is now a clam with the shells opened a bit.

## References:

 A.D. Alexandroff, <u>Uniqueness Theorems for Surfaces in the Large</u>, V. Vestnik, Leningrad Univ. No. 19 (1958) 5-8: Am. Math. Soc. Transl. (Series 2) 21, 412-416.
 L.P. Eisenhart, <u>A Treatise on the Differential Geometry of Curves and Surfaces</u>, Dover Reprint (1960).
 B. Gidas, W. Ni, L. Nirenberg, <u>Symmetry and Related Properties via the Maximum Principle</u>, Comm. Math. Physics 68 (1979) No. 3, 209-243.
 H. Hopf, <u>Differential Geometry in the Large</u>, (<u>Seminar Lectures New York Univ. 1946 and Stanford Univ. 1956</u>) Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. 1000, Springer Verlag, 1983.
 Wu-Yi Hsiang, <u>Generalized Rotational Hypersurfaces of Constant Mean Curvature in the Euclidean Space I</u>, Jour. Diff. Geometry 17(1982)337-356.
 J.L. Moseley, <u>On Asymtotic Solutions for a Dirichlet Problem with an exponential Singularity</u>, Rep Amr I, West Virginia University (1981)
 V.H. Weston, <u>On the Asymtotic Solution of a Partial Differential Equation with an Exponential Nonlinearity</u>, SIAM J. Math Anal 9(1978) 1030-1053.
 H. C. Wente, <u>Counterexample to a Conjecture of H. Hopf</u>, (to appear) Pac. Jour. of Math.