A NOTE ON AN INVARIANT OF FINTUSHEL AND STERN

Walter D. Neumann and Don Zagier[†]

University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742

Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ be pairwise prime integers with $\alpha_i > 1$ for each i. Let $\Sigma = \Sigma(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ be the Seifert fibered homology 3-sphere with singular fibers of orders $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$. By [N-R] (see also [N1], [N2]), Σ has a unique orientation making it the link of a complex surface singularity $(V(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n), p)$; we give Σ this orientation. This is also the unique orientation for which Σ bounds a plumbed 4-manifold with negative definite intersection form. A minimal such plumbing (i.e. admitting no (-1)-blow down) is unique. It is given by the following plumbing diagram (which is also the minimal good resolution diagram for the above singularity):



with weights determined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_{i1}, \dots, b_{ir_i} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\alpha_i}{\beta_i}$$
$$b = \frac{1}{\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i},$$

where $[b_1, \ldots, b_r]$ denotes the continued fraction

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1, \dots, b_r \end{bmatrix} = b_1 - \frac{1}{b_2}$$

and

$$\alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n'$$

 $\frac{1}{b_r}$,

[†]Both authors partially supported by the NSF and the Max-Planck-Institut for Mathematics in Bonn.

and the β_i are determined by:

 $0 < \beta_{i} < \alpha_{i}, \quad \beta_{i} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{i}} \equiv -1 \pmod{\alpha_{i}}.$

Fintushel and Stern have defined an invariant

$$R = R(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \frac{2}{\alpha} - 3 + n + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2}{\alpha_i} \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha_i-1} \cot(\frac{\pi \alpha_k}{\alpha_i}) \cot(\frac{\pi k}{\alpha_i}) \sin^2(\frac{\pi k}{\alpha_i})$$

and shown that if R > 0 then Σ cannot bound a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -acyclic 4-manifold ([F-S]; the invariant arises as the index of a certain differential operator).

Proposition. R = 2b-3 with R and b as above.

Since b is a positive integer, the result of Fintushel and Stern can thus be reformulated:

Theorem. If $\Sigma(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ bounds a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -acyclic manifold then the "central curve" of the corresponding resolution diagram has selfintersection -b = -1.

<u>Lemma</u>. If a and b are coprime integers with a > 1 then $\frac{1}{a} \sum_{k=1}^{a-1} \cot\left(\frac{\pi k b}{a}\right) \cot\left(\frac{\pi k}{a}\right) \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi k}{a}\right) = \frac{b^*}{a} - \frac{1}{2}$

where $0 < b^* < a$ and $bb^* \equiv -1 \pmod{a}$. <u>Proof</u>. If $\zeta = e^{2\pi i \alpha}$ then

 $\cot \pi \alpha = i \frac{\zeta + 1}{\zeta - 1}, \quad \sin^2 \pi \alpha = -\frac{1}{4} \zeta^{-1} (\zeta - 1)^2.$

Thus the sum in question is

$$\frac{1}{4a} \sum_{\substack{\zeta^{a=1} \\ \zeta \neq 1}} \frac{\zeta^{b}+1}{\zeta^{b}-1} \frac{\zeta+1}{\zeta-1} \zeta^{-1} (\zeta-1)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4a} \sum_{\substack{\zeta^{a=1} \\ \zeta \neq 1}} \left(\frac{\zeta-1}{\zeta^{b}-1}\right) (\zeta^{b}+1) (1+\zeta^{-1})$$

$$= \frac{1}{4a} \sum_{\substack{\eta^{a}=1\\\eta\neq 1}} \left(\frac{\eta^{\gamma}-1}{\eta-1}\right) (\eta+1) (1+\eta^{-\gamma}),$$

where we have substituted $\zeta = \eta^{\gamma}$, by $\Xi = 1 \pmod{a}$, $0 < \gamma < a$ (so $\gamma = a-b^*$),

$$= \frac{1}{4a} \sum_{\substack{\eta = 1 \\ \eta \neq 1}} (1 + \eta + \dots + \eta^{\gamma - 1}) (1 + \eta + \eta^{-\gamma} + \eta^{1 - \gamma})$$

$$= \frac{1}{4a} \sum_{\substack{\eta = 1 \\ \eta = 1}} (1 + \eta + \dots + \eta^{\gamma - 1}) (1 + \eta + \eta^{-\gamma} + \eta^{1 - \gamma}) - 4\gamma]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4a} [a (1 + 1) - 4\gamma] = \frac{b^{\star}}{a} - \frac{1}{2}$$

since

$$\sum_{n^{a}=1} n^{j} = \begin{cases} a, & a \mid j \\ 0, & a \nmid j \end{cases}$$

Applying this lemma to the invariant R, we see

$$R = \frac{2}{\alpha} - 3 + n + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$
$$= 2 \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\beta_i}{\alpha_i} \right) - 3 = 2b - 3,$$

as claimed.

If M is a 3-dimensional homology sphere which bounds a 4manifold X with definite intersection form B and if M also bounds a **Z**-acyclic 4-manifold, then by a result of S. Donaldson [D] the form B must be diagonalizable over **Z**. Some more recent work of Donaldson which he described at the Special Year indicated that one may be able to replace "**Z**-acyclic" by "**Z**/2-acyclic" in this statement. Thus the above theorem, possibly with **Z**/2-acyclic weakened to **Z**-acyclic, would be a consequence of Donaldson's work if the answer to the following question is "yes".

Question. If the intersection form for the plumbing described above is diagonalizable over Z, must b equal 1? For n = 3, or n = 4 and $b \neq 3$, the answer is "yes", but our proof is clearly not the "right" proof, being too tedious to be worth giving here. More generally one might ask if a negative definite unimodular form over \mathbb{Z} represented by an integrally weighted tree with no weight -1 is necessarily non-diagonalizable. We know no counterexample, although large diagonal summands can exist. For example $\Sigma(6k-1, 6k+1, 6k+2)$ has resolution diagram



and the intersection form is equivalent to $E_8 \oplus (3k-1) <-1>$. Similar periodicities abound, the most basic being that $\Sigma(p,q,r+kpq)$ has resolution diagram containing the resolution diagram for $\Sigma(p,q,r)$ and its intersection form is equivalent to the form for $\Sigma(p,q,r)$ plus k diagonal -1's.

REFERENCES

- [D] Simon Donaldson, An application of gauge theory to 4-dimensional topology, J. Diff. Geom. 18 (1983) 279-315.
- [F-S] Ronald Fintushel and Ronald J. Stern, "Pseudofree orbifolds", Ann. Math. (to appear).
- [N1] Walter D. Neumann, Brieskorn complete intersections and automorphic forms, Inv. Math. 42 (1977), 285-293.
- [N2] Walter D. Neumann, A calculus for plumbing applied to links of complex surface singularities and degenerating complex curves, Transactions A.M.S. 268 (1981) 299-344.
- [N-R] Walter D. Neumann and Frank Raymond, Seifert manifolds plumbing, µ-invariant and orientation reversing maps, Proc. Alg. and Geom. Topology (Santa Barbara 1977), Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol. 644 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1978) 297-318.