

ON A CURIOUS PROPERTY OF BELL NUMBERS

ZHI-WEI SUN AND DON ZAGIER

ABSTRACT. In this paper we derive congruences expressing Bell numbers and derangement numbers in terms of each other modulo any prime.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let B_n denote the n th Bell number, defined as the number of partitions of a set of cardinality n (with $B_0 = 1$). In 1933 Touchard [T] proved that for any prime p we have

$$B_{p+n} \equiv B_n + B_{n+1} \pmod{p} \quad \text{for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

Thus it is natural to look at the numbers $B_n \pmod{p}$ for $n < p$. In [S], the first author discovered experimentally that for a fixed positive integer m the sum $\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} B_n / (-m)^n$ modulo a prime p not dividing m is independent of the prime p , a typical case being

$$\sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \frac{B_n}{(-8)^n} \equiv -1853 \pmod{p} \quad \text{for all primes } p \neq 2.$$

In this note we will prove this fact and give some related results.

Our theorem involves another combinatorial quantity, the derangement number D_n , defined either as the number of fixed-point-free permutations of a set of cardinality n (with $D_0 = 1$) or by the explicit formula

$$\frac{D_n}{n!} = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots) \quad (2)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11B75; Secondary 05A15, 05A18, 11A07.

Keywords. Bell numbers, derangement numbers, congruences.

The first author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (grant 10871087) and the Overseas Cooperation Fund (grant 10928101) of China.

Theorem 1. *For every positive integer m and any prime p not dividing m we have*

$$\sum_{0 < k < p} \frac{B_k}{(-m)^k} \equiv (-1)^{m-1} D_{m-1} \pmod{p}. \quad (3)$$

Using $\sum_{0 < m < p} (-m)^{n-k} \equiv -\delta_{n,k} \pmod{p}$ for $k, n \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$, we immediately obtain a dual formula for B_n ($n < p$) in terms of D_0, \dots, D_{p-2} .

Corollary. *Let p be any prime. Then for all $n = 1, \dots, p-1$ we have*

$$B_n \equiv \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} (-1)^m D_{m-1} (-m)^n \pmod{p}.$$

For the reader's convenience we give a small table of values of B_n and D_n .

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
B_n	1	1	2	5	15	52	203	877	4140
D_n	1	0	1	2	9	44	265	1854	14833

We will show Theorem 1 in the next section and derive an extension of Theorem 1 in Section 3.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We first observe that it suffices to prove (3) for $0 < m < p$, since both sides are periodic in $m \pmod{p}$ with period p . For the left-hand side this is obvious and for the right-hand side it follows from (2), which gives the expression $(-1)^n D_n = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (-1)^r n(n-1) \cdots (n-r+1)$ for $D_n \pmod{p}$ as a terminating infinite series of polynomials in n .

We will prove (3) for $0 < m < p$ by induction on m . Denote by S_m the sum on the left-hand side of (3), where we consider the prime p as fixed and omit it from the notation. Since $D_n = nD_{n-1} + (-1)^n$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ (obvious from (2)), we have to prove the two formulas

$$S_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \quad m S_m \equiv S_1 - S_{m+1} \pmod{p}. \quad (4)$$

Recall that the Bell numbers can be given by the generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = e^{e^x - 1} \quad (5)$$

equivalent to the well-known closed formula

$$B_n = \frac{1}{e} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{r^n}{r!}.$$

Since the function $y = e^{e^x - 1}$ satisfies $y' = e^x y$, this also gives the recursive definition

$$B_0 = 1, \quad B_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B_k \quad \text{for all } n \geq 0. \quad (6)$$

This recursion is the key ingredient in proving (4).

For the first formula in (4) we use (6) with $n = p - 1$ to obtain

$$S_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} (-1)^k B_k \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \binom{p-1}{k} B_k = B_p - B_0 \pmod{p},$$

so it suffices to prove that $B_p \equiv 2 \pmod{p}$. This is a special case of Touchard's congruence (1), but can also be seen by writing (5) in the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = e^x + \sum_{1 < r < p} \frac{(e^x - 1)^r}{r!} + \frac{x^p}{p!} + O(x^{p+1})$$

to get $B_p/p! = 1/p! + (p\text{-integral}) + 1/p!$.

Now using Fermat's little theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} -m S_m &\equiv \sum_{n=0}^{p-2} (-m)^{p-1-n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B_k \\ &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} (-1)^k B_k \sum_{r=0}^{p-k-2} \binom{p-k-1}{r} m^{p-k-1-r} \quad (r = n - k) \\ &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{p-2} (-1)^k B_k ((m+1)^{p-1-k} - 1) \equiv S_{m+1} - S_1 \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq m \leq p - 2$. This completes the proof of (4) and the theorem.

3. AN EXTENSION OF THEOREM 1

Recall that for nonnegative integers n and k the Stirling number $S(n, k)$ of the second kind is the number of ways to partition a set of n elements into k groups. Obviously

$$B_n = \sum_{k=0}^n S(n, k).$$

The Touchard polynomial $T_n(x)$ of degree n is given by

$$T_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n S(n, k)x^k. \quad (7)$$

Note that $T_n(1) = B_n$. Similar to the recursion for Bell numbers, we have the recursion

$$T_{n+1}(x) = x \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} T_k(x). \quad (8)$$

Let p be a prime and let \mathbb{Z}_p be the ring of p -adic integers. For two polynomials $P(x), Q(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$, by $P(x) \equiv Q(x) \pmod{p}$ we mean that the corresponding coefficients of $P(x)$ and $Q(x)$ are congruent modulo p .

Our next theorem is a further generalization of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *For every positive integer m , we have*

$$(-x)^m \sum_{0 < n < p} \frac{T_n(x)}{(-m)^n} \equiv -x^p \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \frac{(m-1)!}{l!} (-x)^l \pmod{p} \quad (9)$$

for any prime p not dividing m .

As a consequence, if x is a p -adic integer not divisible by p , then

$$\sum_{0 < n < p} \frac{T_n(x)}{(-m)^n} \equiv \frac{1}{(-x)^{m-1}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(m-1)!}{k!} (-x)^k \pmod{p}. \quad (10)$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 < n < p} \frac{T_n(x)}{(-2)^n} &\equiv \frac{x-1}{x} \pmod{p} && \text{for } p \neq 2, \\ \sum_{0 < n < p} \frac{T_n(x)}{(-3)^n} &\equiv \frac{x^2 - 2x + 2}{x^2} \pmod{p} && \text{for } p \neq 3, \\ \sum_{0 < n < p} \frac{T_n(x)}{(-4)^n} &\equiv \frac{x^3 - 3x^2 + 6x - 6}{x^3} \pmod{p} && \text{for } p \neq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Although we can show Theorem 2 via a slight modification of the proof of Theorem 1, below we prove Theorem 2 by a new approach.

Proof of Theorem 2. Observe that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \frac{T_n(x)}{(-m)^n} = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n S(n, k)x^k}{(-m)^n} = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} x^k \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \frac{S(n, k)}{(-m)^n}.$$

It is known that

$$S(n, k) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (-1)^{k-j} j^n \quad \text{for all } n, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \frac{T_n(x)}{(-m)^n} = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{x^k}{k!} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^k \binom{k}{j} (-1)^{k-j} \left(-\frac{j}{m}\right)^n.$$

For each $j \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$, if $p \mid m+j$ then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \left(-\frac{j}{m}\right)^n \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p},$$

if $p \nmid m+j$ then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \left(-\frac{j}{m}\right)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} \left(-\frac{j}{m}\right)^n - 1 = \frac{(-j/m)^p - 1}{-j/m - 1} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

with the help of Fermat's little theorem.

Let r denote the least positive residue of $-m$ modulo p . By the above,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \frac{T_n(x)}{(-m)^n} &= \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{x^k}{k!} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \binom{k}{j} (-1)^{k-j} \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \left(-\frac{j}{m}\right)^n \\ &\equiv \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{x^k}{k!} \binom{k}{r} (-1)^{k-r} (-1) = \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r!} \sum_{k=r}^{p-1} \frac{(-x)^k}{(k-r)!} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(-x)^m \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} \frac{T_n(x)}{(-m)^n} \equiv \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r!} \sum_{l=m+r-p}^{m-1} \frac{(-x)^{p+l}}{(p+l-m-r)!} \pmod{p}.$$

So it remains to show that

$$\frac{(m-1)!}{l!} \equiv \begin{cases} (-1)^{r+1}/(r!(p+l-m-r)!) \pmod{p} & \text{if } m+r-p \leq l < m, \\ 0 \pmod{p} & \text{if } 0 \leq l < m+r-p. \end{cases}$$

If $0 \leq l < m + r - p$, then we have $(m - 1)!/l! \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ since $l < m + r - p < m$ and $m + r \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

Now suppose that $m + r - p \leq l < m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{(p+l-m)!} \binom{p+l-m}{r} \\ \equiv & \frac{(-1)^{r+1} \prod_{s=1}^{m-l-1} (p-s)}{(p-1)!} \binom{l-m}{r} \\ \equiv & (-1)^{m-l-1} (m-l-1)! \binom{m-l+r-1}{m-l-1} \quad (\text{by Wilson's theorem}) \\ \equiv & (-1)^{m-l-1} (m-l-1)! \binom{-l-1}{m-l-1} = \frac{(m-1)!}{l!} \pmod{p} \quad (\text{as } p \mid m+r). \end{aligned}$$

In view of the above, we have completed the proof of Theorem 2. \square

Acknowledgments. The joint work was done during the authors' visit to the National Center for Theoretical Sciences (Hsinchu, Taiwan) during August 1–8, 2010. Both authors are indebted to Prof. Winnie Wen-Ching Li for the kind invitation and the center for the financial support.

REFERENCES

- [S] Z. W. Sun, *A conjecture on Bell numbers*, a message to Number Theory List, <http://listserv.nodak.edu/cgi-bin/wa.exe?A2=ind1007&L=nbrthry&T=0&P=1066>.
- [T] J. Touchard, *Propriétés arithmétiques de certains nombres récurrents*, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles **53A** (1933), 21–31.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: zwsun@nju.edu.cn *Homepage:* <http://math.nju.edu.cn/~zwsun>

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, BONN 53111, GERMANY

E-mail address: don.zagier@mpim-bonn.mpg.de