

FOUR-MANIFOLDS UP TO CONNECTED SUM WITH COMPLEX PROJECTIVE PLANES

DANIEL KASPROWSKI, MARK POWELL, AND PETER TEICHNER

ABSTRACT. We show that closed, connected 4-manifolds up to connected sum with copies of the complex projective plane are classified in terms of the fundamental group, the orientation character and an extension class involving the second homotopy group. For fundamental groups that are torsion free or have one end, we reduce this further to a classification in terms of the homotopy 2-type.

1. INTRODUCTION

We give an explicit, algebraic classification of closed 4-manifolds up to connected sum with copies of the complex projective plane \mathbb{CP}^2 .

After the great success of Thurston's geometrisation of 3-manifolds, the classification of closed 4-manifolds remains one of the most exciting open problems in topology. The exactness of the surgery sequence and the s-cobordism theorem are known for topological 4-manifolds with good fundamental groups, a class of groups that includes all solvable groups [FT95, KQ00]. However, a homeomorphism classification is only known for closed 4-manifolds with trivial [Fre82], cyclic [FQ90, Kre99, HK88] or Baumslag-Solitar [HKT09] fundamental group.

For smooth 4-manifolds, gauge theory provides obstructions even to once hoped-for foundational results like simply connected surgery and h -cobordism, which hold in all higher dimensions. There is no proposed diffeomorphism classification in sight, indeed even understanding homotopy 4-spheres is beyond us at present. Most of the invariants derived from gauge theory depend on an orientation and do not change under connected sum with $\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$, but the differences dissolve under connected sum with \mathbb{CP}^2 . This suggests considering 4-manifolds up to \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable diffeomorphism. That is, we seek to determine whether two 4-manifolds become diffeomorphic after taking connected sum of one or both with arbitrarily many copies of \mathbb{CP}^2 or $\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$.

More precisely, the connected sum of a smooth 4-manifold M with \mathbb{CP}^2 depends on a choice of embedding $D^4 \hookrightarrow M$, where isotopic embeddings yield diffeomorphic connected sums. A complex chart gives a preferred choice of isotopy class of embeddings $D^4 \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^2$. *In this paper we work with connected but unoriented manifolds.* If M admits an orientation \mathfrak{o} , there are two distinct isotopy classes of embeddings $D^4 \hookrightarrow M$, exactly one of which is orientation preserving. So we obtain two manifolds $(M, \mathfrak{o}) \# \mathbb{CP}^2$ and $(M, -\mathfrak{o}) \# \mathbb{CP}^2 \cong (M, \mathfrak{o}) \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ that in general are not diffeomorphic. On the other hand if M is not orientable, we can isotope an embedding $D^4 \hookrightarrow M$ around an orientation reversing loop to see that there is a unique connected sum $M \# \mathbb{CP}^2$.

A 1-type (π, w) consists of a group π and a homomorphism $w: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$. A connected manifold M has 1-type (π, w) if there is a map $c: M \rightarrow B\pi$ such that

$c_*: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(B\pi) = \pi$ is an isomorphism and $w \circ c_*: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ gives the first Stiefel-Whitney class $w_1(M) \in H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M), \mathbb{Z}/2)$.

Theorem 1.1 (Kreck [Kre99]). *Two closed, connected, smooth 4-manifolds M_1 and M_2 are \mathbb{CP}^2 -stably diffeomorphic if and only if they have the same 1-type (π, w) and the images of the fundamental classes $(c_1)_*[M_1]$ and $(c_2)_*[M_2]$ coincide in $H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)/\pm \text{Aut}(\pi)$.*

The map induced on homology by the classifying map $c: M \rightarrow B\pi$ sends the (twisted) fundamental class $[M] \in H_4(M; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1(M)})$ to $H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$. Here the coefficients are twisted using the orientation characters $w_1(M)$ and w to give $\mathbb{Z}^{w_1(M)}$ and \mathbb{Z}^w respectively. The quotient by $\text{Aut}(\pi)$ takes care of the different choices of identifications c_* of the fundamental groups with π , and the sign \pm removes dependency on the choice of fundamental class.

In particular, within a 1-type (π, w) such that $H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) = 0$, there is a single \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable diffeomorphism class.

We will give a self-contained proof of Theorem 1.1 in Section 2, that proceeds by simplifying a handle decomposition. The same arguments also imply the corresponding result for topological 4-manifolds, with the additional condition that the Kirby-Siebenmann invariants $ks(M_i) \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ coincide for $i = 1, 2$. A topological 4-manifold M has a smooth structure \mathbb{CP}^2 -stably if and only if $ks(M) = 0$ [FQ90, Section 8.6]. Use the Chern manifold $*\mathbb{CP}^2$ with non-trivial Kirby-Siebenmann invariant [FQ90, Section 10.4], the fact that ks is additive, and Freedman's homeomorphism

$$*\mathbb{CP}^2 \# *\mathbb{CP}^2 \approx \mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$$

to see that all of the results of this article extend from smooth 4-manifolds to topological 4-manifolds with same Kirby-Siebenmann invariants. We therefore focus on the smooth category from now on.

The 2-type of a connected manifold M consists of its 1-type (π, w) together with the second homotopy group $\pi_2(M)$ and the k -invariant $k(M) \in H^3(\pi_1(M); \pi_2(M))$ that classifies the fibration

$$K(\pi_2(M), 2) \longrightarrow P_2(M) \longrightarrow K(\pi_1(M), 1)$$

corresponding to the second stage $P_2(M)$ of the Postnikov tower for M . Here $\pi_2(M)$ is thought of as a $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M)]$ -module and the third cohomology $H^3(\pi_1(M); \pi_2(M))$ uses twisted coefficients with respect to this action. One can construct the space $P_2(M)$ from M by iteratively adding cells of dimension at least four to kill $\pi_i(M)$, starting with $i = 3$. The Postnikov 2-type of any connected space is classified up to homotopy equivalence by the triple (π_1, π_2, k) ; we added the orientation character $w_1(M)$ to obtain our notion of the 2-type of a manifold. Our main theorem says that this 2-type (π_1, w_1, π_2, k) , considered up to stable isomorphism as discussed below, classifies 4-manifolds up to \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable diffeomorphism in many cases.

Theorem A. *Let π be a group that is*

- (i) *torsion-free; or*
- (ii) *infinite with one end; or*
- (iii) *finite with $H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ annihilated by 4 or 6.*

Then two closed, connected, smooth 4-manifolds with 1-type (π, w) are \mathbb{CP}^2 -stably diffeomorphic if and only if their 2-types (π, w, π_2, k) are stably isomorphic.

Remark 1.2. Note that condition (iii) is satisfied for all (π, w) with π finite and w nontrivial on the centre of π . To see this, apply [Bro82, Proposition III.8.1] for some element g in the centre of π with $w(g)$ nontrivial, to show that multiplication by -1 acts as the identity. Hence $H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ consists entirely of 2-torsion.

A connected sum with \mathbb{CP}^2 changes the second homotopy group by adding a free summand $\pi_2(M \# \mathbb{CP}^2) \cong \pi_2(M) \oplus \Lambda$, where here and throughout the paper we write

$$\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M)] \cong \mathbb{Z}[\pi]$$

for the group ring. The k -invariant $k(M) \in H^3(\pi; \pi_2(M))$ maps via $(k(M), 0)$ to $k(M \# \mathbb{CP}^2)$ under the composition

$$H^3(\pi; \pi_2(M)) \longrightarrow H^3(\pi; \pi_2(M)) \oplus H^3(\pi; \Lambda) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^3(\pi; \pi_2(M \# \mathbb{CP}^2)).$$

This leads to the notion of *stable isomorphism* of 2-types: a pair (φ_1, φ_2) consisting of an isomorphism $\varphi_1: \pi_1(M_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_2)$ with $\varphi_1^*(w_1(M_2)) = w_1(M_1)$, together with an isomorphism, for some $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\varphi_2: \pi_2(M_1) \oplus \Lambda^r \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_2(M_2) \oplus \Lambda^s \text{ satisfying } \varphi_2(g \cdot x) = \varphi_1(g) \cdot \varphi_2(x)$$

for all $g \in \pi_1(M_1)$ and for all $x \in \pi_2(M_1) \oplus \Lambda^r$. We also require that (φ_1, φ_2) preserves k -invariants in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_1^{-1}, \varphi_2): H^3(\pi_1(M_1); \pi_2(M_1) \oplus \Lambda^r) &\longrightarrow H^3(\pi_1(M_2); \pi_2(M_2) \oplus \Lambda^s) \\ (k(M_1), 0) &\mapsto (k(M_2), 0). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that, by design, a \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable diffeomorphism induces a stable isomorphism of 2-types, so the ‘only if’ direction of Theorem A holds for all groups.

A consequence of Theorem A is that, under the above assumptions, the stable 2-type of a 4-manifold determines the isometry class of its equivariant intersection form up to stabilisation by standard forms (± 1) on Λ . In the simply connected case, this follows from the classification of odd indefinite forms by their rank and signature, since for two given simply connected 4-manifolds, the rank and signatures of their intersection forms can be equalised by \mathbb{CP}^2 -stabilisation. For general fundamental groups, the underlying module does not algebraically determine the intersection form up to stabilisation, but Theorem A says that equivariant intersection forms of 4-manifolds with the appropriate fundamental group are controlled in this way.

1.1. Necessity of assumptions. Next we present examples of groups demonstrating that hypotheses of Theorem A are necessary. The details are given in Section 8.1. We consider a class of infinite groups with two ends, namely $\pi = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p$, and orientable 4-manifolds, so $w = 0$. In this case the 2-type does not determine the \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable diffeomorphism classification, as the following example shows.

Example 1.3. Let L_{p_1, q_1} and L_{p_2, q_2} be two 3-dimensional lens spaces, which are closed, oriented 3-manifolds with cyclic fundamental group \mathbb{Z}/p_i and universal covering S^3 . Assume that $p_i \geq 2$ and $1 \leq q_i < p_i$. The 4-manifolds $M_i := S^1 \times L_{p_i, q_i}$, $i = 1, 2$ have $\pi_2(M_i) = \{0\}$. Whence their 2-types are stably isomorphic if and only if $\pi_1(L_{p_1, q_1}) \cong \pi_1(L_{p_2, q_2})$, that is if and only if $p_1 = p_2$. However, we will show that the 4-manifolds M_1 and M_2 are \mathbb{CP}^2 -stably diffeomorphic if and only if L_{p_1, q_1} and L_{p_2, q_2} are homotopy equivalent.

It is a classical result that there are homotopically inequivalent lens spaces with the same fundamental group. In fact it was shown by J.H.C. Whitehead that L_{p,q_1} and L_{p,q_2} are homotopy equivalent if and only if their \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} -valued linking forms are isometric. See Section 8.1 for more details and precise references.

We do not know an example of a finite group π for which the conclusion of Theorem A does not hold. Thus the following question remains open.

Question 1.4. *Does the conclusion of Theorem A hold for all finite groups?*

1.2. Is the k -invariant required? In Section 7, we will show that while Theorem A applies, the k -invariant is not needed for the \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable classification of 4-manifolds M with fundamental group π , where π is also the fundamental group of some closed aspherical 4-manifold. A good example of such a 4-manifold is the 4-torus, with fundamental group $\pi = \mathbb{Z}^4$. More generally a surface bundle over a surface, with neither surface equal to S^2 nor \mathbb{RP}^2 , is an aspherical 4-manifold. We know from Theorem 1.1 that the \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable equivalence classes are in bijection with \mathbb{N}_0 because $H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, but it is not obvious how to compute this invariant from a given 4-manifold M .

We will show in Theorem 7.1 that in this case the stable isomorphism type of the Λ -module $\pi_2(M)$ determines the \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable diffeomorphism class of M . Assuming moreover that $H^1(\pi; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$, we will show in Theorem 7.2 that the highest torsion in the abelian group of twisted co-invariants $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} \pi_2(M)$ detects this stable isomorphism class in almost all cases.

On the other hand, there are many cases where Theorem A applies and the k -invariant is indeed required, as the next example shows. This example also leverages the homotopy classification of lens spaces.

Example 1.5. For the following class of 4-manifolds, the k -invariant is required in the \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable classification. Let Σ be an aspherical 3-manifold. Consider a lens space $L_{p,q}$ with fundamental group \mathbb{Z}/p and form the 4-manifold $M(L_{p,q}, \Sigma) := S^1 \times (L_{p,q} \# \Sigma)$ with fundamental group $\pi = \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z}/p * \pi_1(\Sigma))$.

We will show in Section 8.2 that these groups have one end and hence our Theorem A applies, so the 2-type determines the \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable classification. Similarly to Example 1.3, we will show that two 4-manifolds of the form $M(L_{p,q}, \Sigma)$ are \mathbb{CP}^2 -stably diffeomorphic if and only if the involved lens spaces are homotopy equivalent. However, the Λ -modules $\pi_2(M(L_{p,q}, \Sigma))$ will be shown to depend only on p . Since there are homotopically inequivalent lens spaces with the same fundamental group, we deduce that the stable isomorphism class of $\pi_2(M(L_{p,q}, \Sigma))$ is a weaker invariant than the full 2-type $(\pi, 0, \pi_2, k)$.

1.3. Extension classes and the proof of Theorem A. In order to prove Theorem A, we will translate the image of the fundamental class $c_*[M] \in H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$, completely into algebra. Here, as always, M is a closed, connected, smooth 4-manifold together with a 2-equivalence $c: M \rightarrow B\pi$ such that $c^*(w) = w_1(M)$. For this goal, we choose a handle decomposition of M and consider the chain complex (C_*, d_*) of left Λ -modules, freely generated by the handles in the universal cover \widetilde{M} . Note that by the Hurewicz theorem, $\pi_2(M) \cong H_2(\widetilde{M}) \cong \ker d_2 / \text{im } d_3$.

Proposition 1.6. *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\psi: H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(\text{coker } d_3, \ker d_2).$$

Under this isomorphism, $c_*[M]$ maps to the extension

$$0 \rightarrow \ker d_2 \xrightarrow{(i, -p)^T} C_2 \oplus \ker d_2 / \operatorname{im} d_3 \xrightarrow{(p', i')} \operatorname{coker} d_3 \rightarrow 0$$

where i, i' are the inclusions and p, p' are the projections. In particular, $c_*[M] = 0$ if and only if this extension is trivial, and hence $\pi_2(M)$ is stably isomorphic to the direct sum $\ker d_2 \oplus \operatorname{coker} d_3$.

Proposition 1.6 is a generalisation of [HK88, Proposition 2.4], where the theorem was proven for oriented manifolds with finite fundamental groups. The fact that $\pi_2(M)$ fits into such an extension for general groups was shown by Hambleton in [Ham09]. Our contribution in this direction is to identify the image of the fundamental class in general and show independence of certain choices, best formulated as follows.

For any finite 2-complex K with fundamental group π , there is an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\operatorname{coker} d_3, \ker d_2) \xrightarrow{\cong} \operatorname{Ext}_\Lambda^1(H^2(K; \Lambda^w), H_2(K; \Lambda)).$$

Any two choices of K are homotopy equivalent after taking wedge sums with sufficiently many copies of S^2 . In Lemma 5.11 we will check that wedge sum with S^2 does not change the extension group and we prove in Lemma 5.12 that a homotopy equivalence inducing the identity on π determines the identity map on $\operatorname{Ext}_\Lambda^1(H^2(K; \Lambda^w), H_2(K; \Lambda))$.

It follows that image of the fundamental class of M in this extension group is well-defined in a group that depends only on π . In particular, the extension class does not depend on the chosen handle decomposition of M . We will then deduce the following theorem.

Theorem 1.7. *Fix a finite 2-complex K with fundamental group π and a homomorphism $w: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$. Two closed, connected, smooth 4-manifolds M_1 and M_2 with 1-type (π, w) are \mathbb{CP}^2 -stably diffeomorphic if and only if the extension classes determining $\pi_2(M_1)$ and $\pi_2(M_2)$ coincide in*

$$\operatorname{Ext}_\Lambda^1(H^2(K; \Lambda^w), H_2(K; \Lambda)) \cong H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$$

modulo the action of $\pm \operatorname{Aut}(\pi)$.

This result translates the $H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ invariant into algebra for all groups. There is a version of Theorem 1.7 where we do not need to divide out by $\operatorname{Aut}(\pi)$: there is a stable diffeomorphism over π if the extension classes agree (up to sign) for a specific choice of identifications $\pi_1(M_i) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi$.

In Section 6 we will derive Theorem A from Theorem 1.7. Since two isomorphic extensions yield stably isomorphic second homotopy groups, we seek a kind of converse by adding the datum of the k -invariant. Given a 1-cocycle f representing an extension class in $\operatorname{Ext}_\Lambda^1(H^2(K; \Lambda^w), H_2(K; \Lambda))$, we construct a 3-complex X_f by attaching (trivial) 2- and (non-trivial) 3-cells to K . We show that $\Theta: f \mapsto (\pi, \pi_2(X_f), k(X_f))$ gives a well-defined map from our extension group $\operatorname{Ext}_\Lambda^1(H^2(K; \Lambda^w), H_2(K; \Lambda))$ to stable isomorphism classes of 2-types with fixed fundamental group π and orientation character w . Moreover, if f is the extension class coming from a 4-manifold M , then $\Theta(f)$ agrees with the stable 2-type of M . In Theorem 6.4 we then show that the stable 2-type of X_f detects our extension class modulo the action of the automorphisms of $H^2(K; \Lambda^w)$. That is, Θ induces an injective map on the quotient of the extensions by the automorphisms of $H^2(K; \Lambda^w)$.

Finally, in Lemma 6.6 we analyse the action of such automorphisms on the extension group $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(H^2(K; \Lambda^w), H_2(K; \Lambda))$ and show that under the assumptions of Theorem A, the automorphisms can change the extension class at most by a sign. Since we work with unoriented manifolds, the sign ambiguity is already present, so Theorem A follows.

1.4. Additional remarks. Consider an invariant I of closed, oriented 4-manifolds valued in some commutative monoid, that is multiplicative under connected sum and invertible on \mathbb{CP}^2 and $\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$. For example, the generalised dichromatic invariant of [BB17], $I(M) \in \mathbb{C}$, is such an invariant.

It follows from Theorem 1.1 that every such invariant is determined by the fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$, the image of the fundamental class in $H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z})$, its signature $\sigma(M)$ and its Euler characteristic $\chi(M)$. More precisely, given a second manifold N with the same fundamental group and $c_*[N] = c_*[M] \in H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z})$, one has

$$I(N) = I(M) \cdot I(\mathbb{CP}^2)^{\frac{\Delta\chi + \Delta\sigma}{2}} \cdot I(\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2)^{\frac{\Delta\chi - \Delta\sigma}{2}},$$

where $\Delta\sigma := \sigma(N) - \sigma(M)$ and $\Delta\chi := \chi(N) - \chi(M)$. For example, for every manifold N with fundamental group \mathbb{Z} we have

$$I(N) = I(S^1 \times S^3) \cdot I(\mathbb{CP}^2)^{\frac{\chi(N) + \sigma(N)}{2}} \cdot I(\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2)^{\frac{\chi(N) - \sigma(N)}{2}}.$$

For the generalised dichromatic invariant, the values on \mathbb{CP}^2 , $\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ and $S^1 \times S^3$ are calculated in [BB17, Sections 3.4 and 6.2.1]. Moreover, in the cases that Theorem A holds, any invariant as above is equivalently determined by the 2-type, the signature and the Euler characteristic.

We also note that the proofs of Sections 5 and 6 comprise homological algebra, combined with Poincaré duality to stably identify $\text{coker}(d_3)$ with $H^2(K; \Lambda^w)$, during the passage from Proposition 1.6 to Theorem 1.7. The proofs could therefore be carried out if one only retained the symmetric signature in $L^4(\Lambda, w)$ of the 4-manifold [Ran80a, Ran80b], that is the chain equivalence class of a Λ -module handle chain complex of M together with chain-level Poincaré duality structure.

Organisation of the paper. Section 2 gives a self-contained proof of Kreck's Theorem 1.1. After establishing conventions for homology and cohomology with twisted coefficients in Section 3, we present an extended Hopf sequence in Section 4, and use this to give a short proof of Theorem A in a special case. Section 5 proves Proposition 1.6 and Theorem 1.7 expressing the fourth homology invariant of Theorem 1.1 in terms of an extension class involving $\pi_2(M)$.

Section 6 refines this in terms of the 2-type for certain groups, in order to prove Theorem A.

We then give a further refinement in Section 7: in the special case that π is the fundamental group of some aspherical 4-manifold, the \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable classification is determined by the stable isomorphism class of $\pi_2(M)$, and if in addition $H^1(\pi; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ then the classification can essentially be read off from $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_\Lambda \pi_2(M)$.

Finally, Section 8 discusses the examples mentioned in Sections 1.1 and 1.2, showing first that the hypotheses of Theorem A are in general necessary and then showing that for many fundamental groups falling within the purview of Theorem A, knowledge of the stable isomorphism class of $\pi_2(M)$ does not suffice and the k -invariant is required.

Acknowledgements. We are delighted to have the opportunity to thank Diarmuid Crowley, Jim Davis, Matthias Kreck, Markus Land, Wolfgang Lück, Ian Hambleton, Henrik Rüping, Mark Ullmann and Christoph Winges for many useful and interesting discussions on this work.

The authors thank the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics and the Hausdorff Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for financial support and their excellent research environments. The second author gratefully acknowledges an NSERC Discovery Grant.

2. \mathbb{CP}^2 -STABLE CLASSIFICATION

Let (π, w) be a 1-type, that is a finitely presented group π together with a homomorphism $w: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$. Let $\xi: BSO \times B\pi \rightarrow BO$ be a fibration that classifies the stable vector bundle obtained as the direct sum of the orientation double cover $BSO \rightarrow BO$ and the line bundle $L: B\pi \rightarrow BO(1)$ classified by w . Let $\Omega_4(\pi, w) := \Omega_4(\xi)$ denote the bordism group of closed 4-manifolds M equipped with a ξ -structure, namely a lift $\tilde{\nu}: M \rightarrow BSO \times B\pi$ of the stable normal bundle $\nu: M \rightarrow BO$ along ξ , modulo cobordisms with the analogous structure extending the ξ -structure on the boundary.

Lemma 2.1. *There is an isomorphism $\Omega_4(\pi, w) \cong H_0(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \times H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ given by sending $[M, \tilde{\nu}]$ to the pair $(\sigma(M), (p_2 \circ \tilde{\nu})_*([M]))$. Here if M is orientable ($w = 0$), $H_0(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sigma(M) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the signature. If w is nontrivial, then $H_0(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ and $\sigma(M) \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ denotes the Euler characteristic mod 2. We use $[M] \in H_4(M; \mathbb{Z}^w) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for the fundamental class of M determined by $\tilde{\nu}: M \rightarrow BSO \times B\pi$.*

Proof. By the Pontryagin-Thom construction, the bordism group $\Omega_4(\pi, w)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_5(MSO \wedge Th(L))$, where $Th(L)$ is the Thom space corresponding to the real line bundle L and MSO is the oriented Thom spectrum. Shift perspective to think of $\pi_5(MSO \wedge Th(L))$ as the generalised reduced homology group $\pi_5(MSO \wedge -)$ of $Th(L)$, isomorphic to the group $\tilde{\Omega}_5^{SO}(Th(L))$ of reduced 5-dimensional oriented bordism over $Th(L)$. The Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence gives an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_1(Th(L); \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_5^{SO}(Th(L)) \longrightarrow H_5(Th(L); \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0$$

because in the range $0 \leq i \leq 4$ we have that $\Omega_i^{SO} \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 0, 4$ and zero otherwise. Since $w_1(L) = w$, the Thom isomorphism theorem [Rud98, Theorem IV.5.7], [Lüc02, Theorem 3.31] shows that

$$H_1(Th(L); \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_0(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \quad \text{and} \quad H_5(Th(L); \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w).$$

Using this the above short exact sequence translates to

$$0 \longrightarrow H_0(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \longrightarrow \Omega_4(\pi, w) \longrightarrow H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \longrightarrow 0.$$

In the orientable case $w = 0$, the group $H_0(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \cong H_0(\pi; \Omega_4^{SO}) \cong \Omega_4^{SO} \cong \mathbb{Z}$, while in the nonorientable case $w \neq 0$, we have $H_0(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \cong H_0(\pi; (\Omega_4^{SO})^w) \cong \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\Lambda} (\Omega_4^{SO})^w \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. In both cases, the image of the inclusion $H_0(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \rightarrow \Omega_4(\pi, w)$ is generated by $[\mathbb{CP}^2]$ with trivial map to $B\pi$.

To see that the short exact sequence splits, use that the signature is an additive invariant of oriented bordism, that the Euler characteristic mod 2 is an additive bordism invariant, and that the signature (or the Euler characteristic mod 2) of \mathbb{CP}^2 is 1.

That the Euler characteristic mod 2 is indeed a bordism invariant of closed 4-manifolds can be seen as follows. It suffices to show that every 4-manifold that bounds a 5-manifold has even Euler characteristic. By Poincaré duality, a closed 5-manifold has vanishing Euler characteristic. Now let M be the boundary of a 5-manifold W , and consider the Euler characteristic of the closed 5-manifold $W \cup_M W$. We have $0 = \chi(W \cup_M W) = 2\chi(W) - \chi(M)$, hence $\chi(M) = 2\chi(W)$ is even. \square

By surgery below the middle dimension, any bordism class can be represented by $(M, \tilde{\nu})$ where the second component of $\tilde{\nu}$, namely $c := p_2 \circ \tilde{\nu}$, is a 2-equivalence, inducing an isomorphism $c_*: \pi_1(M) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi$. Note also that the first component of $\tilde{\nu}$ is an orientation of the bundle $\nu(M) \oplus c^*(L)$ over M , and hence all the circles required in our surgeries have trivial, hence orientable, normal bundle.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $(M_i, \tilde{\nu}_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$, be 4-manifolds with the same 1-type (π, w) and assume that the resulting classifying maps $c_i: M_i \rightarrow B\pi$ are 2-equivalences for $i = 1, 2$. If $(M_1, \tilde{\nu}_1)$ and $(M_2, \tilde{\nu}_2)$ are ξ -cobordant then there is a \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable diffeomorphism*

$$M_1 \#^r \mathbb{CP}^2 \#^{\bar{r}} \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2 \cong M_2 \#^s \mathbb{CP}^2 \#^{\bar{s}} \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2, \quad \text{for some } r, \bar{r}, s, \bar{s} \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

inducing the isomorphism $(c_2)_*^{-1} \circ (c_1)_*$ on fundamental groups and preserving the orientations on $\nu(M_i) \oplus (c_i)^*(L)$.

Remark 2.3. In the orientable case ($w = 0$) we have $r - \bar{r} = s - \bar{s}$, since the signatures of M_1 and M_2 coincide. For non-orientable M_i ($w \neq 0$), as discussed in the introduction the connected sum operation is well-defined without choosing a local orientation, and there is no difference between connected sum with \mathbb{CP}^2 and with $\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$. As a consequence, when $w \neq 0$ we can write the conclusion with $\bar{r} = 0 = \bar{s}$. We then must have $r \equiv s \pmod{2}$, since the mod 2 Euler characteristics of M_1 and M_2 coincide.

Before proving Theorem 2.2, we explain how Theorem 1.1 from the introduction follows from Theorem 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that we are given two closed, connected 4-manifolds M_1, M_2 and 2-equivalences $c_i: M_i \rightarrow B\pi$ with $(c_i)^*(w) = w_1(M_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$, such that $(c_1)_*[M_1] = (c_2)_*[M_2]$ up to $\pm \text{Aut}(\pi)$. Change c_1 and the sign of $[M_1]$, or equivalently, the orientation of $\nu(M_1) \oplus (c_1)^*(L)$, so that the images of the fundamental classes coincide. If necessary, add copies of \mathbb{CP}^2 or $\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ to M_1 until the signatures (or the Euler characteristics mod 2, as appropriate) agree. By Lemma 2.1, the resulting ξ -manifolds $(M_1, \tilde{\nu}_1)$ and $(M_2, \tilde{\nu}_2)$, are ξ -bordant, and hence by Theorem 2.2 they are \mathbb{CP}^2 -stably diffeomorphic.

For the converse, for a 4-manifold M define a map $f: M \# \mathbb{CP}^2 \rightarrow M$ that crushes $\mathbb{CP}^2 \setminus \mathring{D}^4$ to a point. The map f is degree one. The classifying map $c: M \# \mathbb{CP}^2 \rightarrow B\pi$ factors through f since any map $\mathbb{CP}^2 \rightarrow B\pi$ is null homotopic. Therefore $c_*[M \# \mathbb{CP}^2] = c_*[M] \in H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$, and similarly for $\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$ replacing \mathbb{CP}^2 . \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $(W, \tilde{\nu})$ be a compact 5-dimensional ξ -bordism between the two ξ -manifolds $(M_1, \tilde{\nu}_1)$ and $(M_2, \tilde{\nu}_2)$. By surgery below the middle dimension on W , we can arrange that $p_2 \circ \tilde{\nu}: W \rightarrow B\pi$ is a 2-equivalence and hence that both inclusions $M_i \hookrightarrow W$, $i = 1, 2$, are isomorphisms on fundamental groups. To make sure that the normal bundles to the circles we surger are trivial (so orientable), we

use that \tilde{v} pulls back w to the first Stiefel-Whitney class of W , and that we perform surgery on circles representing elements of $\pi_1(W)$ that become null homotopic in $B\pi$.

Pick an ordered Morse function on W , together with a gradient-like vector field, and study the resulting handle decomposition: W is built from $M_1 \times [0, 1]$ by attaching k -handles for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, in that order. The resulting upper boundary is M_2 . Since M_i and W are connected, we can cancel the 0- and 5-handles. Since the inclusions $M_i \hookrightarrow W$ induce epimorphisms on fundamental groups, we can also cancel the 1- and 4-handles. Both these handle cancelling manoeuvres are well-known and used in the first steps of the proof of the s -cobordism theorem e.g. [Wal16, Proposition 5.5.1]. No Whitney moves are required, so this handle cancelling also works in the 5-dimensional cobordism setting. We are left with 2- and 3-handles only.

Next, injectivity of $\pi_1(M_1) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)$ shows that the 2-handles are attached trivially to M_1 , noting that homotopy implies isotopy for circles in a 4-manifold. Similarly, the 3-handles are attached trivially to M_2 . As a consequence, the middle level $M \subset W$ between the 2- and the 3-handles is diffeomorphic to both the outcome of 1-surgeries on M_1 along trivial circles and the outcome of 1-surgeries on M_2 on trivial circles. A 1-surgery on a trivial circle changes M_i by connected sum with an oriented S^2 -bundle over S^2 . There are two such bundles since $\pi_1(\text{Diff}(S^2)) = \pi_1(O(3)) = \mathbb{Z}/2$. The twisted bundle occurs if and only if the twisted framing of the normal bundle to the trivial circle is used for the surgery. One can prove, for example using Kirby's handle calculus, that after connected sum with \mathbb{CP}^2 both $S^2 \times S^2$ and $S^2 \tilde{\times} S^2$ become diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$ [Kir89, Corollaries 4.2 and 4.3], [GS99, p. 151].

The conclusions on the fundamental groups and relative orientations follow because these aspects are controlled through the ξ -structure of the bordism. \square

Remark 2.4. The null bordant class even exhibits interesting behaviour. A standard construction of a 4-manifold with a given fundamental group π and orientation character w takes the boundary of some 5-dimensional manifold thickening $N(K)$ of a 2-complex K with $\pi_1(K) = \pi$ and $w_1(N(K)) = w$. This is the same as doubling a suitable 4-dimensional thickening of K along its boundary. By construction $W_K := \partial N(K)$ is null-bordant over $B\pi$ for any choice of K . Thus by Theorem 2.2 the \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable diffeomorphism class of W_K only depends on the 1-type (π, w) , and not on the precise choices of K and $N(K)$.

We end this section by outlining Kreck's original argument [Kre99, Thm. C]. Our hypothesis gives a bordism between M_1 and M_2 over the normal 1-type of the 4-manifolds. The normal 1-type is determined by the data (π, w_1, w_2) . After adding one copy of \mathbb{CP}^2 , the universal covering becomes non-spin and then the fibration $\xi: BSO \times B\pi \rightarrow BO$ is the normal 1-type. By subtraction of copies of $S^2 \times D^3$ tubed to the boundary, a cobordism can be improved to an s -cobordism, after allowing connected sums of the boundary with copies of $S^2 \times S^2$. Therefore by the stable s -cobordism theorem [Qui83], the bordism class of a 4-manifold in $\Omega_4(\xi)$ determines the diffeomorphism class up to further stabilisations with $S^2 \times S^2$. As remarked above, if necessary we may add one more \mathbb{CP}^2 to convert all the $S^2 \times S^2$ summands to connected sums of copies of \mathbb{CP}^2 and $\overline{\mathbb{CP}}^2$.

3. CONVENTIONS

Let π be a group and write $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}\pi$ for the group ring. For a homomorphism $w: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$, write Λ^w for the abelian group Λ considered as a (Λ, Λ) -bimodule, via the usual left action, and with the right action twisted with w , so that for $r \in \Lambda$ and $g \in \pi$ we have $r \cdot g = (-1)^{w(g)}rg$. Note that Λ and Λ^w are isomorphic as left or right modules, but *not* as bimodules.

Let R be a ring with involution and let N be an (R, Λ) -bimodule. We define another (R, Λ) -bimodule $N^w := N \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda^w$. This is canonically isomorphic to the same left R -module N with the right Λ action twisted with w . We consider Λ as a ring with involution using the *untwisted* involution determined by $g \mapsto g^{-1}$.

For a CW-complex X , or a manifold with a handle decomposition, write $\pi := \pi_1(X)$. We always assume that X is connected and comes with a single 0-cell, respectively 0-handle. The cellular or handle chain complex $C_*(\tilde{X}) \cong C_*(X; \Lambda)$ consists of left Λ -modules. Here we pick a base point in order to identify $C_*(\tilde{X})$ with $C_*(X; \Lambda)$.

Define the *homology of X with coefficients in N* as the left R -module

$$H_*(X; N) := H_*(N \otimes_{\Lambda} C_*(X; \Lambda)).$$

Define the *cohomology of X with coefficients in N* as the left R -module

$$H^*(X; N) := H_*(\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\overline{C_*(X; \Lambda)}, N)),$$

converting the chain complex into a right Λ module using involution on Λ , taking Hom of right Λ -modules, and using the left R -module structure of N for the R -module structure of the outcome.

Given a chain complex C_* over a ring with involution R , consisting of left R -modules, the cochain complex $C^* := \text{Hom}_R(C_*, R)$ consists naturally of right modules. Unless explicitly mentioned otherwise, we always convert such a cochain complex into left modules using the involution on R , that is $r \cdot c = \bar{c}r$.

We will consider closed manifolds to always be connected and smooth unless otherwise explicitly mentioned, and typically of dimension four. For an n -dimensional closed manifold M with a handle decomposition, write M^{\natural} for the dual handle decomposition. The handle chain complex $C_*(M; \Lambda)$ satisfies $C_*(M^{\natural}; \Lambda) \cong \Lambda^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C^{n-*}(M; \Lambda)$. That is, the handle chain complex associated to the dual decomposition is equal to the cochain complex of the original decomposition defined using the twisted involution. Let $d_i: C_i(M; \Lambda) \rightarrow C_{i-1}(M; \Lambda)$ be a differential in the chain complex. For emphasis, we write

$$d_w^i := \text{Id}_{\Lambda^w} \otimes d^i: C^{i-1} \rightarrow C^i$$

to indicate the differentials of the cochain complex obtained using the twisting, which coincide with the differentials of the dual handle decomposition.

A popular choice for coefficient module N will be \mathbb{Z}^w , the abelian group \mathbb{Z} considered as a (\mathbb{Z}, Λ) -bimodule via the usual left action, and with the right action of $g \in \pi$ given by multiplication by $(-1)^{w(g)}$. An n -dimensional closed manifold M , with fundamental group π and orientation character $w: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$, has a *twisted fundamental class* $[M] \in H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}^w)$.

Remark 3.1. The reader will recall, or should observe, that the orientation double cover \widehat{M} is canonically oriented. Nevertheless in our context there is still a choice of fundamental class to be made. This arises from the fact that the identification

$H_n(\widehat{M}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2])$ requires a choice of base point. The orientation class of \widehat{M} maps to either $1 - T$ or $T - 1$ times the sum of the top dimensional handles/cells of M . Evaluating the generator $T \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ to -1 yields a homomorphism $H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2]) \rightarrow H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ with the image of $[\widehat{M}]$ equal to $\pm 2[M]$. We see that although the double cover is canonically oriented, the twisted fundamental class obtained by this procedure depends on a choice of base point, so there is a choice required.

Twisted Poincaré duality says that taking the cap product with the fundamental class $[M] \in H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ gives rise to an isomorphism

$$- \cap [M]: H^{n-r}(M; N^w) \longrightarrow H_r(M; N)$$

for any r and any coefficient bimodule N . Since $N^{ww} \cong N$, applying this to N^w yields the other twisted Poincaré duality isomorphism

$$- \cap [M]: H^{n-r}(M; N) \longrightarrow H_r(M; N^w).$$

4. AN EXTENDED HOPF SEQUENCE

We present an exact sequence, extending the well-known Hopf sequence, for groups π that satisfy $H^1(\pi; \Lambda) = 0$.

Recall from Section 3 that an orientation character $w: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$ endows $\mathbb{Z}^w := \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda^w$ with a (\mathbb{Z}, Λ) -bimodule structure. The \mathbb{Z}^w -twisted homology of a space X with $\pi_1(X) = \pi$ is defined as the homology of the chain complex $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_*(\widetilde{X})$.

In the upcoming theorem, write $\pi_2(M)^w := \pi_2(M) \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda^w$, where we consider $\pi_2(M)$ as a Λ -right module using the involution given by $g \mapsto g^{-1}$. Then $\pi_2(M)^w$ is a (\mathbb{Z}, Λ) -bimodule, so we can use it as the coefficients in homology as in Section 3.

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a closed 4-manifold with classifying map $c: M \rightarrow B\pi$ and orientation character $w: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$. If $H^1(\pi; \Lambda) = 0$ there is an exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} H_4(M; \mathbb{Z}^w) &\xrightarrow{c_*} H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_1(\pi; \pi_2(M)^w) \\ &\longrightarrow H_3(M; \mathbb{Z}^w) \xrightarrow{c_*} H_3(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_0(\pi; \pi_2(M)^w) \\ &\longrightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}^w) \xrightarrow{c_*} H_2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the maps ∂ only depend on the 2-type $(\pi, w, \pi_2(M), k(M))$.

Proof. The Leray-Serre spectral sequence applied to the fibration $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow M \rightarrow B\pi$ with homology theory $H_*(-; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ has second page

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(B\pi; H_q(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}^w)) = H_p(B\pi; H_q(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda^w).$$

Here we consider $H_q(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z})$ as a right Λ -module and the fact that \widetilde{M} is simply connected means that the w -twisting can be taken outside the homology. The spectral sequence converges to $H_{p+q}(M; \mathbb{Z}^w)$.

First, $H_1(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, and

$$H_3(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_3(M; \Lambda) \cong H^1(M; \Lambda) \cong H^1(\pi; \Lambda) = 0.$$

Thus the $q = 1$ and $q = 3$ rows of the E^2 page vanish. Since $H_0(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, the $q = 0$ row coincides with the group homology $E_{p,0}^2 = H_p(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$. We can write $H_2(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \pi_2(\widetilde{M}) \cong \pi_2(M)$ by the Hurewicz theorem, and the long exact

sequence in homotopy groups associated to the fibration above. Therefore the $q = 2$ row reads as

$$E_{p,2}^2 = H_p(\pi; \pi_2(M)^w).$$

Since the $q = 1$ and $q = 3$ lines vanish, the d^2 differentials with domains of degree $q \leq 2$ vanish, so we can turn to the E^3 page. We have d^3 differentials

$$d_{3,0}^3: H_3(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \longrightarrow H_0(\pi; \pi_2(M)^w),$$

$$d_{4,0}^3: H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \longrightarrow H_1(\pi; \pi_2(M)^w).$$

It is now a standard procedure to obtain the long exact sequence from the spectral sequence, whose highlights we elucidate. On the 2-line, the terms on the E^∞ page yield a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{coker}(d_{3,0}^3) \longrightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}^w) \longrightarrow H_2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \longrightarrow 0.$$

On the 3-line, similar considerations give rise to a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{coker } d_{4,0}^3 \longrightarrow H_3(M; \mathbb{Z}^w) \longrightarrow \ker d_{3,0}^3 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Finally the 4-line gives rise to a surjection

$$H_4(M; \mathbb{Z}^w) \longrightarrow \ker d_{4,0}^3 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Splice these together to yield the desired long exact sequence.

It remains to argue that the d^3 differentials in the Leray-Serre spectral sequence only depend on the k -invariant of M . To see this, consider the map of fibrations

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \widetilde{M} & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & K(\pi, 1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ K(\pi_2(M), 2) & \longrightarrow & P_2(M) & \longrightarrow & K(\pi, 1) \end{array}$$

induced by the 3-equivalence from M to its second Postnikov section $P_2(M)$. It induces a map of the spectral sequences, and since the map $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow K(\pi_2(M), 2)$ is an isomorphism on homology in degrees 0, 1 and 2, it follows that the two d^3 differentials in the long exact sequences can be identified. Therefore, they only depend on $P_2(M)$, or equivalently, on $(\pi_1(M), \pi_2(M), k(M))$. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose that $H^1(\pi; \Lambda) = 0$ and that M is a closed 4-manifold with 1-type (π, w) . Then the subgroup generated by $c_*[M] \in H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ only depends on the 2-type $(\pi, w, \pi_2(M), k(M))$.*

Proof. The subgroup generated by $c_*[M]$ is precisely the image of $c_*: H_4(M; \mathbb{Z}^w) \rightarrow H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$. By Theorem 4.1 the image of c_* is the same as the kernel of the map $\partial: H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \rightarrow H_1(\pi; \pi_2(M)^w)$. Since the latter only depends on the 2-type of M , so does the image of c_* . \square

In particular, if $H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ is torsion-free and $H^1(\pi; \Lambda) = 0$, then since the subgroup generated by $c_*[M]$ determines $c_*[M]$ up to sign, Corollary 4.2 implies that the 2-type of M suffices to determine its \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable diffeomorphism class. This proves a special case of Theorem A (ii). We will also make use of Corollary 4.2 to deduce Theorem A (iii), but we postpone this discussion until the end of Section 6, so that we can collect the facts needed to prove Theorem A in one place.

5. COMPUTING FOURTH HOMOLOGY AS AN EXTENSION

In this section we prove Proposition 1.6 and Theorem 1.7, relating the \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable classification to the stable isomorphism class of the second homotopy group as an extension.

Definition 5.1. For any ring R , we say that two R -modules P and Q are *stably isomorphic*, and write $P \cong_s Q$, if there exist non-negative integers p and q such that $P \oplus R^p \cong Q \oplus R^q$.

Lemma 5.2. Let K_1, K_2 be finite 2-complexes with 2-equivalences $K_i \rightarrow B\pi$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then there exist $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $K_1 \vee \bigvee_{i=1}^p S^2$ and $K_2 \vee \bigvee_{i=1}^q S^2$ are homotopy equivalent over $B\pi$. In particular $H_2(K_1; \Lambda)$ and $H_2(K_2; \Lambda)$ are stably isomorphic Λ -modules and so are $H^2(K_1; \Lambda^w)$ and $H^2(K_2; \Lambda^w)$.

Proof. After collapsing maximal trees in the 1-skeletons of K_i , we can assume that both K_i have a unique 0-cell. The lemma follows from the existence of Tietze transformations that relate the resulting presentations of the group π , by realising the sequence of transformations on the presentation by cellular expansions and collapses. See for example [HAM93, (40)]. \square

Let M be a closed, smooth 4-manifold with a 2-equivalence $c: M \rightarrow B\pi$ and an element $w \in H^1(\pi; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ such that $c^*(w) = w_1(M)$. Consider a handle decomposition of M with a single 0-handle and a single 4-handle. For a finite, connected 2-complex K with fundamental group π , Hambleton showed [Ham09, Theorem 4.2] that $\pi_2(M)$ is stably isomorphic as a Λ -module to an extension E of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow H_2(K; \Lambda) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow H^2(K; \Lambda^w) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Note that in [Ham09, Theorem 4.2] only the oriented case was considered. To identify the equivalence class of this extension with $c_*[M] \in H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$, which is the goal of this section, we need the following version of this theorem.

Write $(C_*, d_*) = C_*(\widetilde{M})$, the free left Λ -module chain complex arising from a handle decomposition of M . Let $i: \ker d_2 \rightarrow C_2$ and $i': \ker d_2 / \operatorname{im} d_3 \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} d_3$ denote the natural inclusions and let $p: \ker d_2 \rightarrow \ker d_2 / \operatorname{im} d_3$ and $p': C_2 \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} d_3$ denote the natural projections.

Theorem 5.3. *There is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker d_2 \xrightarrow{(i, -p)^T} C_2 \oplus H_2(C_*) \xrightarrow{(p', i')} \operatorname{coker} d_3 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \ker d_2 & \xrightarrow{i} & C_2 \\ \downarrow p & & \downarrow p' \\ H_2(C_*) = \ker d_2 / \operatorname{im} d_3 & \xrightarrow{i'} & \operatorname{coker} d_3. \end{array}$$

It is straightforward to check that this square is a pullback as well as a push out. Therefore, we obtain the claimed short exact sequence. \square

We quickly explain why Theorem 5.3 coincides with [Ham09, Theorem 4.2]. In addition to the Hurewicz isomorphism $\pi_2(M) \cong H_2(\widetilde{M}) \cong H_2(C_*)$, in that reference $\operatorname{coker} d_3$ is replaced with $H^2(K; \Lambda^w)$, and $\ker d_2$ is replaced with $H_2(K; \Lambda)$, where K is a finite 2-complex with $\pi_1(K) = \pi$.

To see why one can make these replacements, first it follows from Lemma 5.2 that $\ker d_2 = H_2(M^{(2)}; \Lambda)$ is stably isomorphic to $H_2(K; \Lambda)$. Let M^\natural be the manifold M endowed with the dual handle decomposition, and let (C_*, δ_*) be its Λ -module chain complex. Observe that under the canonical identification of $C_i^\natural = \Lambda^w \otimes_\Lambda C^{4-i}$, we have $\delta_i = d_w^{5-i}$ and $\delta_w^i = d_{5-i}$. It follows from Lemma 5.2 that

$$\ker d_2 = H_2(M^{(2)}; \Lambda) \cong_s H_2((M^\natural)^{(2)}; \Lambda) = \ker \delta_2 = \ker d_w^3,$$

$$\operatorname{coker} d_3 = \operatorname{coker} \delta_w^2 = H^2((M^\natural)^{(2)}; \Lambda^w) \cong_s H^2(M^{(2)}; \Lambda^w) = \operatorname{coker} d_w^2.$$

We record these observations for later use.

Lemma 5.4. *If (C_*, d_*) is the chain complex arising from a handle decomposition of a closed 4-manifold with orientation character w , there are stable isomorphisms $\ker d_2 \cong_s \ker d_w^3$ and $\operatorname{coker} d_3 \cong_s \operatorname{coker} d_w^2$.*

We will use the following description of the extension group.

Remark 5.5. Let R be a ring and let N, M be R -modules. Recall that $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$ can be described as follows e.g. [HS71, Chapter IV.7]. Choose a projective resolution

$$\cdots \longrightarrow P_2 \xrightarrow{p_2} P_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} P_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

of M and consider the induced sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(P_0, N) \xrightarrow{(p_1)^*} \operatorname{Hom}_R(P_1, N) \xrightarrow{(p_2)^*} \operatorname{Hom}_R(P_2, N)$$

Then there is a natural isomorphism between $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(M, N)$ and the homology of this sequence at $\operatorname{Hom}_R(P_1, N)$.

As we aim towards the proof of Proposition 1.6 and Theorem 1.7, we need to develop some homological algebra.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $D_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} D_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} D_0$ be an exact sequence of finitely generated projective Λ -modules, let $d^2: D^1 \rightarrow D^2$ be the dual of d_2 and let $f: L \rightarrow D^1$ be a Λ -homomorphism with image $f(L) = \ker d^2$. Then*

$$D_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} D_1 \xrightarrow{f^*} \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(L, \Lambda)$$

is also exact.

Proof. First note that $f^* \circ d_2 = (d^2 \circ f)^* = 0$. We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} D^0 & \xrightarrow{d^1} & D^1 & \xrightarrow{d^2} & D^2 \\ & \searrow & \nearrow & & \\ L & \xrightarrow{f} & \ker d^2 & & \end{array}$$

Dualise, and identify D_0, D_1 and D_2 with their double duals, to obtain the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} D_2 & \xrightarrow{d_2} & D_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & D_0 \\ & \searrow & \nearrow & & \\ & & \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(\ker d^2, \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(L, \Lambda). \end{array}$$

Since $\operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(-, \Lambda)$ is left exact, $f^*: \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(\ker d^2, \Lambda) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(L, \Lambda)$ is injective as shown. In particular, every element in the kernel of $f^*: D_1 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_\Lambda(L, \Lambda)$ is also in the kernel of d_1 , and hence in the image of d_2 . \square

The key to the proof of Proposition 1.6 and Theorem 1.7 is the upcoming homological algebra, which is a variant of dimension shifting [Bro82, Chapter III.7].

Consider an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker d_2 \longrightarrow C_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} C_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

of free left Λ -modules C_i . Let (D_*, δ_*) be a chain complex of projective right Λ -modules such that D_0, D_1 and D_2 are finitely generated. Let D_{mod}^* be the cochain complex of left modules with $D_{mod}^i = D^i$ (the dual module to D_i without applying the involution) for $i > 0$, $D_{mod}^0 := \ker(\delta^2: D^1 \rightarrow D^2)$, and $\delta_{mod}^1: D_{mod}^0 \rightarrow D_{mod}^1 = D^1$ the inclusion.

Next we define a homomorphism

$$\psi: \ker(D_4 \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow D_3 \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(D^*, \ker d_2))$$

of abelian groups. Let $F_{p,q} := D_p \otimes_{\Lambda} C_q$. In the following diagram of abelian groups, for left Λ -modules A, B , we abbreviate $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A, B)$ to (A, B) .

(5.7)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & & & F_{5,0} \rightarrow D_5 \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & F_{4,1} \rightarrow F_{4,0} \rightarrow D_4 \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & F_{3,2} \rightarrow F_{3,1} \rightarrow F_{3,0} \rightarrow D_3 \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & & & \downarrow \\
 0 \longrightarrow & (D^2, \ker d_2) \longrightarrow & (D^2, C_2) = & F_{2,2} \longrightarrow & F_{2,1} \longrightarrow & F_{2,0} \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 0 \longrightarrow & (D^1, \ker d_2) \longrightarrow & (D^1, C_2) = & F_{1,2} \longrightarrow & F_{1,1} \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 0 \longrightarrow & (D_{mod}^0, \ker d_2) \longrightarrow & (D_{mod}^0, C_2) = & (D_{mod}^0, \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} C_2
 \end{array}$$

Here the horizontal maps on the left are given by the inclusion of $\ker d_2$ into C_2 . The isomorphisms are the natural identification of D_1, D_2 with their double duals. That is, for $i = 1, 2$, $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(D^i, C_2) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(D^i, \Lambda) \otimes_{\Lambda} C_2 \cong D_i \otimes_{\Lambda} C_2 = F_{i,2}$. The other maps are either $\delta_i \otimes_{\Lambda} \operatorname{Id}_{C_2}$ or $\operatorname{Id}_{D^i} \otimes_{\Lambda} d_i$. Since D_p is projective, the rows of the diagram are exact, when the identifications, shown with $=$, which arise from canonical isomorphisms, are not considered as maps in the diagram. In all the columns, double compositions are zero.

To define

$$\psi: \ker(D_4 \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow D_3 \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(D_{mod}^*, \ker d_2)),$$

start with an element in the kernel of $D_4 \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow D_3 \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}$, and take a preimage in $F_{4,0}$. Its image in $F_{3,0}$ maps to zero in $D_3 \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}$ and thus there exists a preimage in $F_{3,1}$. Continue this diagram chase to obtain an element in $\operatorname{Hom}(D^2, C_2) \cong F_{2,2}$, and then an element in the kernel of $\operatorname{Hom}(D^1, \ker d_2) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(D_{mod}^0, \ker d_2)$. Another

diagram chase shows that this element is independent of the choices made in the previous chase, up to an element in the image of $\text{Hom}(D^2, \ker d_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(D^1, \ker d_2)$. Thus we obtain a well defined homomorphism

$$\ker(D_4 \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow D_3 \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(D_{mod}^*, \ker d_2)).$$

Lemma 5.8. *The map ψ induces a natural homomorphism*

$$\psi: H_4(D_* \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(D_{mod}^*, \ker d_2)).$$

If the complex D_ is exact at D_i for $i > 0$, then ψ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Starting with an element in the image of $D_5 \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow D_4 \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}$, the preimage in $F_{4,0}$ can be chosen in such a way that its image in $F_{3,0}$ is zero. It follows that the image of $D_5 \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow D_4 \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}$ lies in the kernel of the above map, so we obtain a well defined map

$$\psi: H_4(D_* \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(D_{mod}^*, \ker d_2)).$$

Since all maps in the diagram (5.7) are induced from boundary maps in the chain complexes, a chain map $D_* \rightarrow D'_*$ induces a map of diagrams. From this it follows that the map ψ is natural with respect to chain maps $D_* \rightarrow D'_*$.

To prove the last sentence of the lemma, let us now assume that D_* is exact. By Lemma 5.6 with $L = D_{mod}^0$, the sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow D_3 \rightarrow D_2 \xrightarrow{d_2^D} D_1 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(D_{mod}^0, \Lambda)$$

is exact. It follows that the three columns of the diagram involving terms of the form $F_{*,*}$ are exact, since they arise from tensoring exact sequences with a free module C_i . By a diagram chase, every element x in the kernel of $\text{Hom}(D^1, \ker d_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(D_{mod}^0, \ker d_2)$ can now be lifted to an element in the kernel of $D_4 \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow D_3 \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}$. This diagram chase is straightforward. Send x to $F_{1,2}$. By commutativity and exactness of the column containing $F_{1,2}$, it lifts to $F_{2,2}$. Send the resulting element to $F_{2,2}$, and repeat the procedure. Two further iterations yield the desired element of $D_4 \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}$. One should also check that the resulting element in homology is independent of the choices of lifts made.

It requires yet another diagram chase to show that this procedure defines an inverse homomorphism to ψ on the level of homology. \square

Remark 5.9. In the above result, assume that (D_*, δ_*) is a projective resolution of \mathbb{Z} over Λ , for example coming from a cell decomposition of $B\pi$. Then standard dimension shifting [Bro82, Chapter III.7] gives an isomorphism

$$s: H_4(D_* \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_1(\pi; \ker d_2) \cong H_1(D_* \otimes_{\Lambda} \ker d_2)$$

and we claim that our variation factors this s through the map ψ .

Let A be a right Λ -module and let B be a left Λ -module. The slant map

$$\begin{aligned} A \otimes_{\Lambda} B &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(A^*, B) \\ a \otimes b &\mapsto (\varphi \mapsto \varphi(a) \cdot b) \end{aligned}$$

is a natural homomorphism. If A is finitely generated and projective, then this map is an isomorphism. Compose the map induced by $\delta^0: D^0 \rightarrow D_{mod}^0$ with the inverse of the slant map to obtain the composition

$$\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(D_{mod}^0, \ker d_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(D^0, \ker d_2) \cong D_0 \otimes_{\Lambda} \ker d_2.$$

By our assumption that D_0, D_1 and D_2 are finitely generated and projective, this composition extends to a partial chain map $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(D_{mod}^*, \ker d_2) \rightarrow D_* \otimes_\Lambda \ker d_2$ for $* \leq 2$. The diagram chases discussed above show that this chain map induces the isomorphism on first homology $r: H_1(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(D_{mod}^*, \ker d_2)) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_1(D_* \otimes_\Lambda \ker d_2)$. In the case that D_* is a resolution of \mathbb{Z} by Λ -modules, the homomorphism $r \circ \psi$ coincides with s , as asserted above.

Corollary 5.10. *Let (D_*, δ_*) be a cellular Λ -chain complex for a CW-complex model for $B\pi$ with finite 2-skeleton, and let (C_*, d_*) be the Λ -module chain complex of a finite 2-complex K with $\pi_1(K) \cong \pi$. For any $w: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$, the map ψ from Lemma 5.8 induces an isomorphism*

$$H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\text{coker } \delta_w^2, \ker d_2).$$

Proof. Let $P_2 \rightarrow P_1 := D_w^1 \xrightarrow{\delta_w^2} P_0 := D_w^2 \rightarrow \text{coker } \delta_w^2$ be the start of a projective resolution of $\text{coker } \delta_w^2$. Then

$$\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\text{coker } \delta_w^2, \ker d_2) \cong H_1(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_*, \ker d_2)).$$

Let $P'_1 := P_1, P'_0 := P_0$ and $P'_2 := \ker \delta_w^2$. Then we claim that

$$H_1(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_*, \ker d_2)) \cong H_1(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(P'_*, \ker d_2)).$$

To see the claim, note that every element of $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_1, \ker d_2)$ that maps to zero in $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_2, \ker d_2)$ already goes to zero in $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(\ker \delta_w^2, \ker d_2)$. The map $P_2 \rightarrow P_1$ factors as $P_2 \rightarrow \ker \delta_w^2 \rightarrow P_1$, so $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_1, \ker d_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_2, \ker d_2)$ factors as

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_1, \ker d_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\ker \delta_w^2, \ker d_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P_2, \ker d_2).$$

So cycles are identified, and the boundaries coincide since $P_1 \rightarrow P_0$ and $P'_1 \rightarrow P'_0$ are identified. This proves the claim.

By Lemma 5.8, there is an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) &= H_4(D_* \otimes_\Lambda \mathbb{Z}^w) = H_4(D_*^w \otimes_\Lambda \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_1(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(P'_*, \ker d_2)) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\text{coker } \delta_w^2, \ker d_2). \end{aligned}$$

To see that Lemma 5.8 applies, note that $P'_i = (D_w^{2-i})_{mod}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$, where $(D_w^*)_{mod}$ is as in Lemma 5.8. \square

To compare the Ext groups of the last corollary for different models of $B\pi$, we need the following sequence of lemmas. Let K be a finite 2-complex with one 0-cell and fundamental group π .

Lemma 5.11. *For any $w: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$, we have*

$$\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(H^2(K; \Lambda^w), \Lambda) = 0,$$

and the inclusion $K \hookrightarrow K \vee S^2$ induces an isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(H^2(K; \Lambda^w), H_2(K; \Lambda)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(H^2(K; \Lambda^w) \oplus \Lambda, H_2(K; \Lambda) \oplus \Lambda).$$

Proof. Let $D_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} D_1 \rightarrow D_0$ be the cellular chain complex of K , consisting of right Λ -modules. Let P be a projective module mapping onto the kernel of $\partial_w^*: D_w^1 \rightarrow D_w^2$ and consider the following sequence

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(D_w^2, \Lambda) = D_2^w \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(D_w^1, \Lambda) = D_1^w \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, \Lambda).$$

An element in $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(H^2(K; \Lambda^w), \Lambda)$ corresponds to a homology class in $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(D_w^1, \Lambda)$ in the above sequence because $P \rightarrow D_w^1 \rightarrow D_w^2$ is the start of a projective resolution for $H^2(K; \Lambda^w)$. By Lemma 5.6, the sequence $D_2^w \rightarrow D_1^w \rightarrow P^*$ is exact. Therefore, $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(H^2(K; \Lambda^w), \Lambda) = 0$.

Now, for the second statement, it is easy to see that the Ext^1 of a direct sum, in either position, is the direct sum of the corresponding Ext^1 groups, and that $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\Lambda, H_2(K; \Lambda)) = 0$. Thus the inclusion $K \rightarrow K \vee S^2$ induces an isomorphism as claimed. \square

Lemma 5.12. *For any $w: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$, and for any self-homotopy equivalence $f: K \rightarrow K$ that induces the identity on the fundamental group $\pi = \pi_1(K)$, the induced endomorphisms $\text{Ext}(f^*, \text{Id})$ and $\text{Ext}(\text{Id}, f_*)$ of $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(H^2(K; \Lambda^w), H_2(K; \Lambda))$ are the identity maps.*

Proof. The induced maps $\text{Ext}(f^*, \text{Id})$ and $\text{Ext}(\text{Id}, f_*)$ only depend on the homotopy class of f . We will change f up to homotopy until it becomes evident that these maps are the identity. The first step is to make f cellular, which implies that f sends the (single) 0-cell to itself and that f sends the generating 1-cells into combinations of 1-cells. Since f induces the identity on $\pi_1(K)$, we can find a homotopy on the 1-skeleton $K^{(1)}$ from $f^{(1)}: K^{(1)} \rightarrow K$ to a map that factors through the identity on $K^{(1)}$. By homotopy extension, this homotopy can be extended to K so that we arrive at $f: K \rightarrow K$ that is the identity on $K^{(1)}$.

Let $C_2 \xrightarrow{\partial} C_1 \rightarrow C_0$ be the cellular chain complex of K consisting of right Λ -modules. Then f induces the identity on C_0 and C_1 . Let P be a projective module mapping onto the kernel of the dual map ∂_w^* , giving an exact sequence

$$P \xrightarrow{p} C_w^1 \xrightarrow{\partial_w^*} C_w^2.$$

Let $i: \ker \partial \rightarrow C_2$ be the inclusion and consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C_w^2, \ker \partial) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C_w^2, C_2) \\ \downarrow \partial_w^{**} & & \downarrow \partial_w^{**} \\ \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C_w^1, \ker \partial) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C_w^1, C_2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, \ker \partial) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, C_2) \end{array}$$

An element in $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(H^2(K; \Lambda^w), H_2(K; \Lambda))$ corresponds to the homology class of an element $g \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C_w^1, \ker \partial)$ in the left sequence above; note that $H_2(K; \Lambda) = \ker \partial$ and $H^2(K; \Lambda^w) = \text{coker } \partial_w^*$. In particular,

$$P \rightarrow C_w^1 \xrightarrow{\partial_w^*} C_w^2 \rightarrow H^2(K; \Lambda^w) \rightarrow 0$$

is the start of a projective resolution for $H^2(K; \Lambda^w)$. Since f^* is the identity on C_w^1 , the map $\text{Ext}(f^*, \text{Id})$ is the identity map.

It remains to investigate the map $\text{Ext}(\text{Id}, f_*)$. From Lemma 5.6 it follows that the right-hand vertical sequence is exact, and so there exists $h \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C_w^2, C_2)$ mapping to $i_*(g)$. We have $\text{Ext}(\text{Id}, f_*)(g) = [(f_2)|_{\ker \partial} \circ g]$, where $f_2: C_2 \rightarrow C_2$ is the map induced by f . Since

$$(f_2)|_{\ker \partial} \circ g - g = (f_2 - \text{Id}_{C_2}) \circ i_*(g) = (f_2 - \text{Id}_{C_2}) \circ h \circ \partial_w^{**} = (f_2 \circ h - h) \circ \partial_w^{**},$$

$(f_2)|_{\ker \partial \circ g}$ and g differ by a boundary if we can show that $(f_2 \circ h - h) \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C_w^1, \ker \partial)$. This follows again from $f_1 = \text{Id}$ because $\partial \circ f_2 \circ h = f_1 \circ \partial \circ h = \partial \circ h$. \square

We obtain the following corollary, where the compatibility with the isomorphism from Corollary 5.10 follows from the naturality of the map ψ from Lemma 5.8.

Corollary 5.13. *Consider finite 2-complexes K_i , $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, with 2-equivalences $K_i \rightarrow B\pi$ for each i , and fix a homomorphism $w: \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$. Any stable homotopy equivalences over $B\pi$ as in Lemma 5.2, between K_1, K_2 and K_3, K_4 respectively, induce the same isomorphism*

$$\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(H^2(K_1; \Lambda^w), H_2(K_2; \Lambda)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(H^2(K_3; \Lambda^w), H_2(K_4; \Lambda)).$$

This isomorphism is compatible with the isomorphism from Corollary 5.10. Therefore given two 2-complexes K_1, K_2 as above, complete K_1 to $B\pi$ by adding cells of dimension 3 and higher. In the notation of Corollary 5.10, let D_* be the chain complex of this model for $B\pi$, and let C_* be the chain complex of K_2 . We obtain an isomorphism from Corollary 5.10

$$\Psi(K_1, K_2): H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(H^2(K_1; \Lambda^w), H_2(K_2; \Lambda)).$$

By Corollary 5.13, $\Psi(K_1, K_2)$ depends only on K_1 and K_2 .

Theorem 5.14. *Let M be a closed 4-manifold with a 2-equivalence $c: M \rightarrow B\pi$ and let (C_*, d_*) be the chain complex from a handle decomposition of M . Then the isomorphism $\Psi((M^\natural)^{(2)}, M^{(2)})$ takes $c_*[M]$ to the equivalence class of the extension from Theorem 5.3:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker d_2 \longrightarrow C_2 \oplus H_2(C_*) \longrightarrow \text{coker } d_3 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here M^\natural denotes the dual handle decomposition of M .

Theorem 5.14 implies Proposition 1.6. Theorem 1.7 also follows because $c_*[M] \in H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ determines the \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable diffeomorphism type by Theorem 1.1.

Proof. As discussed before (just before Lemma 5.4), we have

$$H^2((M^\natural)^{(2)}; \Lambda^w) = \text{coker } d_3 \quad \text{and} \quad H_2(M^{(2)}; \Lambda) = \ker d_2.$$

Let P be a projective module with an epimorphism onto $\ker d_3$ and consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} P & \longrightarrow & C_3 & \xrightarrow{d_3} & C_2 & \xrightarrow{p} & \text{coker } d_3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow d_3 & & \downarrow i_1 & & \downarrow = & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker d_2 & \xrightarrow{(i, -p)^T} & C_2 \oplus H_2(C_*) & \xrightarrow{(p', i')} & \text{coker } d_3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where i, i', p, p' are the canonical inclusions or projections and i_1 is inclusion into the first summand. The lower row is the extension we are interested in and the upper row is the start of a projective resolution of $\text{coker } d_3$. Thus the extension is classified by the homology class of $d_3 \in \text{Hom}(C_3, \ker d_2)$ in the sequence

$$\text{Hom}(C_2, \ker d_2) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(C_3, \ker d_2) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(P, \ker d_2)$$

or equivalently in the sequence

$$(5.15) \quad \text{Hom}(C_2, \ker d_2) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(C_3, \ker d_2) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\ker d_3, \ker d_2).$$

Let $(D_*, \delta_*) := (C^{4-*}, d_w^{5-*})$ and observe that, in the notation of Lemma 5.8, the previous sequence (5.15) is the first three terms of the left-most nonzero column in the big diagram (5.7) in the preamble to Lemma 5.8, while $H_1(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(D_{mod}^*, \ker d_2))$ is $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\text{coker } d_3, \ker d_2)$.

Also recall that (C^{4-*}, d_w^{5-*}) is the handle chain complex of M^\natural and hence the complex $(D_* \otimes_\Lambda \mathbb{Z}, \delta_* \otimes \text{Id})$ computes $H_*(M^\natural; \mathbb{Z}^w) \cong H_*(M; \mathbb{Z}^w)$.

Let E_* be a f.g. free resolution of \mathbb{Z} as a right Λ -module starting with (C^{4-*}, d_w^{5-*}) for $* \leq 2$. Then there is a chain map $f_*: D_* \rightarrow E_*^w$ (unique up to chain homotopy) which is an isomorphism for $* \leq 2$. Note, that the complex E_*^w is exact for $* > 0$ and hence Lemma 5.8 gives an isomorphism ψ_E for it, with $D_* = E_*^w$. The naturality statement of Lemma 5.8 implies that the right hand square of the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & & \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\text{coker } d_3, \ker d_2) & \\
& & & \downarrow \cong & \\
H_4(M; \mathbb{Z}^w) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_4(D_* \otimes_\Lambda \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\psi_D} & H_1(\text{Hom}_\Lambda((D_{mod}^*)^*, \ker d_2)) \\
\downarrow c_* & & \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow f_* \cong \\
H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_4(E_*^w \otimes_\Lambda \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\psi_E} & H_1(\text{Hom}_\Lambda((E_{mod}^w)^*, \ker d_2))
\end{array}$$

It suffices to show that the upper horizontal composition sends $[M] \in H_4(M; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ to $[d_3] \in H_1(\text{Hom}_\Lambda((D_{mod}^*)^*, \ker d_2))$. On the one hand, the augmentation

$$[\varepsilon: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}] \in H^0(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_4(C^{4-*} \otimes_\Lambda \mathbb{Z}) = H_4(D_* \otimes_\Lambda \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_4(M; \mathbb{Z}^w)$$

is the image of the fundamental class $[M] \in H_4(M; \mathbb{Z}^w)$. On the other hand, the augmentation $[\varepsilon]$ also corresponds to $[d_3] \in H_1(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(D_{mod}^*, \ker d_2))$ by tracing carefully through the diagram (5.7), as follows. In order to trace through the diagram, we repeatedly use the identifications

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(C_{4-p}, C_q) = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(D^p, C_q) = D_p \otimes_\Lambda C_p = F_{p,q}.$$

Start with the representative

$$d_3 \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C_3, \ker d_2) = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(D^1, \ker d_2)$$

in the lower left of that diagram. Map d_3 to the right, to $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(D^1, C_2)$. The image here equals the image of $\text{Id}_{C_2} \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C_2, C_2) = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(D^2, C_2)$ under the vertical map

$$(\delta^2)^* = (d_3)^*: \text{Hom}_\Lambda(D^2, C_2) = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C_2, C_2) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_\Lambda(D^1, C_2) = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C_3, C_2).$$

Map Id_{C_2} to the right to $F_{2,1} = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C_2, C_1)$ to obtain d_2 again. Then d_2 lifts to $\text{Id}_{C_1} \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C_1, C_1) = F_{3,1}$ under the next vertical map. Map to the right again to obtain $d_1 \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C_1, C_0) = F_{3,0}$, which lifts to $\text{Id}_{C_0} \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C_0, C_0) = F_{4,0}$. Map to the right one last time to obtain

$$\varepsilon \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(C_0, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(D^4, \mathbb{Z}) = D_4 \otimes_\Lambda \mathbb{Z}.$$

This proves that $[d_3]$ is the image of $[\varepsilon]$ under the composition $H^0(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_4(D_* \otimes_\Lambda \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\psi} H_1(\text{Hom}_\Lambda(D_{mod}^*, \ker d_2))$, which completes the proof of Theorem 5.14. \square

6. DETECTING THE EXTENSION CLASS USING THE POSTNIKOV 2-TYPE

Let CW_* denote the category of based connected CW-complexes and based homotopy classes of maps. The second stage of the Postnikov tower gives a functor $P_2: CW_* \rightarrow CW_2$ whose codomain is the full subcategory of based, connected, and 3-coconnected (i.e. homotopy groups π_i vanish for $i \geq 3$) CW-complexes. As discussed in the introduction, the k -invariant $k(X) \in H^3(\pi_1(X), \pi_2(X))$ of $X \in CW_*$ classifies the fibration

$$K(\pi_2(X), 2) \longrightarrow P_2(X) \longrightarrow K(\pi_1(X), 1).$$

The functor P_2 is full (surjective on morphisms), but it is not faithful (injective on morphisms) since non-homotopic maps can become homotopic over the Postnikov 2-section. The category CW_2 can be described purely algebraically as follows.

Let Post_2 denote the category whose objects are triples (G, A, k) , consisting of a group G , a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module A and an element $k \in H^3(G; A)$. A morphism $(G, A, k) \rightarrow (G', A', k')$ is a pair (φ_1, φ_2) consisting of a group homomorphism $\varphi_1: G \rightarrow G'$ and a map $\varphi_2: A \rightarrow A'$ which is $\mathbb{Z}G$ -linear with respect to the G -action on A' induced by φ_1 , such that $\varphi_2(k) = \varphi_1^*(k') \in H^3(G; A')$.

The functor $CW_2 \rightarrow \text{Post}_2$ given by $X \mapsto (\pi_1(X), \pi_2(X), k(X))$ turns out to be an equivalence of categories. Precomposing this functor with P_2 we get the *algebraic* Postnikov 2-type of X which we also denote by $P_2(X)$. By Whitehead's theorem, a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between connected CW-complexes induces a homotopy equivalence $P_2(f): P_2(X) \rightarrow P_2(Y)$ if and only if f induces isomorphisms on π_1 and π_2 .

We will now restrict to based connected CW-complexes with fundamental group π and set $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\pi]$. A cellular map induces a map on cellular Λ -module chain complexes. In the sequel we will need the following reverse construction.

Lemma 6.1. *Let (C_*, d_*^C) and (D_*, d_*^D) denote the cellular Λ -module chain complexes of connected 3-dimensional CW-complexes X, Y with fundamental group π . Assume that X and Y have identical 2-skeleta, so $C_i = D_i$ and $d_i^D = d_i^C$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2$. Every chain map*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} C_3 & \xrightarrow{d_3^C} & C_2 & \xrightarrow{d_2^C} & C_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1^C} & C_0 \\ \downarrow \alpha_3 & & \downarrow \alpha_2 & & \downarrow \text{Id} & & \downarrow \text{Id} \\ D_3 & \xrightarrow{d_3^D} & D_2 & \xrightarrow{d_2^D} & D_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1^D} & D_0 \end{array}$$

can be realised by a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ that induces the identity on π_1 . Moreover, α_2 induces an isomorphism $C_2/\text{im } d_3^C \xrightarrow{\cong} D_2/\text{im } d_3^D$ if and only if f induces an isomorphism on π_2 .

Proof. Start with the identity on $X^{(2)} = Y^{(2)}$. Note that $\alpha_2 - \text{Id}$ has image in $\ker d_2^D \cong \pi_2(Y^{(2)})$. For each 2-cell of $X^{(2)}$, we want to change its image in $Y^{(2)}$ so that the map α_2 is induced. Wedge a 2-sphere off from every 2-cell of X and map it according to $\alpha_2 - \text{Id}$ to $Y^{(2)}$. This changes the identity to a map $f^{(2)}: X^{(2)} \rightarrow Y^{(2)}$ realising the above chain map up to degree 2. To extend $f^{(2)}$ to a map between 3-dimensional complexes X and Y , consider a 3-cell e^3 of X . The image of the boundary $f^{(2)}(\partial e^3)$ lies in $\pi_2(Y)$, which equals $H_2(D_*)$ by the Hurewicz theorem; note that $H_1(D_*) = 0$ because $\pi_1(Y) = \pi$. Let $x \in D_3$ be α_3 applied to the

generator of C_3 corresponding to e^3 . Then $d_3^D(x) = [f^{(2)}(\partial e^3)] \in D_2$. We can therefore use x to extend $f^{(2)}$ across e^3 . Do this for all 3-cells of X to obtain a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker d_2^C / \operatorname{im} d_3^C & \longrightarrow & C_2 / \operatorname{im} d_3^C & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{im} d_2^C \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha_2 & & \downarrow \alpha_2 & & \downarrow \operatorname{Id} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker d_2^D / \operatorname{im} d_3^D & \longrightarrow & D_2 / \operatorname{im} d_3^D & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{im} d_2^D \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

whose rows are exact. The last part of the statement follows from the five lemma applied to this diagram together with the observation that $\ker d_2^C / \operatorname{im} d_3^C \cong \pi_2(X)$ and $\ker d_2^D / \operatorname{im} d_3^D \cong \pi_2(Y)$. \square

Consider the full subcategory of Post_2 consisting of those objects with fundamental group π . We may view an object as a pair (A, k) , omitting π . Call two such pairs (A, k) and (A', k') *stably isomorphic* if there exist integers $n, n' \in \mathbb{N}$ and an isomorphism $\varphi: A \oplus (\Lambda)^n \rightarrow A' \oplus (\Lambda)^{n'}$ such that the induced map on third cohomology sends $(k, 0) \in H^3(\pi; A) \oplus H^3(\pi; \Lambda^n) \cong H^3(\pi; A \oplus (\Lambda)^n)$ to $(k', 0) \in H^3(\pi; A') \oplus H^3(\pi; \Lambda^{n'}) \cong H^3(\pi; A' \oplus (\Lambda)^{n'})$. Write sPost_2 for the set of equivalence classes of objects in Post_2 up to stable isomorphism.

From now on, fix a finite 2-complex K with a 2-equivalence $K \rightarrow B\pi$. In this section, we let (C_*, d_*) be the cellular Λ -module chain complex of K . Also fix a complex

$$P \xrightarrow{j} B_3 \xrightarrow{b_3} B_2$$

of Λ -modules that is exact at B_3 , with B_2 and B_3 finitely generated free and P projective. Furthermore, assume that the dual complex $B^2 \xrightarrow{b^3} B^3 \xrightarrow{j^*} P^*$ is also exact at B^3 .

Next we define a map

$$\Theta: \operatorname{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\operatorname{coker} b_3, \ker d_2) \rightarrow \operatorname{sPost}_2.$$

Recall from Remark 5.5 that any element of $\operatorname{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\operatorname{coker} b_3, \ker d_2)$ can be represented by a map $f: B_3 \rightarrow \ker d_2$ with $f \circ j = 0$. For such a map, build a 3-dimensional CW-complex X_f with cellular chain complex

$$B_3 \xrightarrow{(f, b_3)^T} C_2 \oplus B_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} C_0,$$

as follows. Start with the 2-complex K , take the wedge sum with $(\operatorname{rank}(B_2))$ copies of S^2 , and then attach $(\operatorname{rank}(B_3))$ 3-cells via attaching maps determined (up to homotopy) by the map $(f, b_3)^T$.

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose that two maps $f, g: B_3 \rightarrow \ker d_2$ represent the same extension class in $\operatorname{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\operatorname{coker} b_3, \ker d_2)$. Then $[P_2(X_f)] = [P_2(X_g)] \in \operatorname{sPost}_2$.*

Proof. Two maps $f, g: B_3 \rightarrow \ker d_2$ represent the same extension class if and only if $f - g$ factors through $b_3: B_3 \rightarrow B_2$. So let us assume that $f - g = h \circ b_3$, for

some $h: B_2 \rightarrow \ker d_2 \subseteq C_2$. The following chain isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} B_3 & \xrightarrow{(f, b_3)^T} & C_2 \oplus B_2 & \xrightarrow{(d_2, 0)} & C_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & C_0 \\ \downarrow \text{Id} & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & -h \\ 0 & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \text{Id} & & \downarrow \text{Id} \\ B_3 & \xrightarrow{(g, b_3)^T} & C_2 \oplus B_2 & \xrightarrow{(d_2, 0)} & C_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & C_0 \end{array}$$

gives rise to a map $X_f \rightarrow X_g$ by Lemma 6.1. The inverse chain isomorphism gives rise to a map $X_g \rightarrow X_f$. The double compositions induce the identity on π_1 and π_2 . By the Whitehead theorem they therefore induce a homotopy equivalence on the Postnikov 2-types, that is 3-coconnected spaces obtained from X_f and X_g by attaching cells of dimension 4 and higher. We therefore have an isomorphism $P_2(X_f) \cong P_2(X_g)$ as desired. \square

We thus obtain a well-defined map as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Theta: \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\text{coker } b_3, \ker d_2) & \longrightarrow & \text{sPost}_2 \\ [f] & \mapsto & [P_2(X_f)]. \end{array}$$

Our next aim is to investigate the injectivity properties of this map, namely the extent to which the stable pair $[\pi_2(X_f), k(X_f)]$ determines the extension. The inclusion $\text{coker } b_3 \rightarrow \text{coker } b_3 \oplus \Lambda$ induces an isomorphism (cf. Lemma 5.11)

$$\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\text{coker } b_3, \ker d_2) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\text{coker } b_3 \oplus \Lambda, \ker d_2),$$

and hence any automorphism of $\text{coker } b_3 \oplus \Lambda^n$ acts on $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\text{coker } b_3, \ker d_2)$.

Lemma 6.3. *The map Θ is invariant under the action of $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\text{coker } b_3 \oplus \Lambda^n)$ on $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\text{coker } b_3, \ker d_2)$, that is $\Theta(\alpha \cdot [f]) = \Theta([f])$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha: \text{coker } b_3 \oplus \Lambda^n \rightarrow \text{coker } b_3 \oplus \Lambda^n$ be a stable automorphism of $\text{coker } b_3$. This can be lifted to a chain map

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} P & \xrightarrow{j} & B_3 & \xrightarrow{(b_3, 0)^T} & B_2 \oplus \Lambda^n & \longrightarrow & \text{coker } b_3 \oplus \Lambda^n \\ \downarrow \alpha_4 & & \downarrow \alpha_3 & & \downarrow \alpha_2 & & \downarrow \alpha \\ P & \xrightarrow{j} & B_3 & \xrightarrow{(b_3, 0)^T} & B_2 \oplus \Lambda^n & \longrightarrow & \text{coker } b_3 \oplus \Lambda^n \end{array}$$

since the top row is projective and the bottom row is exact. The action of α on an extension represented by $f: B_3 \rightarrow \ker d_2$ is given by precomposition with $\alpha_3: B_3 \rightarrow B_3$. We have the following chain map:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} B_3 & \xrightarrow{(f \circ \alpha_3, b_3, 0)^T} & C_2 \oplus (B_2 \oplus \Lambda^n) & \xrightarrow{(d_2, 0, 0)} & C_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & C_0 \\ \downarrow \alpha_3 & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow \text{Id} & & \downarrow \text{Id} \\ B_3 & \xrightarrow{(f, b_3, 0)^T} & C_2 \oplus (B_2 \oplus \Lambda^n) & \xrightarrow{(d_2, 0, 0)} & C_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & C_0. \end{array}$$

By Lemma 6.1, this chain map can be realised by a map $X_{f \circ \alpha_3} \rightarrow X_f$. It remains to prove that the chain map above induces an isomorphism on second homology, and therefore induces a stable isomorphism $\pi_2(X_{f \circ \alpha_3}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_2(X_f)$. It will follow that $[P_2(X_{f \circ \alpha_3})] = [P_2(X_f)] \in \text{sPost}_2$.

To see surjectivity, consider a pair $(x, y, \lambda) \in C_2 \oplus B_2 \oplus \Lambda^n$ with $x \in \ker d_2$. Since α is an isomorphism, there exists $(y', \lambda') \in B_2 \oplus \Lambda^n$ and $a \in B_3$ with $(y, \lambda) = (b_3(a), 0) + \alpha_2(y', \lambda')$. In $\pi_2(X_f)$ we have

$$[(x, y, \lambda)] = [x, b_3(a), 0] + [0, \alpha_2(y', \lambda')] = [x - f(a), \alpha_2(y', \lambda')],$$

which is the image of $(x - f(a), y', \lambda')$ under the above chain map.

Now, to prove injectivity, consider a pair $(x, y, \lambda) \in C_2 \oplus B_2 \oplus \Lambda^n$ with $x \in \ker d_2$, and assume that there exists $a \in B_3$ with $f(a) = x$ and $(b_3(a), 0) = \alpha_2(y, \lambda)$. Again since α is an isomorphism, this implies that $\lambda = 0$ and that there exists $a' \in B_3$ with $b_3(a') = y$. We have

$$(b_3(a), 0) = \alpha_2(y, 0) = \alpha_2(b_3(a'), 0) = (b_3 \circ \alpha_3(a'), 0).$$

Since $P \xrightarrow{j} B_3 \xrightarrow{b_3} B_2$ is exact at B_3 , there is an element $c \in P$ with $j(c) = a - \alpha_3(a')$. Since $f \circ j = 0$, we have that $x = f(a) = f(\alpha_3(a'))$. Hence $(x, y, 0) = ((f \circ \alpha_3)(a'), b_3(a'), 0)$ and the element $(x, y, 0)$ is trivial in second homology as desired.

Thus, as discussed above, α induces a stable isomorphism $\pi_2(X_{f \circ \alpha_3}) \cong \pi_2(X_f)$ and so $[P_2(X_{f \circ \alpha_3})] = [P_2(X_f)] \in \text{sPost}_2$. \square

Let $\text{sAut}(\text{coker } b_3)$ denote the group of stable automorphisms of $\text{coker } b_3$ as above. We can now state the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 6.4. *The assignment Θ descends to an injective map*

$$\Theta: \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\text{coker } b_3, \ker d_2) / \text{sAut}(\text{coker } b_3) \longrightarrow \text{sPost}_2.$$

Proof. Let $f, g: B_3 \rightarrow \ker d_2$ represent two extensions, and suppose that their images in sPost_2 are stably isomorphic. A stable isomorphism between $\pi_2(X_f)$ and $\pi_2(X_g)$ that respects the k -invariants induces a homotopy equivalence of Postnikov 2-types. Restrict the homotopy equivalence to the 3-skeleta, to obtain a chain map

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} B_3 & \xrightarrow{(f, b_3, 0)^T} & C_2 \oplus B_2 \oplus \Lambda^n & \xrightarrow{(d_2, 0, 0)} & C_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & C_0 \\ \downarrow h_3 & & \downarrow h_2 & & \downarrow \text{Id} & & \downarrow \text{Id} \\ B_3 & \xrightarrow{(g, b_3, 0)^T} & C_2 \oplus B_2 \oplus \Lambda^n & \xrightarrow{(d_2, 0, 0)} & C_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & C_0 \end{array}$$

that induces an isomorphism on second homology. We can assume that the chain map is the identity on C_1 and C_0 since it arises from a map of CW-complexes over π .

Since h_2 induces an isomorphism on second homology, the map $\text{coker}(f, b_3, 0)^T \rightarrow \text{coker}(g, b_3, 0)^T$ induced by h_2 is an isomorphism by Lemma 6.1. Use the facts that the composition $P \rightarrow B_3 \xrightarrow{f} C_2$ is trivial and the sequence $B^2 \rightarrow B^3 \rightarrow P^*$ is exact, to see that the dual of f lifts to a map $C^2 \rightarrow B^2$, as in the diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & C^2 & \\ \hat{f}^* \swarrow & \downarrow f^* & \\ B^2 & \xrightarrow{b^3} & B^3 \longrightarrow P^* \end{array}$$

Dualise again to deduce that $f: B_3 \rightarrow C_2$ factors as $B_3 \xrightarrow{b_3} B_2 \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} C_2$. This gives rise to a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_3 & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & B_3 \\ (0, b_3)^T \downarrow & \begin{pmatrix} \text{Id} & \hat{f} \\ 0 & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} & \downarrow (f, b_3)^T \\ C_2 \oplus B_2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C_2 \oplus B_2 \end{array}$$

that induces an isomorphism $C_2 \oplus \text{coker } b_3 \cong \text{coker}(0, b_3)^T \rightarrow \text{coker}(f, b_3)^T$.

Add the identity on Λ^n to obtain an isomorphism

$$C_2 \oplus \text{coker } b_3 \oplus \Lambda^n \cong \text{coker}(f, b_3, 0)^T.$$

Similarly, we obtain an isomorphism $C_2 \oplus \text{coker } b_3 \oplus \Lambda^n \cong \text{coker}(g, b_3, 0)^T$. Compose the first isomorphism with the map $\text{coker}(f, b_3, 0)^T \rightarrow \text{coker}(g, b_3, 0)^T$ induced by h_2 , followed by the inverse of the second isomorphism to obtain a stable automorphism of $\text{coker } b_3$ from h_2 . Note that the action of this stable automorphism on $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\text{coker } b_3, \ker d_2)$ is given by precomposition with h_3 .

To recap, we started with the chain map h_* arising from the assumption of two extensions having equal image in sPost_2 , and we obtained an automorphism of $\text{coker } b_3$, that acts on a representative map $B_3 \rightarrow \ker d_2$ in $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\text{coker } b_3, \ker d_2)$ by precomposition with h_3 . To complete the proof, we therefore have to show that $g \circ h_3$ and f represent the same class in $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\text{coker } b_3, \ker d_2)$.

Recall the definition of $\hat{f}: B_2 \rightarrow C_2$ from above, and consider the composition

$$F: B_2 \xrightarrow{(\hat{f}, \text{Id})^T} C_2 \oplus B_2 \xrightarrow{h_2} C_2 \oplus B_2 \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} C_2.$$

Now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} B_3 & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & B_3 & \xrightarrow{h_3} & B_3 & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & B_3 \\ \downarrow b_3 & & \downarrow (f, b_3, 0)^T & & \downarrow (g, b_3, 0)^T & & \downarrow g \\ B_2 & \xrightarrow{(\hat{f}, \text{Id}, 0)^T} & C_2 \oplus B_2 \oplus \Lambda^n & \xrightarrow{h_2} & C_2 \oplus B_2 \oplus \Lambda^n & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} & C_2 \\ & & \downarrow (d_2, 0, 0) & & \downarrow (d_2, 0, 0) & & \downarrow d_2 \\ & & C_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & C_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & C_1 \end{array}$$

Commutativity of the three squares involving the top and middle rows shows that $g \circ h_3 = F \circ b_3$. Commutativity of the lower two squares precomposed with $(\hat{f}, \text{Id}, 0)^T: B_2 \rightarrow C_2 \oplus B_2 \oplus \Lambda^n$ proves that $d_2 \circ F = d_2 \circ \hat{f}$. It follows from the first statement that $g \circ h_3 - f = F \circ b_3 - f$, which equals $(F - \hat{f}) \circ b_3: B_3 \rightarrow C_2$ since $f = \hat{f} \circ b_3$. This is in fact a map $(F - \hat{f}) \circ b_3: B_3 \rightarrow \ker d_2$ since $d_2 \circ F = d_2 \circ \hat{f}$. Thus $g \circ h_3 - f: B_3 \rightarrow \ker d_2$ factors through B_2 and hence represents the trivial extension class. \square

Proposition 6.5. *Let M be a 4-manifold with 1-type (π, w) and chain complex $(C_* = C_*(M; \Lambda), d_*)$. The composition*

$$H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\text{coker } d_3, \ker d_2) / \text{sAut}(\text{coker } d_3) \xrightarrow{\Theta} \text{sPost}_2$$

sends the (twisted) fundamental class $c_[M]$ to $[\pi_2(M), k(M)]$.*

Proof. We first claim that Θ is compatible with any map on the extension groups induced by a stable homotopy equivalence $K \rightarrow K'$. Consider the stabilisation

$$\mathrm{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\mathrm{coker} b_3, \ker d_2) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\mathrm{coker} b_3, \ker d_2 \oplus \Lambda); \quad f \mapsto (f, 0).$$

The construction of X_f yields that $X_{(f,0)} = X_f \vee S^2$ and hence $\Theta(f, 0) = \Theta(f)$. Furthermore, any homotopy equivalence $\varphi: K \simeq K'$ over π induces a chain equivalence $\varphi_*: C_* \rightarrow C'_*$, where (C'_*, d'_*) is the cellular Λ -module chain complex of K' . This yields a chain equivalence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} B_3 & \xrightarrow{(f, b_3)^T} & C_2 \oplus B_2 & \xrightarrow{(d_2, 0)} & C_1 & \xrightarrow{d_1} & C_0 \\ \downarrow \mathrm{Id} & & \downarrow \varphi_2 \oplus \mathrm{Id} & & \downarrow \varphi_1 & & \downarrow \varphi_0 \\ B_3 & \xrightarrow{(\varphi_2 \circ f, b_3)^T} & C'_2 \oplus B_2 & \xrightarrow{(d'_2, 0)} & C'_1 & \xrightarrow{d'_1} & C'_0. \end{array}$$

Thus $\Theta(f) = \Theta(\varphi_2 \circ f)$ by Lemma 6.1. This explains the claim that Θ is compatible with any map on the extension groups induced by a stable homotopy equivalence $K \rightarrow K'$.

Now, given a 4-manifold M with $\pi_1(M) = \pi$, let $K = M^{(2)}$ and write $d_3: C_3 = C_3(M; \Lambda) \rightarrow C_2$ for the third cellular boundary map of M . Apply the previous theory with $P \rightarrow B_3 \xrightarrow{b_3} B_2$ as $Q \rightarrow C_3 \xrightarrow{d_3} C_2$, the start of a projective resolution for $\mathrm{coker}(d_3)$.

Consider Θ applied to the extension class of $\pi_2(M)$ in $\mathrm{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\mathrm{coker} d_3, \ker d_2)$. Since this extension class is represented by the homomorphism $d_3: C_3 \rightarrow C_2$, we consider the 3-dimensional CW-complex X with cellular chain complex

$$C_3 \xrightarrow{(d_3, d_3)^T} C_2 \oplus C_2 \xrightarrow{(d_2, 0)} C_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} C_0.$$

The 2-type $(\pi_2(X), k(X))$ gives the image of $c_*[M]$ under the composition in the statement of the proposition. We need to see that this 2-type is stably isomorphic to $(\pi_2(M), k(M))$.

Use the isomorphism $\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Id} & 0 \\ -\mathrm{Id} & \mathrm{Id} \end{pmatrix}: C_2 \oplus C_2 \rightarrow C_2 \oplus C_2$ to see that the previously displayed chain complex is chain isomorphic to the chain complex of $M^{(3)} \vee \bigvee_{\mathrm{rank}(C_2)} S^2$ (replace the second d_3 in (d_3, d_3) with 0). This is a CW-complex whose 2-type is given by $(\pi_2(M) \oplus \Lambda^{\mathrm{rank}(C_2)}, (k(M), 0))$. Thus $\Theta([d_3]) = [\pi_2(M), k(M)] \in \mathrm{sPost}_2$ as desired. \square

Now, to apply this result to the \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable classification of 4-manifolds, we need to investigate the action of $\mathrm{sAut}(\mathrm{coker} d_3)$ on the extension classes for some families of 1-types. As in Proposition 6.5, we let (C_*, d_*) be the Λ -module chain complex of a 4-manifold M with 1-type (π, w) . If in some cases we can show that the action of the automorphisms of $\mathrm{coker} d_3$ is contained in the action of the automorphisms of π , and the action by -1 from the orientation choice, then we will have shown that the stable 2-type $[\pi_2(M), k(M)]$ detects the \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable classification within the 1-type.

Lemma 6.6. *Assume that $H^1(\pi; \Lambda) = 0$ and π is infinite. Then $\mathrm{sAut}(\mathrm{coker} d_3)$ acts on $\mathrm{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\mathrm{coker} d_3, \ker d_2)$ by multiplication by ± 1 .*

Proof. In this proof we replace $\text{coker } d_3$ with $\text{coker } d_w^2$, which we may do by Lemma 5.4. Since $H^1(\pi; \Lambda) = 0$, and π is infinite so $H^0(\pi; \Lambda) = 0$, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C^0 \xrightarrow{d_w^1} C^1 \xrightarrow{(d_w^2, 0)^T} C^2 \oplus \Lambda^n \longrightarrow \text{coker } d_w^2 \oplus \Lambda^n \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Thus every stable automorphism α of $\text{coker } d_w^2$ lifts to a chain map α^* as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} C^0 & \xrightarrow{d_w^1} & C^1 & \xrightarrow{(d_w^2, 0)^T} & C^2 \oplus \Lambda^n & \longrightarrow & \text{coker } d_w^2 \oplus \Lambda^n \\ \alpha^0 \downarrow & & \alpha^1 \downarrow & & \alpha^2 \downarrow & & \alpha \downarrow \\ C^0 & \xrightarrow{d_w^1} & C^1 & \xrightarrow{(d_w^2, 0)^T} & C^2 \oplus \Lambda^n & \longrightarrow & \text{coker } d_w^2 \oplus \Lambda^n. \end{array}$$

The action of α on $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\text{coker } d_w^2, \ker d_2)$ is given by precomposition with α^1 . Let β^* be a lift of α^{-1} to a chain map. Let $H^*: C^* \rightarrow C^{*-1}$ be a chain homotopy from $\beta^* \circ \alpha^*$ to Id . In particular, $\beta^0 \circ \alpha^0 - \text{Id} = H^1 \circ d_w^1$. Take duals and twist with w to obtain $\alpha_0 \circ \beta_0 - \text{Id} = d_1 \circ H_1$. Hence $\alpha_0 \circ \beta_0$ induces the identity on $\text{coker } d_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and thus α_0 induces multiplication by plus or minus one on $\text{coker } d_1$.

Dualise α^* and twist with w again to obtain the following diagram, where the maps α_i are the maps dual to α^i .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_0 & \xleftarrow{\alpha_0} & C_0 \\ d_1 \uparrow & \swarrow G_0 & \uparrow d_1 \\ C_1 & \xleftarrow{\alpha_1} & C_1 \\ (d_2, 0) \uparrow & \swarrow G_1 & \uparrow (d_2, 0) \\ C_2 \oplus \Lambda^n & \xleftarrow{\alpha_2} & C_2 \oplus \Lambda^n \end{array}$$

Since α_0 induces multiplication by plus or minus one on $\text{coker } d_1$, $\alpha_0 \pm \text{Id}: C_0 \rightarrow C_0$ factors through d_1 . That is, there exists a homomorphism $G_0: C_0 \rightarrow C_1$ with $G_0 \circ d_1 = \alpha_0 \pm \text{Id}$. Since this implies $d_1 \circ ((\alpha_1 \pm \text{Id}) - G_0 \circ d_1) = 0$ there exists $G_1: C_1 \rightarrow C_2 \oplus \Lambda^n$ with $\alpha_1 \pm \text{Id} = G_0 \circ d_1 + (d_2, 0) \circ G_1$.

Take duals and twist by w one last time to obtain $\alpha^1 \pm \text{Id} = d_w^1 \circ G^0 + G^1 \circ d_w^2$, where G^i is the map dual to G_i for $i = 0, 1$.

Now let $f: C^1 \rightarrow \ker d_2$ represent an extension $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\text{coker } d_w^2, \ker d_2)$. In particular, $f \circ d_w^1 = 0$ and thus

$$\alpha_*[f] = [f \circ \alpha_1] = [\pm f + f \circ G^1 \circ d_w^2] = [\pm f].$$

Hence α acts by multiplication by ± 1 on $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\text{coker } d_w^2, \ker d_2)$. Recall that we identified this with $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\text{coker } d_3, \ker d_2)$ by Lemma 5.4. This completes the proof of Lemma 6.6. \square

Proof of Theorem A. By [Geo08, Proposition 13.5.3 and Theorem 13.5.5], a group π with one end is infinite and has $H^1(\pi; \Lambda) = 0$. Hence Theorem A (ii) follows by combining Theorem 1.7 with Theorem 6.4, Proposition 6.5 and Lemma 6.6. In more detail, recall that Theorem 1.7 says that the extension class of $\pi_2(M)$ in $\text{Ext}(H^2(K; \Lambda^w), H_2(K; \Lambda)) \cong H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ determines $c_*[M]$ up to sign, which by Theorem 1.1 determines \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable diffeomorphism. By Theorem 6.4 and Proposition 6.5 the pair $(\pi_2(M), k(M))$ determines the extension class, up to the action of

$\text{sAut}(\text{coker } d_w^2)$. By Lemma 6.6, the action of $\text{sAut}(\text{coker } d_w^2)$ is just multiplication by ± 1 , so altogether $(\pi_2(M), k(M))$ determines $c_*[M]$ up to sign.

If π is torsion-free, then by Stallings's theorem [Sta68, Theorems 4.11 and 5.1], π has more than one end if and only if $\pi \cong \mathbb{Z}$ or π is a free product of two non-trivial groups. For $\pi = \mathbb{Z}$, $H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) = 0$, hence every pair of 4-manifolds with a fixed 1-type is \mathbb{CP}^2 -stably diffeomorphic. Hence the conclusion of Theorem A holds for $\pi = \mathbb{Z}$ for trivial reasons.

For $\pi \cong G_1 * G_2$, we have $H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \cong H_4(G_1; \mathbb{Z}^{w_1}) \oplus H_4(G_2; \mathbb{Z}^{w_2})$, where w_i denotes the restriction of w to G_i , $i = 1, 2$. Hence \mathbb{CP}^2 -stably any 4-manifold with fundamental group π is the connected sum of manifolds with fundamental group G_1 and G_2 . Therefore, Theorem A (i) follows in this case by induction, with base cases $\pi = \mathbb{Z}$ or the groups with one end of (ii).

If π is finite then $H^1(\pi; \Lambda) = 0$ by [Geo08, Proposition 13.3.1]. If multiplication by 4 or 6 annihilates $H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$, then the subgroup generated by $c_*[M]$ is cyclic of order 2, 3, 4 or 6. In each case it has a unique generator up to sign and hence it determines $c_*[M]$ up to a sign. As a consequence, Theorem A (iii) follows from Corollary 4.2, which shows that the subgroup generated by $c_*[M]$ is determined by the 2-type of M . \square

7. FUNDAMENTAL GROUPS OF ASPHERICAL 4-MANIFOLDS

In this section, we fix a closed, connected, aspherical 4-manifold X with orientation character w and fundamental group π . We can identify

$$H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) / \pm \text{Aut}(\pi) \cong H_4(X; \mathbb{Z}^w) / \pm \text{Aut}(\pi) \cong \mathbb{Z} / \pm \cong \mathbb{N}_0$$

and write $|c_*[M]|$ for the image of $c_*[M]$ under this sequence of maps.

Theorem 7.1. *Let M be a 4-manifold with 1-type (π, w) and classifying map $c: M \rightarrow X = B\pi$.*

- (1) *If $|c_*[M]| \neq 0$, then $H_1(\pi; \pi_2(M)^w)$ is a cyclic group of order $|c_*[M]|$.*
- (2) *If $|c_*[M]| = 0$, then $H_1(\pi; \pi_2(M)^w)$ is infinite.*

Thus two 4-manifolds M_1 and M_2 with fundamental group π and orientation character w are \mathbb{CP}^2 -stably diffeomorphic if and only if they have stably isomorphic second homotopy groups $\pi_2(M_1) \oplus \Lambda^{r_1} \cong \pi_2(M_2) \oplus \Lambda^{r_2}$ for some $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. For the proof, we fix twisted orientations on M and X . In particular this determines an identification $H_4(X; \mathbb{Z}^w) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Since $c: M \rightarrow X$ induces an isomorphism on fundamental groups, the map $c^*: H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism. Consider the following commutative square.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_3(M; \mathbb{Z}^w) & \xrightarrow{c_*} & H_3(X; \mathbb{Z}^w) \\ \uparrow -\cap[M] \cong & & \uparrow -\cap c_*[M] \\ H^1(M; \mathbb{Z}) & \xleftarrow[\cong]{c^*} & H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

Since $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free and capping with $[X]$ is an isomorphism, capping with $c_*[M]$ is injective if $c_*[M] \neq 0$. Thus from Theorem 4.1 we directly obtain the isomorphism $\mathbb{Z} / |c_*[M]| \cong H_1(\pi; \pi_2(M)^w)$.

If $c_*[M] = 0$, then it also follows from Theorem 4.1 that $\mathbb{Z} \cong H_4(X; \mathbb{Z}^w)$ is a subgroup of $H_1(\pi; \pi_2(M)^w)$, and hence the latter is infinite. \square

We can also show that under certain assumptions, $|c_*[M]|$ can be extracted from the stable isomorphism class of $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} \pi_2(M)$, an abelian group that is frequently much easier to compute than the Λ -module $\pi_2(M)$.

Theorem 7.2. *In the notation of Theorem 7.1, assume that $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$. Then*

- (1) *If $c_*[M] \neq 0$, then $|c_*[M]|$ is the highest torsion in $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} \pi_2(M)$, that is the maximal order of torsion elements in this abelian group.*
- (2) *If $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ has torsion, then $|c_*[M]|$ is completely determined by the torsion subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} \pi_2(M)$. This torsion subgroup is trivial if and only if $|c_*[M]| = 1$.*
- (3) *If $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free, then $|c_*[M]| = 1$ if and only if $\pi_2(M)$ is projective, and otherwise $|c_*[M]|$ is completely determined by the torsion subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} \pi_2(M)$. This torsion subgroup is trivial if and only if $|c_*[M]| \in \{0, 1\}$.*

Except for the statement that $c_*[M]$ corresponds to the highest torsion in $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} \pi_2(M)$, the above theorem can be proven more easily using the exact sequence from Theorem 4.1. But since we believe that this statement is worth knowing, we take a different approach and start with some lemmas. For the lemmas we do not yet need the assumption that $H^1(\pi; \mathbb{Z}) = H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$; we will point out in the proof of Theorem 7.2 where this hypothesis appears. Choose a handle decomposition of X with a single 4-handle and a single 0-handle and let (C_*, d_*) denote the Λ -module chain complex of X associated to this handle decomposition.

Lemma 7.3. *The group $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(\ker d_2, \text{coker } d_3) \cong H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by*

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker d_2 \xrightarrow{i} C_2 \xrightarrow{p} \text{coker } d_3 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. By Proposition 1.6 the extension $0 \rightarrow \ker d_2 \xrightarrow{i} C_2 \xrightarrow{p} \text{coker } d_3 \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to $c_*[X] \in H_4(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$, where $c: X \rightarrow B\pi$ is the map classifying the fundamental group. Since in this case X is a model for $B\pi$, we can take $c = \text{Id}_X$ with $[X]$ a generator of $H_4(X; \mathbb{Z}^w)$. \square

Lemma 7.4. *Using the generator from Lemma 7.3, the extension corresponding to $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is given by*

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker d_2 \xrightarrow{(0, \text{Id})^T} (C_2 \oplus \ker d_2) / \{(i(a), ma) \mid a \in \ker d_2\} \xrightarrow{p \circ p_1} \text{coker } d_3 \longrightarrow 0,$$

where p_1 is the projection onto the first summand.

Note that $m = 0$ gives the direct sum $E_0 = \ker d_2 \oplus \text{coker } d_3$.

Proof. In the case $m = 1$, the group $(C_2 \oplus \ker d_2) / \{(i(a), a) \mid a \in \ker d_2\}$ is isomorphic to $(C_2 \oplus \ker d_2) / \{(0, a) \mid a \in \ker d_2\} \cong C_2$, where this isomorphism is induced by the isomorphism $C_2 \oplus \ker d_2 \rightarrow C_2 \oplus \ker d_2$ given by $(c, a) \mapsto (c - i(a), a)$. Under this isomorphism the extension from the lemma is mapped to the extension from Lemma 7.3. Hence it suffices to show that the Baer sum of two extensions for m, m' as in the lemma is isomorphic to the given extension for $m + m'$.

Let L be the submodule of $C_2 \oplus \ker d_2 \oplus C_2 \oplus \ker d_2$ consisting of all (c_1, a_1, c_2, a_2) with $p(c_1) = p(c_2)$, let L' be the submodule

$$L' := \{(i(a), ma + b, i(a'), m'a' - b) \mid a, a', b \in \ker d_2\}$$

and let $E := L/L'$. The Baer sum [Wei94, Definition 3.4.4.] of the extensions for m and m' is given by

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker d_2 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker} d_3 \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the map $\ker d_2 \rightarrow E$ is given by $a \mapsto [0, a, 0, 0]$ and the map $E \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} d_3$ is given by $[(c_1, a_1, c_2, a_2)] \mapsto p(c_1)$. The map

$$\begin{aligned} f: L &\longrightarrow C_2 \oplus \ker d_2 \oplus \ker d_2 \oplus \ker d_2 \\ (c_1, a_1, c_2, a_2) &\mapsto (c_1, a_1 + a_2 + m'(c_1 - c_2), c_2 - c_1, a_2) \end{aligned}$$

defines an isomorphism, and the subset L' is mapped to

$$\begin{aligned} f(L') &= \{(i(a), (m + m')a, a' - a, m'a' - b) \mid a, a', b \in \ker d_2\} \\ &= \{(i(a), (m + m')a, a', b) \mid a, a', b \in \ker d_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence f induces an isomorphism

$$E \cong (C_2 \oplus (\ker d_2)^3)/f(L') \cong (C_2 \oplus \ker d_2)/\{(i(a), (m + m')a) \mid a \in \ker d_2\}.$$

This defines an isomorphism from the Baer sum to the extension for $m + m'$ from the statement of the lemma. \square

Lemma 7.5. *Let $0 \rightarrow \ker d_2 \rightarrow E_m \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} d_3 \rightarrow 0$ be the extension for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ from Lemma 7.4. Then*

$$\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} E_m \cong \frac{((\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_2) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_3))}{\{(\operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_3)a, ma) \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_3\}}.$$

Proof. Note that $\operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_4 = 0$, since X has orientation character w and thus $H_4(X; \mathbb{Z}^w) \cong \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_4 \cong \mathbb{Z}$. By exactness, $\ker d_2 = \operatorname{im} d_3 \cong \operatorname{coker} d_4$. By right exactness of the tensor product, it follows that $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} \ker d_2 \cong \operatorname{coker}(\operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_3$. Tensor the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_3 & \xrightarrow{d_3} & C_2 \\ & \searrow & \nearrow i \\ & \ker d_2 & \end{array}$$

with \mathbb{Z}^w over Λ , to obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_3 & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_3} & \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_2 \\ & \searrow \cong & \nearrow \operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes i \\ & \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} \ker d_2 & \end{array}$$

The lemma now follows from right exactness of the tensor product. \square

Proof of Theorem 7.2. Let E_m be as in Lemma 7.5. By Proposition 1.6, $\pi_2(M)$ is stably isomorphic to E_m as a Λ -module if $c_*[M] = \pm m$. All of the conditions appearing in Theorem 7.2 are invariant under adding a free Λ -summand to $\pi_2(M)$. Since for any extension and its negative, the middle groups are isomorphic, we have $E_m \cong E_{-m}$. Hence we can only obtain a distinction up to sign.

The boundary map $\operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_4: \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_3$ vanishes since $H_4(X; \mathbb{Z}^w) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and X has a unique 4-handle. Hence $H_3(X; \mathbb{Z}^w) \cong \ker(\operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_3)$. By Poincaré duality

$$H^1(\pi; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_3(X; \mathbb{Z}^w) \cong \ker(\operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_3).$$

Claim. The cokernel of $\text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_3: \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_2$ is stably isomorphic to $H_2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w) \cong H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z})$.

We have a cochain complex:

$$\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_3 \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_3} \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_2 \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_2} \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_1$$

whose cohomology $\ker(\text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_2) / \text{im}(\text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_3)$ is isomorphic to $H_2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$. There is an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{\ker(\text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_2)}{\text{im}(\text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_3)} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_2}{\text{im}(\text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_3)} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_2}{\ker(\text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_2)} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_2 / \ker(\text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_2) \cong \text{im}(\text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_3)$, and $\text{im}(\text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_2)$ as a submodule of the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_1$ is free, we see that there is a stable isomorphism of the central group

$$(\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_2) / \text{im}(\text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_3) = \text{coker}(\text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_3: \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_2)$$

with $H_2(\pi; \mathbb{Z}^w)$, as claimed.

By applying elementary row and column operations, $\text{Id}_{\mathbb{Z}^w} \otimes d_3: \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} C_2$ can be written as

$$(7.6) \quad \mathbb{Z}^a \oplus \mathbb{Z}^k \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}} \mathbb{Z}^b \oplus \mathbb{Z}^k,$$

with $a \geq 1, k, b \geq 0$ and D a diagonal matrix with entries $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. In order to see that $a \geq 1$, we use that the kernel is $H^1(\pi; \mathbb{Z})$ together with the hypothesis that $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(\pi; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$.

Using the description from Lemma 7.5, stably

$$\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} E_m \cong_s (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^a \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}/\gcd(\delta_i, m)\mathbb{Z}.$$

If $m \neq 0$, then the highest torsion in $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} E_m$ is m -torsion. Thus E_m is not isomorphic to $E_{m'}$ whenever $m \neq m'$ and both are nonzero. It remains to distinguish E_0 from E_m for $m \neq 0$ (recall $\gcd(\delta_i, 0) = \delta_i$).

First let us assume that the torsion subgroup $TH^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z})$ is nontrivial. Then the cokernel of (7.6) is not free, and hence there exists $1 \leq j \leq k$ with $\delta_j > 1$. Thus $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} E_0 \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}/\delta_i\mathbb{Z}$ is not torsion free. Since $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} E_m$ can only contain δ_i -torsion if δ_i divides m , $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} E_0$ and $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} E_m$ can only be stably isomorphic if all the δ_i divide m . This already implies $|m| > 1$. But in this case

$$\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} E_m \cong (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^a \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}/\delta_i\mathbb{Z} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^a \oplus \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} E_0,$$

and so $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} E_0$ and $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} E_m$ are not stably isomorphic, since $a \geq 1$. This completes the proof of case where $TH^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z})$ is nontrivial.

If $H^2(\pi; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free, then all the δ_i are 1 and $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} E_m \cong_s (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^a$. This already distinguishes the cases $|m| > 1$ and $m \in \{0, \pm 1\}$. For $m = \pm 1$, we have $E_m \cong C_2$ is free and for $m = 0$ we have $E_0 = \ker d_2 \oplus \text{coker } d_3$. Note that $\ker d_2$ is not projective, since otherwise

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker d_2 \longrightarrow C_2 \longrightarrow C_1 \longrightarrow C_0$$

would be a projective Λ -module resolution for \mathbb{Z} , which cannot be chain equivalent to the Λ -module chain complex of a closed aspherical 4-manifold with fundamental group π . Therefore, E_0 is not projective. \square

Remark 7.7. Note that the assumption that $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(\pi; \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ is equivalent to the abelianisation π_{ab} being infinite, since $H^1(\pi; \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\pi_{\text{ab}}, \mathbb{Z})$ and π_{ab} is finitely generated. This assumption is crucial; without it there exist $m > m' \geq 0$ with $\mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} E_m \cong \mathbb{Z}^w \otimes_{\Lambda} E_{m'}$. For example this would happen for $m = \prod_{i=1}^k \delta_i$ and $m' = 2m$, where the δ_i are as in the proof of Theorem 7.2.

8. EXAMPLES DEMONSTRATING NECESSITY OF HYPOTHESES AND DATA

In the preceding sections we saw that for large classes of finitely presented groups, such as infinite groups π with $H^1(\pi; \Lambda) = 0$, the quadruple (π_1, w, π_2, k) detects the \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable diffeomorphism type. Moreover for fundamental groups of aspherical 4-manifolds even (π_1, w, π_2) suffices. In this section we give examples where the data (π_1, w, π_2, k) does not suffice to detect the \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable diffeomorphism classification, showing that the hypothesis $H^1(\pi; \Lambda) = 0$ is required. We also provide examples where the data is sufficient to detect the classification, but the k -invariant is relevant, so all of the data is necessary.

8.1. The 2-type does not suffice in general. In this section, as promised in Section 1.1, we give examples of orientable manifolds that are not \mathbb{CP}^2 -stably diffeomorphic, but with isomorphic 2-types.

It will be helpful to recall the construction of 3-dimensional lens spaces $L_{p,q}$. Start with the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^2 and let ξ be a p th root of unity. On the unit sphere, \mathbb{Z}/p acts freely by $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (\xi z_1, \xi^q z_2)$ for $0 < q < p$ such that p, q are coprime. The quotient of $S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ by this action is $L_{p,q}$.

Now fix an integer $p \geq 2$, let $\pi := \mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}$ and consider the 4-manifolds $N_{p,q} := L_{p,q} \times S^1$. Note that $\pi_2(N_{p,q}) = \pi_2(\widetilde{N}_{p,q}) = \pi_2(S^3 \times \mathbb{R}) = 0$. Thus the stable Postnikov 2-type is trivial for all p, q , and the number q cannot possibly be read off from the 2-type.

Nevertheless, we will show below that for most choices of p , there are q, q' for which the resulting manifolds $N_{p,q}$ and $N_{p,q'}$ are not \mathbb{CP}^2 -stably diffeomorphic. The smallest pair is $N_{5,1}$ and $N_{5,2}$, corresponding to the simplest homotopically inequivalent lens spaces $L_{5,1}$ and $L_{5,2}$ with isomorphic fundamental groups. In general, compute using the equivalence of (i) and (iv) of Proposition 8.2 below.

Although π is infinite, by the following lemma the group π has two ends, so these examples are consistent with our earlier investigations.

Lemma 8.1. *We have that $H^1(\pi; \Lambda) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. We compute using the fact that the manifold can be made into a model for $B\pi$ by adding cells of dimension 3 and higher, which do not alter the first cohomology.

$$H^1(\pi; \Lambda) \cong H^1(N_{p,q}; \Lambda) \stackrel{PD}{\cong} H_3(N_{p,q}; \Lambda) \cong H_3(\widetilde{N}_{p,q}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_3(S^3 \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}. \quad \square$$

Proposition 8.2. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $N_{p,q}$ and $N_{p,q'}$ are \mathbb{CP}^2 -stably diffeomorphic;
- (ii) $c_*[N_{p,q}] = c_*[N_{p,q'}] \in H_4(\mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}) / \pm \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z})$;

- (iii) $c_*[L_{p,q}] = c_*[L_{p,q'}] \in H_3(\mathbb{Z}/p)/\pm \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/p)$;
- (iv) $q \equiv \pm r^2 q' \pmod{p}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- (v) $L_{p,q}$ and $L_{p,q'}$ are homotopy equivalent;
- (vi) The \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} -valued linking forms of $L_{p,q}$ and $L_{p,q'}$ are isometric.

Proof. Items (i) and (ii) are equivalent by Theorem 1.1. By the Künneth theorem,

$$H_4(\mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_3(\mathbb{Z}/p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H_1(\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_3(\mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p.$$

The image of $c_*[L_{p,q}] \in H_3(\mathbb{Z}/p)$ under this identification is precisely $c_*[N_{p,q}]$, since $B\mathbb{Z} = S^1$. Thus (iii) and (ii) are equivalent by the construction of $N_{p,q}$.

Let m be such that $mq = 1 \pmod{p}$. Then there is a degree m map $g: L_{p,q} \rightarrow L_{p,1}$ that induces an isomorphism on fundamental groups, given by $[z_1, z_2] \mapsto [z_1, z_2^m]$. Take $c_*[L_{p,1}]$ as the generator of $H_3(\mathbb{Z}/p)$. Then $c_* \circ g_*[L_{p,q}] = mc_*[L_{p,1}]$ corresponds to the element $m = q^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}/p \cong H_3(\mathbb{Z}/p)$. An element $r \in (\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/p)$ acts on $H_1(\mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$ and hence also on $H^2(\mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(H_1(\mathbb{Z}/p), \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$ by taking the product with r . Since $H^*(\mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x]/(px)$, where the generator x lies in degree two [Hat02, Example 3.41], r acts on $H^4(\mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(H_3(\mathbb{Z}/p), \mathbb{Z})$ via multiplication by r^2 . Therefore, the action of r on $H_3(\mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$ is also multiplication by r^2 . Hence (iii) and (iv) are equivalent.

Items (iv) and (v) are equivalent by [Whi41, Theorem 10]. Seifert [Sei33] computed that the linking form $H_1(L_{p,q}) \times H_1(L_{p,q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is isometric to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}/p &\rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \\ (x, y) &\mapsto -qxy/p. \end{aligned}$$

For q and q' , the associated forms are isometric up to a sign if and only if (iv) is satisfied. Thus (iv) and (vi) are equivalent. \square

8.2. The k -invariant is required in general. In this section we give examples where the \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable classification is determined by the 2-type, but in contrast to the case that π is the fundamental group of some aspherical 4-manifold, here the stable isomorphism class of the second homotopy group is not sufficient to determine the classification. As with the examples in the previous section, these examples can be compared with [Tei92, Conjecture A], although we remark that this conjecture was only made for finite groups.

Let X be a closed, oriented, aspherical 3-manifold with fundamental group G . Let $p \geq 2$, let $1 \leq q < p$, and let $X_{p,q} := L_{p,q} \# X$ and $M_{p,q} := X_{p,q} \times S^1$. Then $M_{p,q}$ is a 4-manifold with fundamental group $\pi := (\mathbb{Z}/p * G) \times \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 8.3. *The group π is infinite and has $H^1(\pi; \Lambda) = 0$. In particular, the pair (π_2, k) suffices for the \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable classification over π of oriented manifolds.*

Proof. Certainly $\pi = (\mathbb{Z}/p * G) \times \mathbb{Z}$ is infinite. Since $\pi_1(X) = G$ is nontrivial, $\pi_1(X_{p,q}) = \mathbb{Z}/p * G$ is infinite, and hence $\widetilde{X_{p,q}}$ is a noncompact 3-manifold, so $H_3(\widetilde{X_{p,q}}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Since $\pi_1(M_{p,q}) = \pi$, we see that

$$H^1(\pi; \Lambda) = H^1(M_{p,q}; \Lambda) \cong H_3(M_{p,q}; \Lambda) \cong H_3(\widetilde{X_{p,q}} \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_3(\widetilde{X_{p,q}}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0.$$

The second sentence of the lemma then follows from Theorem A (ii). \square

Lemma 8.4. *The second homotopy group $\pi_2(X_{p,q})$ is independent of q .*

Proof. We show the following statement, from which the lemma follows by taking $Y_1 = L_{p,q}$ and $Y_2 = X$: let Y_1, Y_2 be closed, connected, oriented 3-manifolds with $\pi_2(Y_1) = \pi_2(Y_2) = 0$. Suppose that $G_1 := \pi_1(Y_1)$ is finite and $G_2 := \pi_1(Y_2)$ is infinite. Then $\pi_2(Y_1 \# Y_2)$ depends only on G_1 and G_2 .

To investigate $\pi_2(Y_1 \# Y_2) = H_2(Y_1 \# Y_2; \mathbb{Z}[G_1 * G_2])$, we start by computing $H_2(\text{cl}(Y_i \setminus D^3); \mathbb{Z}[G_1 * G_2])$. For the rest of the proof we write

$$R := \mathbb{Z}[G_1 * G_2].$$

Consider the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for the decomposition $Y_i = \text{cl}(Y_i \setminus D^3) \cup_{S^2} D^3$:

$$0 \longrightarrow H_3(Y_i; R)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\partial} H_2(S^2; R) \longrightarrow H_2(\text{cl}(Y_i \setminus D^3); R) \oplus H_2(D^3; R) \longrightarrow H_2(Y_i; R).$$

We have $H_2(Y_i; R) \cong R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G_i]} \pi_2(Y_i) = 0$, $H_2(S^2; R) \cong R \cong R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G_i]} \mathbb{Z}[G_i]$ and

$$H_3(Y_i; R) = \begin{cases} R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G_i]} \mathbb{Z} & |G_i| < \infty \\ 0 & |G_i| = \infty. \end{cases}$$

In the case that G_i is finite, the boundary map is given by

$$\text{Id} \otimes N: R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G_i]} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G_i]} \mathbb{Z}[G_i],$$

where $N: 1 \mapsto \sum_{g \in G_i} g$ sends the generator of \mathbb{Z} to the norm element of $\mathbb{Z}[G_i]$. Thus

$$H_2(\text{cl}(Y_i \setminus D^3); R) = \begin{cases} \text{coker}(\text{Id} \otimes N) & |G_i| < \infty \\ R & |G_i| = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Now, as in the hypothesis of the statement we are proving, take G_1 to be finite and G_2 infinite. Then the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for $Y_1 \# Y_2$,

$$0 \longrightarrow H_3(Y_1 \# Y_2; R)$$

$$\longrightarrow H_2(S^2; R) \longrightarrow H_2(\text{cl}(Y_1 \setminus D^3); R) \oplus H_2(\text{cl}(Y_2 \setminus D^3); R) \longrightarrow H_2(Y_1 \# Y_2; R) \longrightarrow 0$$

becomes

$$0 \longrightarrow R \xrightarrow{j} \text{coker}(\text{Id} \otimes N) \oplus R \longrightarrow H_2(Y_1 \# Y_2; R) \longrightarrow 0.$$

From the first Mayer-Vietoris sequence above, in the case that G_i is infinite, we see that the map $R \xrightarrow{j} \text{coker}(\text{Id} \otimes N) \oplus R \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} R$ is an isomorphism, where pr_2 is the projection to the R summand. It follows that

$$\pi_2(Y_1 \# Y_2) \cong H_2(Y_1 \# Y_2; R) \cong \text{coker}(\text{Id} \otimes N: R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G_i]} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[G_i]} \mathbb{Z}[G_i]).$$

This R -module depends only on the groups G_1 and G_2 , as desired. \square

Proposition 8.5. *For $\pi = (\mathbb{Z}/p * G) \times \mathbb{Z}$ as above, the stable isomorphism class of the pair (π_2, k) detects the \mathbb{CP}^2 -stable diffeomorphism type of orientable manifolds with fundamental group isomorphic to π , but the stable isomorphism class of the second homotopy group alone does not. That is, there exists a pair of manifolds M, M' with this fundamental group such that $\pi_2(M)$ and $\pi_2(M')$ are stably isomorphic but M and M' are not \mathbb{CP}^2 -stably diffeomorphic.*

Proof. Let $t: \mathbb{Z}/p * G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p$ be the projection. Then under the induced map $t_*: \Omega_3(\mathbb{Z}/p * G) \rightarrow \Omega_3(\mathbb{Z}/p)$ the manifold $X_{p,q}$ becomes bordant to $L_{p,q}$, because $\pi_1(X) = G$ maps trivially to \mathbb{Z}/p under t . Cross this bordism with S^1 to see that $M_{p,q} = X_{p,q} \times S^1$ is bordant over $\mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}$ to $L_{p,q} \times S^1$.

If the manifolds $M_{p,q}$ and $M_{p,q'}$ are \mathbb{CP}^2 -stably diffeomorphic, they are bordant over π , for some choice of identification of the fundamental groups with π , because both $M_{p,q}$ and $M_{p,q'}$ have signature zero. Therefore the two 4-manifolds are bordant over $\mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}$. Combine this with the previous paragraph to see that $L_{p,q} \times S^1$ is bordant to $L_{p,q'} \times S^1$ over $\mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}$. As we have seen in Section 8.1, this implies that $q = \pm r^2 q' \pmod p$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ by Proposition 8.2. But there are choices of p, q and q' such that this does not hold, so there are pairs of manifolds in the family $\{M_{p,q}\}$ with the same p that are not \mathbb{CP}^2 -stably diffeomorphic to one another.

On the other hand, we have $\pi_2(M_{p,q}) = \pi_2(X_{p,q} \times S^1) = \pi_2(X_{p,q})$. By Lemma 8.4, $\pi_2(X_{p,q})$ is independent of q . Hence $\pi_2(M_{p,q}) \cong \pi_2(M_{p,q'})$ as Λ -modules for any q, q' coprime to p with $1 \leq q, q' < p$.

We remark that by Lemma 8.3, we know that Theorem A applies, and so the k -invariants must differ for q and q' such that $L_{p,q}$ and $L_{p,q'}$ fail to be homotopy equivalent to one another. \square

REFERENCES

- [BB17] Manuel Bärenz and John Barrett. Dichromatic state sum models for four-manifolds from pivotal functors. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, Nov 2017.
- [Bro82] Kenneth S. Brown. *Cohomology of groups*, volume 87 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [FQ90] Michael H. Freedman and Frank Quinn. *Topology of 4-manifolds*, volume 39 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1990.
- [Fre82] Michael Hartley Freedman. The topology of four-dimensional manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 17(3):357–453, 1982.
- [FT95] Michael H. Freedman and Peter Teichner. 4-manifold topology. I. Subexponential groups. *Invent. Math.*, 122(3):509–529, 1995.
- [Geo08] Ross Geoghegan. *Topological methods in group theory*, volume 243 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2008.
- [GS99] Robert E. Gompf and András I. Stipsicz. *4-manifolds and Kirby calculus*, volume 20 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [HAM93] Cynthia Hog-Angeloni and Wolfgang Metzler. Geometric aspects of two-dimensional complexes. In *Two-dimensional homotopy and combinatorial group theory*, volume 197 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 1–50. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [Ham09] Ian Hambleton. Intersection forms, fundamental groups and 4-manifolds. In *Proceedings of Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference 2008*, pages 137–150. Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2009.
- [Hat02] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [HK88] Ian Hambleton and Matthias Kreck. On the classification of topological 4-manifolds with finite fundamental group. *Math. Ann.*, 280(1):85–104, 1988.
- [HKT09] Ian Hambleton, Matthias Kreck, and Peter Teichner. Topological 4-manifolds with geometrically two-dimensional fundamental groups. *J. Topol. Anal.*, 1(2):123–151, 2009.
- [HS71] Peter J. Hilton and Urs Stammmbach. *A Course in Homological Algebra*. Grad. Texts in Math. 4. Springer Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [Kir89] Robion C. Kirby. *The topology of 4-manifolds*, volume 1374 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [KQ00] Vyacheslav S. Krushkal and Frank Quinn. Subexponential groups in 4-manifold topology. *Geom. Topol.*, 4:407–430, 2000.
- [Kre99] Matthias Kreck. Surgery and duality. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 149(3):707–754, 1999.
- [Lüc02] Wolfgang Lück. A basic introduction to surgery theory. In *Topology of high-dimensional manifolds, No. 1, 2 (Trieste, 2001)*, volume 9 of *ICTP Lect. Notes*, pages 1–224. Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2002.
- [Qui83] Frank Quinn. The stable topology of 4-manifolds. *Topology Appl.*, 15(1):71–77, January 1983.

- [Ran80a] Andrew A. Ranicki. The algebraic theory of surgery. I. Foundations. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 40(1):87–192, 1980.
- [Ran80b] Andrew A. Ranicki. The algebraic theory of surgery. II. Applications to topology. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 40(2):193–283, 1980.
- [Rud98] Yuli B. Rudyak. *On Thom spectra, orientability, and cobordism*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. With a foreword by Haynes Miller.
- [Sei33] Herbert Seifert. Verschlingungsinvarianten. *Sitzungsber. Preuß. Akad. Wiss Phys.-Math. Kl.*, No. 26–29, 1933.
- [Sta68] John R. Stallings. On torsion-free groups with infinitely many ends. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 88:312–334, 1968.
- [Tei92] Peter Teichner. *Topological 4-manifolds with finite fundamental group*. PhD thesis, University of Mainz, Germany, 1992. Shaker Verlag, ISBN 3-86111-182-9.
- [Wal16] C. Terence C. Wall. *Differential topology*, volume 156 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
- [Wei94] Charles A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*, volume 38 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [Whi41] J. Henry C. Whitehead. On incidence matrices, nuclei and homotopy types. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 42:1197–1239, 1941.

RHEINISCHE FRIEDRICH-WILHELMS-UNIVERSITÄT BONN, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT,
 ENDENICHER ALLEE 60, 53115 BONN, GERMANY
E-mail address: `kasprowski@uni-bonn.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DURHAM UNIVERSITY, LOWER MOUNTJOY,
 STOCKTON ROAD, DURHAM, DH1 3LE
E-mail address: `mark.a.powell@durham.ac.uk`

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMANY
E-mail address: `teichner@mpim-bonn.mpg.de`