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## 1. Modular forms of level $p$

Fix a prime $p \geq 5$. (Can also add tame level $N$, omitted here.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{k}(p) & :=\text { space of cusp forms of weight } k \text { and level } \Gamma_{0}(p) \\
d_{k} & :=\operatorname{dim} S_{k}(p)
\end{aligned}
$$

Dimension formulas means $d_{k}$ is well known.
In particular, $d_{k}$ grows linearly in $k$ :

$$
d_{k} \sim \frac{(p+1) k}{12} \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

## 2. Atkin-Lehner operator $W_{p}$ splits $S_{k}(p)$

The Atkin-Lehner operator $W_{p}$ is an involution that acts on $S_{k}$. So

$$
S_{k}(p)=S_{k}(p)^{+} \oplus S_{k}(p)^{-}
$$

where $W_{p}$ acts as +1 on $S_{k}(p)^{+}$and as -1 on $S_{k}(p)^{-}$.

- What is the split in dimension?

Let $d_{k}^{ \pm}:=\operatorname{dim} S_{k}(p)^{ \pm}$. Since $d_{k}$ is known, study

$$
\Delta_{k}:=d_{k}^{+}-d_{k}^{-}
$$

Note: $\Delta_{k}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(W_{p} \mid S_{k}(p)\right)$.

## 3. Data!

$p=5$

| $k$ | $d_{k}^{+}$ | $d_{k}^{-}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | 2 | 1 |
| 10 | 1 | 2 |
| 12 | 3 | 2 |
| 14 | 2 | 3 |
| 16 | 4 | 3 |
| 18 | 3 | 4 |
| 20 | 5 | 4 |
| 22 | 4 | 5 |
| 24 | 6 | 5 |
| 26 | 5 | 6 |

$$
\Delta_{k}= \pm 1
$$

$$
p=23
$$

$$
p=101
$$

| $k$ | $d_{k}^{+}$ | $d_{k}^{-}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 4 | 4 | 1 |
| 6 | 3 | 6 |
| 8 | 8 | 5 |
| 10 | 7 | 10 |
| 12 | 12 | 9 |
| 14 | 11 | 14 |
| 16 | 16 | 13 |
| 18 | 15 | 18 |
| 20 | 20 | 17 |
| 22 | 19 | 22 |
| 24 | 24 | 21 |
| 26 | 23 | 26 |

$\Delta_{k}= \pm 3$

|  | $d_{k}^{+}$ | $d_{k}^{-}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 1 | 7 |
| 4 | 16 | 9 |
| 6 | 17 | 24 |
| 8 | 33 | 26 |
| 10 | 34 | 41 |
| 12 | 50 | 43 |
| 14 | 51 | 58 |
| 16 | 67 | 60 |
| 18 | 68 | 75 |
| 20 | 84 | 77 |
| 22 | 85 | 92 |
| 24 | 101 | 94 |
| 26 | 102 | 109 |

$\Delta_{k}= \pm 7$

## 4. $\left|\Delta_{k}\right|$ is basically a class number!

Theorem (Fricke, Yamauchi, Helfgott, Wakatsuki, Martin...)

$$
\Delta_{k}=(-1)^{k / 2} \frac{\# \mathrm{FP}}{2}
$$

- Here \#FP is the number of fixed points of the geometric Atkin-Lehner involution on the modular curve $X_{0}(p)$.
- The moduli interpretation for $X_{0}(p)$ relates this number to elliptic curves with CM by $\sqrt{-p}$.
- So $\# \mathrm{FP}= \begin{cases}h(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p})) & \text { if } p \equiv 1 \bmod 4, \\ h(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p}))+h(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-p}]) & \text { if } p \equiv 3 \bmod 4 .\end{cases}$

Example: For $p=5, h(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p}))=2$ and $\Delta_{k}= \pm 1$.

$$
\text { For } p=101, h(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-p}))=14 \text { and } \Delta_{k}= \pm 7
$$

Corollary

$$
\Delta_{k+2}=-\Delta_{k} \quad \text { for } k \geq 2^{*}
$$

## 5. Refine for congruences between modular forms

(Work with $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ or $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{p}$ coefficients here.)
Spaces $S_{k}(p)$ have action of Hecke operators. Here suffices to consider $T_{\ell}$ for $\ell \neq p$. Can find basis of eigenforms for $T_{\ell}$.
Eigenvalues of $T_{\ell}$ are algebraic integers, so consider them $\bmod p$. Systems of mod- $p$ Hecke eigenvalues $\tau$ correspond to Galois representations $\rho_{\tau}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2}\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right)$, with $\tau(\ell)=\operatorname{Tr} \rho_{\tau}\left(\right.$ Frob $\left._{\ell}\right)$. Set
$S_{k}(p)_{\tau}:=$ span of eigenforms with mod- $p$ Hecke eigensystem $\tau$.
The Atkin-Lehner involution $W_{p}$ commutes with the $T_{\ell}$, so again

$$
S_{k}(p)_{\tau}=S_{k}(p)_{\tau}^{+} \oplus S_{k}(p)_{\tau}^{-}
$$

with corresponding dimensions

$$
d_{k, \tau}=d_{k, \tau}^{+}+d_{k, \tau}^{-} .
$$

Again $d_{k, \tau}$ grows linearly with $k$ (Jochnowitz, Bergdall-Pollack); set

$$
\Delta_{k, \tau}:=d_{k, \tau}^{+}-d_{k, \tau}^{-} .
$$

## 6. Twisting!

The Hecke eigensystem $\tau$ can only appear in weight $k$ if $\operatorname{det} \rho_{\tau}=\omega^{k-1}$, where $\omega$ is the mod- $p$ cyclotomic character.

In other words, $\tau$ determines $k$ modulo $p-1$.
But we can move between weights by the $\theta$ operator: $\tau$ becomes $\tau[1]$ with $\tau[1](\ell)=\ell \tau(\ell)$. On the Galois side, this is twisting by $\omega$.
If $\tau$ can appear in weight $k$, then
$\tau[1] \longleftrightarrow \rho_{\tau} \otimes \omega \quad$ can appear in weight $k+2$
$\tau[2] \longleftrightarrow \rho_{\tau} \otimes \omega^{2}$ can appear in weight $k+4$
$\tau\left[\frac{p-1}{2}\right] \longleftrightarrow \rho_{\tau} \otimes(\dot{\bar{p}})$ can appear in weight $k+(p-1)$, or in weight $k$
$\tau[p-1] \longleftrightarrow \rho_{\tau}$
can appear in weight $k+2(p-1)$, or in weight $k$

## 7. More data!

$p=5, N=23$ Dimension splits $\left(d_{k, \tau}^{+}, d_{k, \tau}^{-}\right)$in weight $k$ for $\tau$.

| $k \backslash \tau$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma[1]$ | $\sigma[2]$ | $\sigma[3]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $(3,2)$ | - | $(0,0)$ | - |
| 4 | - | $(2,3)$ | - | $(0,0)$ |
| 6 | $(5,5)$ | - | $(3,2)$ | - |
| 8 | - | $(5,5)$ | - | $(2,3)$ |
| 10 | $(8,7)$ | - | $(5,5)$ | - |
| 12 | - | $(7,8)$ | - | $(5,5)$ |
| 14 | $(10,10)$ | - | $(8,7)$ | - |
| 16 | - | $(10,10)$ | - | $(7,8)$ |
| 18 | $(13,12)$ | - | $(10,10)$ | - |
| 20 | - | $(12,13)$ | - | $(10,10)$ |
| 22 | $(15,15)$ | - | $(13,12)$ | - |
| 24 | - | $(15,15)$ | - | $(12,13)$ |

## 8. First main result

Theorem (Anni-Ghitza-M.) (Recall $p \geq 5$; tame level $N$ ok)

$$
\Delta_{k+2, \tau[1]}=-\Delta_{k, \tau} \quad \text { for } k \geq 2^{*}
$$

Theorem follows from an up-to-semisimplification isomorphism between two mod- $p$ Hecke modules.

## Which mod- $p$ Hecke modules?

Space $S_{k-p+1}\left(N p, \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ embeds into $S_{k}\left(N p, \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ in a Hecke equivariant way by multiplication by Hasse invariant $E_{p-1}$.

Corresponding graded module is $W_{k}(N p)$.

- (Jochnowitz, Serre, Robert) $W_{k+p+1}(N) \simeq W_{k}(N)[1]$
- (Bergdall-Pollack, AGM) $W_{k+2}(N p)^{\mathrm{ss}} \simeq W_{k}(N p)[1]^{\text {ss }}$


## 9. Second main result

We construct a refinement of $W_{k}(N p)$ : given two signs $\varepsilon, \eta$, define

$$
W_{k}(N p)^{\varepsilon, \eta}:=S_{k}\left(N p, \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)^{\varepsilon} / S_{k-p+1}\left(N p, \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)^{\eta}
$$

## Theorem (Anni-Ghitza-M.)

For any $k \geq(p+1)^{*}$ and any signs $\varepsilon, \eta$ in $\{ \pm 1\}$, we have

$$
W_{k+2}^{\varepsilon, \eta}(N p)^{\mathrm{ss}} \simeq W_{k}^{-\varepsilon,-\eta}(N p)[1]^{\mathrm{ss}}
$$

## Technical details

Define $S_{k}\left(N p, \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)^{ \pm}:=\left(S_{k}\left(N p, \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \cap S_{k}\left(N p, \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right)^{ \pm}\right) \otimes \mathbb{F}_{p}$. Then $S_{k-p+1}\left(N p, \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)^{\eta}$ embeds into $S_{k}\left(N p, \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)^{\varepsilon}$ by multiplication by the Atkin-Lehner "stabilization" $E_{p-1}^{\varepsilon / \eta}$ of $E_{p-1}$, where

$$
E_{p-1}^{ \pm}:=E_{p-1} \pm p^{(p-1) / 2} E_{p-1}(p z)
$$

## 10. Method of proof: algebra lemma + trace formula

To establish isomorphism of semisimplified mod- $p$ Hecke modules, we develop new technique: deeper congruences with trace formula.

## Lemma (AGM; refines Brauer-Nesbitt for $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[T]$ )

Let $M, N$ be rank-d free $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{-}}$-modules with linear action of $T$. Then

$$
\bar{M}^{\text {ss }} \simeq \bar{N}^{\text {ss }} \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Tr}\left(T^{n} \mid M\right) \equiv \operatorname{Tr}\left(T^{n} \mid N\right) \quad \bmod p^{1+v_{p}(n)}
$$ for every $1 \leq n \leq d$. Here $p$ can be any prime!

Here $\bar{M}^{\text {ss }}$ is the semisimplification of $\mathbb{F}_{p}[T]$-module $M \otimes \mathbb{F}_{p}$.
Example (of Goldilocks titration)
Set $M:=\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\oplus p}$ with $T$ acting by $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, so $\operatorname{Tr}\left(T^{n} \mid M\right)=p \alpha^{n}$.

- Knowing $p \alpha^{n}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ identifies $\alpha$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ - too much!
- Knowing $p \alpha^{n}=0$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ tells us nothing - too little!
- But $p \alpha^{p} \bmod p^{2}$ identifies $\alpha^{p}$ (and so $\alpha$ ) mod $p$ - just right!


## 11. Remarks about main theorem

Recall main theorem.
Theorem (AGM)

$$
\Delta_{k+2, \tau[1]}=-\Delta_{k, \tau} \quad \text { for } k \geq 2^{*}
$$

## Remarks

- As a corollary, uneven splits always come from weight 2.
- Quite generally, uneven splits come from p-new forms ( $p$-old forms always come in $\pm$ Atkin-Lehner pairs).
- No $\tau$ can appear $p$-newly in weight 2 with both $\pm$ signs. (In weight $k$ a $p$-new form has $a_{p}= \pm p^{\frac{k-2}{2}}$, with the sign determined by the Atkin-Lehner eigenvalue. Therefore in weight 2 we can see the sign $\bmod p$ from $a_{p}= \pm 1$.)
- Thus $\Delta_{k, \tau}=0$ unless $\tau\left[\frac{2-k}{2}\right]$ appears $p$-newly in weight 2 .


## 12. Even more data!

$p=5, N=23$ Up to twist, there are 7 Galois orbits of eigensystems that appear.

| $k \backslash \tau$ | $e \quad e[2]$ | $\sigma$ | $\sigma[2]$ | $t \quad t[2]$ | $s \quad s[2]$ | $\begin{aligned} & f, f[2] \\ & g, g[2] \\ & h, h[2] \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $(0,0)(0,0)$ | $(3,2)$ | $(0,0)$ | $(2,0)(0,0)$ | $(0,1)(0,0)$ | $(0,0)$ |
| 4 | $(2,1)(0,0)$ | $(2,3)$ | $(0,0)$ | $(0,2)(0,0)$ | $(1,0)(0,0)$ | $(1,1)$ |
| 6 | $(1,2)(1,1)$ | $(3,2)$ | $(5,5)$ | $(2,0)(2,2)$ | $(0,1)(1,1)$ | $(1,1)$ |
| 8 | $(2,1)(3,3)$ | $(2,3)$ | $(5,5)$ | $(0,2)(2,2)$ | $(1,0)(1,1)$ | $(2,2)$ |
| 10 | $(2,3)(3,3)$ | $(8,7)$ | $(5,5)$ | $(4,2)(2,2)$ | $(1,2)(1,1)$ | $(2,2)$ |
| 12 | $(5,4)(3,3)$ | $(7,8)$ | $(5,5)$ | $(2,4)(2,2)$ | $(2,1)(1,1)$ | $(3,3)$ |
| 14 | $(4,5)(4,4)$ | $(8,7)$ | $(10,10)$ | $(4,2)(4,4)$ | $(1,2)(2,2)$ | $(3,3)$ |
| 16 | $(5,4)(6,6)$ | $(7,8)$ | $(10,10)$ | $(2,4)(4,4)$ | $(2,1)(2,2)$ | $(4,4)$ |
| 18 | $(5,6)(6,6)$ | $(13,12)$ | $(10,10)$ | $(6,4)(4,4)$ | $(2,3)(2,2)$ | $(4,4)$ |
| 20 | $(8,7)(6,6)$ | $(12,13)$ | $(10,10)$ | $(4,6)(4,4)$ | $(3,2)(2,2)$ | $(5,5)$ |

- $e$ is the Eisenstein eigensystem in weight 2: $e(\ell)=1+\ell$
- $s$ is a $\mathbb{F}_{5^{4}}$-Galois orbit of 4 eigensystems; $h$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{5^{3}}$-orbit of 3 eigensystems
- $\sigma$ has Serre weight 2 (peu ramifié); $t$ and $s$ have Serre weight 6 (très ramifié); $f, g, h$ have Serre weight 4

