

Part 2: 8/10/13  $(W, S)$  Coxeter system. (Assume  $|S| < \infty$  for simplicity.) Most basic objects we want to categorify is

- $\mathbb{Z}W$ , the integral group algebra
- $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(W, S)$ , the Hecke alg: free  $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ -module with basis  $\{H_x \mid x \in W\}$  and mult $^*$  defined by  $H_s H_w = \begin{cases} H_{sw} & \text{if } sw > w \\ H_{sw} + (v^{-1} - v)H_w & \text{if } sw < w. \end{cases}$

(Relation to "classical" generators is  $T_w = v^{\ell(w)} H_w$ ,  $v = \sqrt{q}^{-1}$ .)

Kazhdan-Lusztig involution:  $\bar{v} = v^{-1}$ ,  $\bar{H}_x = H_x^{-1}$ .

Let  $\{\underline{H}_x \mid x \in W\}$  be the K-L basis, uniquely determined by:

- $\underline{H}_x = H_x + \sum_{y < x} h_{y,x} H_y$  where  $h_{y,x} \in v\mathbb{Z}[v]$  "upper-triangularity"
- $\bar{\underline{H}}_x = \underline{H}_x$  "self-duality"

e.g.  $\underline{H}_{id} = H_{id}$ ,  $\underline{H}_s = H_s + v H_{id}$ ,  $\underline{H}_{st} = \underline{H}_s \underline{H}_t$  if  $s \neq t$   
 $\underline{H}_s \underline{H}_t \underline{H}_s = \underline{H}_{sts} + \underline{H}_s$ ,  $\underline{H}_{sts} = \sum_{y \leq sts} v^{\ell(sts) - \ell(y)} H_y$ .

In general,  $h_{y,x} = v^{\ell(x) - \ell(y)}$  + complicated lower terms.

KL positivity conjecture: 1)  $h_{y,x} \in v\mathbb{N}[v]$   
 2) if  $\underline{H}_x \underline{H}_y = \sum_{z \in W} \mu_{xy}^z \underline{H}_z$ , then  $\mu_{xy}^z \in \mathbb{N}[v^{\pm 1}]$ .

Will be proved using categorification.

Saergel bimodules (the "classical" theory).

Fix a realization of  $(W, S)$ , i.e. a vector space

$\underline{h}$  (over  $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ , or  $\mathbb{C}$  for now),

$\{\alpha_s^\vee\}_{s \in S} \subset \underline{h}$ ,  $\{\alpha_s\}_{s \in S} \subset \underline{h}^*$  such that

$\langle \alpha_s^\vee, \alpha_s \rangle = 2$  and  $s: v \mapsto v - \langle v, \alpha_s \rangle \alpha_s^\vee$  defines a repn  $W \rightarrow GL(\underline{h})$ .

~~(Not needed for the classical theory)~~

For the classical theory we assume in addition: (this won't be needed in the diagrammatic approach)

- $\{\alpha_s\}, \{\alpha_s^\vee\}$  are linearly indep $^t$ ,
- $W \rightarrow GL(\underline{h})$  is faithful.

(It also works for the Tits geometric repn even when  $\{\alpha_s\}$  not lin. ind, but this needs extra arguments.)

Let  $R = S(\underline{h}^*)$  graded so that  $\deg h^* = 2$ , with its  $W$ -action.

Let  $R\text{-Bim}$  be the monoidal cat. of graded  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gr.  $R$ -bimodules.  
 Define shift functor by  $B(m)^i = B^{i+m}$ . Omit tensor prod. notation:  $MN$  means  $M \otimes_R N$ .  
Standard bimodules:

For  $\alpha \in W$ , let  $R_\alpha \xrightarrow{\cong} R$  isom. to  $R$  as a left  $R$ -module  
 and with right action given by  $m \cdot r = \alpha(r)m$ .

Let  $\text{Std Bim}_R$  be the full  $(\oplus, \otimes, (m))$ -subcat of  $R\text{-Bim}$   
 generated by  $R_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in W$ .

Notice:  $R_\alpha R_\beta \cong R_{\alpha\beta}$ , so  $\text{Std Bim}_R$  is a monoidal subcat.

Let  $[\text{Std Bim}_R]$  be the split Grothendieck gp:

free abelian gp on  $[M]$  for  $M \in \text{Std Bim}_R$ .

modulo relations:  $[M] = [M'] + [M'']$  if  $M \cong M' \oplus M''$ .

This is a  $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ -mod with  $v[M] = [M(-1)]$ .

The map  $\alpha \mapsto [R_\alpha]$  defines an isom.

$$\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]W \xrightarrow{\sim} [\text{Std Bim}_R]$$

of  $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ -algebras.

Soergel bimodules:

For  $s \in S$ , let  $B_s = R \otimes_{R^s} R(1)$  where  $R^s = s$ -invariants in  $R$ .  
i.e. allow direct summands

Let  $\text{SBim}$  be the full  $(\oplus, \otimes, (m))$  Karoubian subcat. of  $R\text{-Bim}$   
 generated by  $B_s$  for  $s \in S$ .

Soergel's categorification theorem: we have an isom

$$H \xrightarrow{\sim} [\text{SBim}] \text{ of } \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]\text{-algebras.}$$

$$H_s \longmapsto B_s$$

Rank 1 case:  $H_s^2 = (v + v^{-1})H_s$ .

Correspondingly,  $B_s B_s = R \otimes_{R^s} R \otimes_{R^s} R(2)$

$$(R \cong R^s \oplus R^s(-2) \text{ as an } R^s\text{-bimodule.}) \quad \cong R \otimes_{R^s} R(2) \oplus R \otimes_{R^s} R$$

since  $R = R^s \oplus R^s \alpha_s$

$$= B_s(1) \oplus B_s(-1).$$

Hence the only indec. Soergel bimodules up to isom, shift

are  $B_{\text{id}} = R, B_s$ .

A<sub>2</sub> case:  $S = \{s, t\}$ ,  $(st)^3 = \text{id}$ .

generated by a 1-dimensional lowest degree part

Exercise:  $B_{\text{id}}, B_s, B_t, B_s B_t, B_t B_s$  are indecomposable (actually cyclic)

More tricky:  $B_s B_t B_s \cong B_{s,t} \oplus B_s$

$B_t B_s B_t \cong B_{s,t} \oplus B_t$  where  $B_{s,t} = R \otimes_{R^{s,t}} R(s)$ .  
so  $\text{End} \cong \bullet R$  in each case

As  $(R^{s,t}, R^s)$ -bimodules,  $R \cong R^s \oplus R^s(-2)$ , so

$$B_{s,t} B_s \cong B_{s,t}(1) \oplus B_{s,t}(-1)$$

$$B_{s,t} B_t \cong B_{s,t}(1) \oplus B_{s,t}(-1).$$

$B_{s,t}$  is indecomposable. From the rules we know it follows

that the indec. Soergel bimodules (up to  $\cong$ , shift) are

$B_{\text{id}}, B_s, B_t, B_s B_t, B_t B_s, B_{s,t}$ .

may as well rename:  $B_{st}, B_{ts}, B_{sts}$ .

We have two exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \textcircled{1} & 0 & \longrightarrow & R(-1) & \longrightarrow & B_s & \longrightarrow & R_s(1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & 1 & \longmapsto & \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_s \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \alpha_s) & & & & \\ & & & & & & & f \otimes g & \longmapsto & f \circ s(g) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \textcircled{2} & 0 & \longrightarrow & R_s(-1) & \longrightarrow & B_s & \longrightarrow & R(1) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & & 1 & \longmapsto & \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_s \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \alpha_s) & & & & \\ & & & & & & & f \otimes g & \longmapsto & fg \end{array}$$

So  $B_s$  can be thought of both as  $\frac{R_s(1)}{R(-1)}$  and  $\frac{R(1)}{R_s(-1)}$

Tensoring these together, we see that any  $B_s B_t \dots B_u$  has a filtration with subquotients  $R_{\alpha_i}(m)$ , which in general is not controlled by Bruhat order, — multiplicities not well defined.

IF  $B \in \text{SBim}$ , a costandard filtration is a filtration  $0 = B^0 \subset B^1 \subset \dots \subset B^m = B$  s.t.

$$B_i/B_{i-1} \cong R_{w_i}^{\oplus h_{w_i}(B)}$$

where  $\{w_1 = \text{id}, w_2, w_3, \dots\}$  is a refinement of the Bruhat order and  $h_{w_i}(B) \in \mathbb{N}[v^{\pm 1}]$  ( $M \oplus \sum a_i v^i := \bigoplus M(-i)^{\oplus a_i}$ )

Fact: any Soergel bimodule has a unique costandard filtration. (existence by Soergel, uniqueness is easy).

Define the character  $ch(B)$  to be  $\sum_{x \in W} v^{l(x)} h_x(B) H_x$ .

This is the map that gives the isom.  $[SBim] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ .

e.g.  $ch(B_s) = v H_{id} + H_s = \underline{H}_s$ .

Remarks: 1) ~~we~~ We can view  $B$  as a coherent sheaf on  $Spec(R \otimes R) = \underline{h} \times \underline{h}$ , supported on finitely many "twisted graphs"  $Gr_x = \{(x\lambda, \lambda) \mid \lambda \in \underline{h}\}$ .

Then  $B^i$  in the filtration is the sections of  $B$  with support in  $Gr_{w_1} \cup Gr_{w_2} \cup \dots \cup Gr_{w_i}$ .

From this point of view the uniqueness is clear.

2) One can ask for an "axiomatic description" of  $SBim$ , but this is difficult. Not every  $R$ - $R$ -bimodule with a costandard filtration is in  $SBim$ , e.g.  $R_w \notin SBim$  unless  $w=id$ .

Defining an exact structure on ~~such~~ such  $R$ - $R$  bimodules by declaring that a sequence is exact if it induces exact sequences on the subquotients of the costandard filtration, one may expect that Soergel bimodules are the projectives.

Motivation for Soergel bimodules; from the case of Weyl groups:

$G/\mathbb{F}_q$  a split reductive gp, e.g.  $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$

$B$  Borel subgroup.

$Fun = Fun_{B(\mathbb{F}_q) \times B(\mathbb{F}_q)}(G(\mathbb{F}_q), \mathbb{C}) =$  convolution algebra of  $B(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -bimvariant functions.

This has basis  $\{T_w \mid w \in W\}$  where  $T_w$  is the indicator function of  $B(\mathbb{F}_q)wB(\mathbb{F}_q)$ .

Involution:  $Fun \cong \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]} \mathbb{C}$   
 $v \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

The right-hand side is defined by generators & relations, and makes sense for any Coxeter system.

By the function-sheaf dictionary, Fun should be categorified by a category of  $(B \times B)$ -equivariant sheaves on  $G$ .

Simplest version of this:

$D_{B \times B}^b(G; \mathbb{Q}) =$  equivariant derived category of Bernstein-Lunts.

This has a convolution bifunctor  $*$ .

The Hecke category  $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{C}$  is the full subcategory of semisimple complexes.  $\oplus IC(\overline{BwB})^{\oplus \dots} [\dots]$ ,

preserved by  $*$  by the decomposition theorem, and generated as a monoidal cat. by  $IC(\overline{BsB})$ .  
(This can be used to prove the KL positivity conjectures in the finite Weyl gp case. Other Kac-Moody groups  $G$  can be treated with more difficulty.)

Thm (Soergel)  $H_{B \times B}^i : \mathcal{H}\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\text{-Bim} \leftarrow$  (It lands here because  $H_B^i(p^+) \cong \mathbb{R}$ .)  
is fully faithful and monoidal.

Easy calculation:  $H_{B \times B}^i(IC(\overline{BsB})) = B_S$ .

Cor If  $h$  comes from  $G$  as above, then  $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{C} \cong \text{SBim}$  as an additive monoidal cat.

The right-hand side makes sense for any Coxeter group, so Soergel's introduction of SBim in general can be seen as a categorified analogue of the introduction of Hecke algebras for general Coxeter groups.