

Studies on semi-infinite
flags as fact. modules

h = alg. closed field of char. 0

X = smooth conn. curve / \mathbb{R}

G = conn. reductive group

The semi-infinite flag space

Fl_G^{∞} is supposed to have
the following properties:

- factorization space / X
- alg. loop group $LG \hookrightarrow Fl_G^{\infty}$
- Fl_G^{∞} , or rather its cal. of
 D -modules $D(Fl_G^{\infty})$, deforms
with the level

Fl_G^{∞} is supposed to be the correct space on which to localize Kac-Moody rep's at critical level.

$$\chi : \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})) \rightarrow k \text{ reg. central char. } (\xleftarrow{\text{F-F}} \text{reg. oper})$$

We hope to have an equivalence
 $\mathrm{Loc}_\chi : \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathrm{crit}}\text{-mod}_\chi \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathrm{Fl}_G^{\infty}).$

$B = TN$ Borel subgroup

$$\text{First guess: } \mathrm{Fl}_G^{\infty} = \mathbb{Z}G/\mathbb{Z}B$$

Feigin-Frenkel worked with analytic version.

Not the correct space: looking at $\mathbb{Z}^t G$ -equivariant objects.

At a point in X , $ABG \Rightarrow$

$$D_{\text{crit}}(\text{Gr}_G)^{\mathbb{Z}B} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{IndCoh}((\check{N} \times \check{G})/\check{N})$$

↑ up to ren.

On the other hand, we want

$$D_{\text{crit}}(Fl_G^{\infty})^{\mathbb{Z}^+G} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\text{crit-mod}}^{L^+G}.$$

According to a conjecture of Reshetkin-Yang,
 F-G recently proved by Yang,
 the RHS is equiv. to

$$D_{\text{crit}}(\mathbb{Z}^+G \backslash L^+G / L^+G) \underset{\text{Rep}(G)}{\otimes} \text{Vect}$$

\downarrow derived
Saluke

$$\text{IndCoh}_{\mathcal{W}}((\check{G} \times \check{G})/\check{G}) \underset{\text{Rep}(G)}{\otimes} \text{Vect}$$

||

$$\text{IndCoh}_{\mathcal{W}}(\check{G} \times \check{G}).$$

The semi-infinite IC sheaf

Need to modify $D(LG/LB)$

ii
 $D_{\text{crit}}(LG/LB).$

We'll work with $D(LG)_{LNG}$ and keep track of right $L\Gamma$ -action.

Recall that the orbits of LNG_{Gr_G} are indexed by coweights

$$\Lambda := \text{Hom}(\mathbb{G}_m, T).$$

The orbits S^γ , $\gamma \in \Lambda$, have infinite dim. and codim, but do have well-defined dimension rel. to one another.

$$j^\gamma: S^\gamma \hookrightarrow Gr_G$$

$$\Delta^\circ := j^! \omega_{S^0} \quad \text{standard}$$

$$\nabla^\circ := j_*^! \omega_{S^0} \quad \text{costandard}$$

These are fact. algebras, and have canonical map

$$\Delta^\circ \rightarrow \nabla^\circ.$$

The category $D(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathbb{Z}^N}$ has a natural t-structure: the usual t-structure on $D(\mathrm{Gr}_G)$ vanishes on $D(\mathrm{Gr}_G)^{\mathbb{Z}^N}$!

This t-structure makes sense factorizably, and as such Δ° and ∇° belong to the heart.

$$\mathrm{IC}_{\frac{G}{Z}} := \mathrm{im}(\Delta^\circ \rightarrow \nabla^\circ).$$

Warning: at a fixed point in X ,
 Δ° is a simple object, and ∇°
does not belong to the heart.

By construction, $IC_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes}$ is a
fact. alg. in $D(Gr_G)^{\otimes N}$ such
that $j^\circ! IC_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes} = w_{S^0}$.

Recall that ZG has a structure
of factorization module space
over the Gr_G , and hence
~~more~~ $D(ZG)^{\otimes N}$ has a structure
of fact. module cat over $D(Gr_G)^{\otimes N}$.

Proposal (Dennis):

$$D(Fl_G^{\otimes}) := IC_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\otimes}\text{-mod}^{\text{fact}}(D(ZG)^{\otimes N})$$

I'll check this proposal on
 Z^+ -invariants.

Theorem (in progress, vague) There is a canonical equiv. of fact. cat.'s

$$\mathrm{IC}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\infty}\text{-mod}^{\mathrm{act}}(\underset{\mathrm{crit}}{D(\mathrm{Gr}_G)}^{\mathbb{Z}^N})$$

$$\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{IndCoh}(\mathrm{LS}_T^\vee(D)_{\mathrm{LS}_G^\times(D)} \mathrm{LS}_G^\vee(D))$$

At a point:

$$\mathrm{LS}_T^\vee(D)_{\mathrm{LS}_G^\times(D)} \mathrm{LS}_G^\vee(D) \cong (\tilde{f} \circ \tilde{g}^{-1} \circ \delta)/\tilde{\tau}$$

This equiv. is compatible w/ actions

$$D_{\mathrm{crit}}(\mathbb{Z}^+G \backslash \mathbb{Z}G / \mathbb{Z}G) \xrightarrow{\substack{\text{derived} \\ \text{Salade} \\ \text{ren}}} \mathrm{IndCoh}(\mathrm{LS}_G^\vee(D)_{\mathrm{LS}_G^\times(D)} \mathrm{LS}_G^\vee(D))$$

and

$$D(\mathbb{Z}T) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{LCFT}} \mathrm{Qcoh}(\mathrm{LS}_T^\vee(D)).$$

Q: how to make sense of
Indcoh here?

$y \rightarrow X_{dR}$ crystal of Artin stacks

vs factorization spaces

$y(D)$ = horizontal jets
and

$y(\overset{\circ}{D})$ = meromorphic horizontal
jets

$$y(D)_{\text{Ran}_{dR}} \times X_{dR} = y$$

For $y = pt/H \times X_{dR}$ we have

$$y(D) = LS_H(D) \text{ and } y(\overset{\circ}{D}) = LS_H(\overset{\circ}{D}).$$

$f: Y \rightarrow Z$ quasi-affine map
over X_{dR}

Heuristic: as fact. calc's

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathrm{coh}(Y(D))_{Z(D)}^{\times}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Z(D)}}^{\mathbb{L}} Z(D) \quad \cancel{\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{Y(D)}}^{\mathbb{L}} Y(D)}$$

$$\approx f_* \mathcal{D}_{Y(D)} - \mathrm{mod}^{\text{fact}}(\mathcal{Q}(\mathrm{coh}(Z(D)))).$$

The point is that the RHS is a little better-behaved.

Apply this to $Y = \mathfrak{gl}/\check{T} \times X_{\text{dR}}$
and $Z = \mathfrak{gl}/\check{G} \times X_{\text{dR}}$ to get

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathrm{coh}(LS_Y(D))_{LS_Z(D)}^{\times}) LS_Z(D)$$

$$\approx \mathcal{D}_{\check{G}/\check{T}} - \mathrm{mod}^{\text{fact}}(\mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})).$$

We now explain how to construct

$$IC^{\mathbb{Z}\text{-mod}^{\text{fact}}(D(G_{\check{G}})^{\boxtimes N})} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\check{G}/\check{T}} - \mathrm{mod}^{\text{fact}}(\mathrm{Rep}(\check{G})).$$

Construction of the functor

Begin with the functor

$$F: D(\mathrm{Gr}_{\tilde{G}})^{\otimes N} \xrightarrow{\text{Rashin}} D(\mathrm{Gr}_{\tilde{G}}) \xrightarrow{\downarrow} D(\mathrm{Gr}_{\tilde{G}})^{\otimes N-, \psi} \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} D(\mathrm{Gr}_{\tilde{G}})^{\otimes N-, \psi} \xrightarrow{\text{FGV}} \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G}).$$

Claim: $F(\mathrm{IC}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\check{G}/\tilde{T}}$.

functionality of face modules

→ desired functor

Need another construction of $\mathrm{IC}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}$.

$\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{Rep}(\tilde{T} \times \check{G})$ - module category

Def'n (Rashin) A Drinfeld-Plücker structure on an object c in \mathcal{L} is an $\mathcal{O}_{\check{N}/\tilde{T}}$ - module structure.

This structure amounts to a collection of maps

$$k^\lambda \star c \rightarrow c \star V^\lambda \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda^+)$$

satisfying some relations.

Example $\text{Rep}(\check{\tau} \times \check{\zeta}) \hookrightarrow D(\text{Gr}_G)^{\boxtimes N \mathbb{Z}^{\check{\tau}}}$ via geom. Satake (w) some shifts in the $\text{Rep}(\check{\tau})$ -action). The standard

Δ° has a canonical Drinfeld-Plücker structure: since $+^\lambda$ belongs to Gr_G^λ for $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, we have

$$\text{IC}_{\overline{\text{Gr}}_G^\lambda} \stackrel{!}{=} k[-\langle \lambda, 2\check{\rho} \rangle].$$

$$\rightsquigarrow k^\lambda \star \delta_1[-\langle \lambda, 2\check{\rho} \rangle] = \delta_{+\lambda}[-\langle \lambda, 2\check{\rho} \rangle]$$

$$\rightarrow \text{IC}_{\overline{\text{Gr}}_G^\lambda} = \delta_1 \star V^\lambda.$$

Now apply $A_{V_1}^{\boxtimes N}$ to get

$$k^\lambda \star \Delta^\circ[-\langle \lambda, 2\check{\rho} \rangle] \rightarrow \Delta^\circ \star V^\lambda.$$

Example $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{O}(\text{Gr}(LS_{\tilde{T}}^*(D), LS_{\tilde{T}}^*(D), LS_B^*(D), LS_{\tilde{G}}^*(D), LS_G^*(D)))$
 w/ obvious action of $\text{Rep}(\tilde{T} \times \tilde{G})$.

$LS_B^*(D) \xrightarrow{\rho} Y$
 Then $\rho_* \mathcal{O} = \rho_* k$ has a
 canonical Drinfeld-Plücker structure

$$k^\lambda \otimes \rho_* k \cong \rho_* k^\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_* V^\lambda \\ \cong \rho_*(k) \otimes V^\lambda.$$

$\text{Rep}(\tilde{T} \times \tilde{G}) \otimes \mathcal{C}$

Def'n A Hecke structure on
 an object c in \mathcal{C} is an action
 of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}}$ as an algebra in $\text{Rep}(\tilde{T} \times \tilde{G})$.

Explicitly, this amounts to a
 collection of isomorphisms

$$c * V^\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} V^\lambda|_{\tilde{T}} * c \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda^+).$$

Example $\text{Rep}(\tilde{\tau} \times \tilde{\zeta}) \hookrightarrow \text{Rep}(\tilde{B})$

Then $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{N}}$ has a canonical Hecke structure.

Example $y = LS_{\tilde{\tau}}(D) \cup LS_{\tilde{\tau}}^c(D^\circ) \cup LS_{\tilde{B}}(D) \cup LS_{\tilde{G}}(D^\circ) \cup LS_{\tilde{G}}(D)$
 $\epsilon = \emptyset \cup h(y)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} LS_{\tilde{\tau}}(D) & \longrightarrow & LS_{\tilde{B}}(D) \\ & \searrow r & \downarrow \\ & & y \end{array}$$

Above example $\Rightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{O} = p_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{N}}$
has a canonical Hecke structure.

Have a canonical map

$$\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\tilde{N} \backslash G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}}$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\tilde{N} \backslash G}}\text{-mod}(\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}}\text{-mod}(\mathcal{C})$$

Proposition (Dennis) $\Delta^0 \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\tilde{N} \backslash G}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}} \cong \text{IC}^{\otimes}$

Corollary IC^{\otimes} has a canonical Hecke structure.

Note that

$$p_*(\mathcal{O})_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{N}/G}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{G}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{LS^+_T(D)}.$$

||

$p_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{N}}$

Recall Sam's "geometric Chevalley functor":

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Rep}(\tilde{G}) \otimes D(\text{Gr}_G)^{\otimes N\mathbb{Z}^{+T}} \\ & \cong D(\mathbb{Z}N^-, + \backslash \mathbb{Z}G / \mathbb{Z}^+G) \otimes D(\mathbb{Z}^+G) \mathbb{Z}G / \mathbb{Z}N\mathbb{Z}^{+T}) \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{conv}} D(\mathbb{Z}N^-, + \backslash \mathbb{Z}G / \mathbb{Z}N^+ \mathbb{Z}^{+T}) \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{oblv}} D(\mathbb{Z}G / \mathbb{Z}N\mathbb{Z}^{+T}) \xrightarrow{!_{\mathbb{Z}B}} D(\mathbb{Z}B / \mathbb{Z}N\mathbb{Z}^{+T}) \\ & \cong D(\text{Gr}_T) \cong \text{Rep}(\tilde{T}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \rightsquigarrow D(\text{Gr}_G)^{\otimes N\mathbb{Z}^{+T}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Rep}(\tilde{G}), \text{Rep}(\tilde{T})) \\ & \cong \text{Rep}(\tilde{T} \times \tilde{G}). \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Raskin) (*) sends

$$\Delta^\circ \mapsto RT(\check{N} \setminus \check{G}, \theta),$$

and the induced Drinfeld-Plücker structure on $RT(\check{N} \setminus \check{G}, \theta)$ is induced by $\Theta_{\overline{N \setminus G}} \rightarrow RT(\check{N} \setminus \check{G}, \theta)$.

Now the claim, hence the construction of the functor, follows from the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(Gr_G)^{\otimes N \mathbb{Z}^+ T} & \xrightarrow{(*)} & \text{Rep}(\check{T} \times \check{G}) \\ \text{oblv} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{inv } \check{\tau} \\ D(Gr_G)^{\otimes N} & \xrightarrow{F} & \text{Rep}(\check{G}). \end{array}$$

Namely, Raskin's thm.

\Rightarrow (*) sends

$$IC^{\frac{\theta}{2}} = \Delta^\circ \otimes_{\Theta_{\overline{N \setminus G}}} \Theta_{\check{G}} \mapsto RT(\check{N} \setminus \check{G}, \theta) \otimes_{\Theta_{\overline{N \setminus G}}} \Theta_{\check{G}}$$

$$\Theta_{\check{G}}.$$