Hecke operators for X=P² with 4 points. 1. F local field, ". II : F × -> R_+ the norm. Residue field Fg (chartfa (in non-archimedian (asc), $\|\mathcal{T}\| = q^{-1}, \text{ For } ||\mathcal{X}|| = |\mathcal{X}|$ $\|\mathcal{T}\| = q^{-1}, \text{ For } ||\mathcal{X}|| = |\mathcal{X}|^2$ $\|\mathcal{X}\| = |\mathcal{X}|^2.$ 2.X=P⁺, G=PGL2, parabolic structures at $0, 1, t, \infty, t \in F$. $\operatorname{Bun}^{s} \cong \mathbb{P}^{1} \setminus \{0, 1, t, \infty\}.$ Namely, given $y \in P' - So_{j, Z_{j}} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2}$ can define bundle $S(E) = \frac{1}{2} degE + 1$ $E_{y} = Q \oplus O_{LCE \Rightarrow S(L) = egL + \frac{1}{2}N}$ with parabolic Str-res 0, 1, Y, \oplus . This is stable iff y=0, 1, t, o 3. Also YX with at least one parabolic pt P, we have a canonical isomozphism

Bung = Bung by doing the Hecke modif. at I voiry the parabolic line. We'll identify them uping this isomorphism. 4. Also Bung = Bung by Jensoning h = n+2 by Jensoning with $\mathcal{J}(1)$. 5. Let us write down the Hecke modification Hz,s $(x \in \mathbb{P}' \setminus \{g_1, t, \infty\}, s \in \mathbb{P}^1)$ in these coordinates. Proposition. We have $\mathcal{H}_{2C,S}(E_y) = E_z$ where $Z = \frac{(s-1)(st-xy)}{(s-x)}$ modified 6. Let H_x be the thecke operator at x[usual one times $\|x(x-1)(x-t)\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. modified

We have a half-density ||dx||^{1/2} on IP? Using this half-density, we can identify the space ye of square integrable half-dénsities on $\mathbb{P}[F]$ with $\mathbb{L}^{2}(F, \|d\mathbf{z}\|)$. Corollary. The Hecke operator H_x has the form $\left(H_{\chi}\psi\right)(y) = \left(\psi\left(\frac{(s-1)(ts-xy)}{(s-x)(s-y)}\right) \right\| \frac{ds}{(s-x)(s-y)}$ this formula, we can 7. Using compute the Schwartz kernel operator Hoc. of the Namely, we can make a change of variable

 $Z = \frac{(s-1)(ts-xy)}{(s-x)(s-y)}$ This is a double cover, so we'll get a factor of 2. Also integration will be not over the whole P'(F) but only over the image of this map. The result is as follows. Proposition. Let fr(x, y, z) be the following polynomial: $f_{t}(x,y,z) = (xy + xz + yz - t)$ + 4(1+t-x-y-z)xyz. Then $\begin{aligned}
\left(H_{x}\psi\right)(y) &= \sum_{F=\sqrt{11}}^{D(f_{z}(x,y,z))\psi(z)} || dz| \\
\left(H_{x}\psi\right)(y) &= F\sqrt{11}f_{z}(x,y,z)| \end{aligned}$

where $\theta(a) = 1$ if q is a square and $\Theta(\alpha) = 0$ if not integral conveges, $\int \frac{\|dx\|}{\|dx\|} \sim \log(\tilde{z})$ hot by sing at the first $\int \frac{\|dx\|}{\|IX|I \cdot \|IX+\mathcal{E}|} \leq \neg 0$. This operator was considered by Kontsevich in 2007. The following theorem, stated in his paper, is not too Rard to prove. Thm. The operator Hz is compact and self-adjoint. Moreover [Hx, Hy]=0 for all x, y. Example. If F=C then $(H_{X} Y)(y) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int \frac{Y(z) dz dz}{\int f_{z}(z, y, z)(z)}$

Proof. It is clear that Hx is symmetric, since its Schwartz kernel is symmetric. Also Hx is a Hilbert - Schmidt. operator, i.e. its kernel K2(y, Z) satisfies $\int |K_2(y,z)| dy dz < \infty.$ F2 (this requires a computation). Thus H_x is compact, hence so is Hx. The identity [Hx,Hy]=0 follows since Hecke modifications at X any y commute. Remark. The operator Hx itself is not Hilbert - Schmidt, Since

 $\int \frac{1|dy dz ll}{F^2} = \int |K_1(y,z)|^2 \frac{dy dz k}{Dg-divegent}$ F2 $\|f_{t}(x,y,z)\| F^2$ So Hx is not trace class. But $(H_x^2)^{I+\varepsilon}$ is trace class 42>0. Note that we have an action of Z2×Z2 on Buns by $S_{0}(y) = \frac{t}{y}$, $S_{1}(y) = \frac{y-1}{y-t}$. $S_{0}S_{1} = S_{1}S_{0}$...-1 Proposition. Hx 1 ||x|| log 1|x|| and similar asymptotics at 0,1,t: $H_x \sim \log \left\| \frac{1}{x} \right\| S_0, x \to 0$ $H_{x} \sim \log \|(\frac{1}{x-1}\|_{y}^{5}, x \rightarrow 1)$ $H_{x} \sim \log \left| \left| \frac{1}{x-t} \right| \right| \lesssim S_{x,x} \to t$

Spectral decomposition. By the spectral theory of compact self-adjoint operators the commuting operators Hx have a common eigenbasis $Y_n, n \neq 0 \text{ of } \mathcal{H}, ||Y_n|| = 1$ $H_{x} \Psi_{n} = \beta_{n}(x) \Psi_{n}$, distinct. Can choose y's real and positive near ∞ , this fixes Yn Uniquely. The functions yn are Smooth (=locally constant in the non-archimedian case) outside 0,1,t,~-Proposition. We have Bn=Cnyn $c_{n} > 0$

Thus $2\theta(f_{\ell}(x,y,z)) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} c_{n}\psi_{n}(x)\psi_{n}(y)\psi_{n}(z)$ $\sqrt{\|f_{\ell}(x,y,z)\|} = h = 0$ Proof. This follows since $f_{4}(x,y,z)$ is $S_{3}-symmetric$. $\begin{array}{l} (or. H_{x}H_{y} = \int \frac{2\theta(f_{t}(x, y, z))}{\sqrt{11}f_{t}(x, y, z)} H_{z} \|dz\|. \\ (same action on eigenvectors) \\ (or. C_{n} = Y_{n, \infty}) \\ \end{array}$ $Y_{n,\infty} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{Y_n(x)}{\|x\|^{-1} \log \|x\|},$



Remark. The numbers chare the eigenvalues of the positive operator $Q = \int H_x^2 || dx ||.$ We have $\sum_{n} C_{n}^{4} < \infty$, so Q is HS. In fact, I expect that Q is almost trace class, i.e. ZCn is log divergent but ∑Ch²⁺² is convergent ∀=>0. Prop. The spectrum of 2H23 is simple and they have no common frernet. This recovers the package of properties from Kontsevich's paper

The complex and real case. Let $L = \partial_x X(x-i)(x-t) \partial_x + X$. This is the Lame operator (after so-called Halphen transform), i.e., $L \sim \partial_u^2 + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{P}(u, \tau)$. $\frac{Proposition}{f} \left(\begin{array}{c} Ly \\ -Lz \end{array} \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{f(x,y,z)}} = 0$ Corollary. $L \psi_n(x) = \Lambda_n \psi_n(x)$ for F=R, C and for C also where f_{1n} , f_{2n} are basic solutions of LF = AF.

Since Yn is single -valued, there is an invariant nondegenerate Hermitian form on the monod zomy repr. of the equation $Lf = \Lambda_n f$. The monodromy matrices at the 4 parabolic points are unipotent, hence this form must be indefinite. This implies that the monodromy repr. takes values in $SL_2(R) = SU(1.1)$. And Conversely, if A is such that the monodromy

takes values in SL2(R) then we get an eigenfun. ction. Thus we get (i.e. it belongs to 12). Proposition. Eigenfunctions of 3,Hx3 correspond to NEC such that the monodromy representation of $Lf = \Lambda f$ lands in SL2(R) (up to conjugeting Remark. The positive eigenfuncts (largest eigenvalue of Ha) corresponds to analytic Uniformization of OP (0,1, M,t). The other real projective structures

These other projective structures can be obtained from the uniformization one by a geometric procedure called grafting which was described by W.Goldman. These structures were also discussed in a paper by Faltings in 1983. Details on analytic uniformization: L.Takhtajan, arxiv: 1407.1815 $J: CP \leq 20, 1, \pm, \infty \leq 1$ analytic uniformization map. $J': \mathcal{CP}' (\{ 0, 1, t, \infty \} \to \mathcal{C}_{+}$ multivaluel holomogilic function. Then $\Psi_1^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(J^{-1})'(2)}}$ and $\Psi_2(2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(J^{-1})'(2)}} \sqrt{(J^{-1})'(2)}$

are a basis of solutions of the damé equation Ly=1,y So the function $\beta(z,\bar{z}) = \psi_1(z)\psi_2(z) + \psi_2(z)\psi_1(z)$ $= 2 \operatorname{Im} J'(z) \qquad \text{satisfies}$ $= \frac{2 \operatorname{Im} J'(z)}{|J'(z)|} \qquad L\beta = \Lambda\beta$ Then the conformal $L\beta = \Lambda\beta$ metric cooks like and B>0 B⁻²(Z,Z)/dZ/² Mois the "Perron (aurature -1) - Frobenius" eigenv. Remark. In fact it is important that the equation (Ly-Lz) = 0 $\sqrt{f_{f}(x,y,z)} = 0$ holds not just formally but "in the sense of distributions." Namely, for F= C we have

 $(Ly - Lz) = \frac{1}{\int f_{+}(x, y, z)}$ $(L_y - L_z) - \frac{1}{1 f_{f}(x, y, z)}$ F = IRand for $(L_y-L_z) = \frac{\theta(f_{\pm}(x,y,z))}{0}$ VIF(x, y, z)Subleading terms Recall that $H_{\mathcal{X}} \sim \|\mathcal{X}\|^{-1} \log \|\mathcal{A}\|, \quad \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \infty$ Prop. J (weak) $M=\lim_{x\to\infty} (\|x\| H_x - \log \|x\|),$ an unbounded self-adjoint operator.

It has the Schwartz Rernel $K_{M}(y,z) = \frac{2}{\|y-z\|} - S(y-z)\log \|y(y-1)(y-t)\|$ The operator M is closely related to the integral operator used by S. Ruijsenaars arXiv:03.64.3250 to fix a self-adjoint extension of L. for F=R (but his self-adjoint extension is slightly different from ours). The Schwartz space Def. The Schwartz space SCH is the space of elements having rapidly decaying Fourier coefficients with

respect to the Basis Yn. (and description of 3) Prop. S is the space of functions smooth outside $0, 1, t, \infty$ and near these points behaving as $a(x) + b(x) \log \|x\|$ for 0, 1, t and $\frac{a(x) + b(x) \log \|x\|}{\|x\|}$ near or where a, b are smooth. (for F = C). Prop. For F = C, S is the space of fESt such that IM TIFEIL. Ymn Prop. Lis an essentially normal operator on S. This means that L+I and <u>i</u>(L-L) are essentially self-adjoint and strangly commute

The non-archimedian case. Theorem (Kontsevich) The eigenvalues of Hx are algebraic numbers. Proof Let $x_0 \in F$, $x_0 \neq 0, 1, t, \infty$. Then Yn, SHx IIdxl) $\|\chi - \chi_o\| \leq q^h$ is a finite sank operator. Moreover, the space genereted by IB(x0,9") Under SHX is finite dimensional. Thus eigenvalues of Hx are eigenvalues of finite rational matrices.

Example. Consider the space generated under H_{∞} by $\Pi_{B(x_{o}, q^{-1})}$ where $\|[X_{o}\|] = \|[X_{o}\|] + \|[X_{o}\|]\|$ Proposition. $\dim V = q + 5 \times \Pi_{B(\overline{z}, q)}$ Namely, $V = Fun(P'(\overline{F_2}), C) \oplus V$ where V'is the 4-dim space spanned by "logarithmic tails" $\frac{y_0, y_i, y_t, y_m}{y_0, y_1, y_t, y_m}$ e.g. $\frac{y_0}{y_0} = II_{B(0,9)}(y) \log_2 IIy^{-1}II.$ Eigenvalues: Take X with $\|(x)\| = \|(x - t)\| = \|(x - t)\| = 1.$ Then the eigenvalues of Hy on Vare as follows:

 $\lambda_{\pm} = (1 + q^{-1}) 1 \pm \gamma_{1} + \frac{32q}{(q \pm 1)^{2}} Roots of$ $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} +$ $(\lambda_{+} \text{ is the largest}, \lambda_{+} \sim 1 + O(q^{-1}))$ The other eigenvalues ave much smaller. We have O with multiplicity 3 and the action of Hx on the orthogonal complement Vo of this 5-dim Ghace is the Drinfeld Hecke operator over a finite field (up to factor (-2), so by Drinfeld's Bound these eigenvalues satisfy

 $|\lambda| \leq 2q^{-1/2}$. Larger number of Points $t_0=0, t_1, \dots, t_m, t_{m+1}=\infty$ $Bun^{s} = Bun^{s} \cup Bun^{s} \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} m = m - 1$ $S_{i}: Bun_{o}^{s} \xrightarrow{a} Bun_{i}^{s}, S_{i}^{2} = 1$ $S_{i}S_{j}^{s} = S_{j}S_{i}$ i = 0, ..., m + 1Hecke modif. at t: along the parabolic line. We identify Buns = Bunz using Sm+1, then So,.., Sm define an action of (Z) Mf2 (sum of coordinates =0) ph Runs Parametrication Parametrization; $E \in Buns, E \cong O \oplus O$ Parabolic structures (1,0), (1,y); (1,ym), (0,)

yrs -> ym Et. Symmetry $(y_1, \dots, y_m) \rightarrow (8y_1, \dots, 8y_m)$ So we obtain a birational parametrization of Buns by P^{m-1} Hecke modification Proposition $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X},S}(E_Y) = E_z$ $Z_i = \frac{\chi Y_i - St_i}{Y_i - S} \begin{array}{l} y = (y_1, .., y_m) \\ z = (z_1, .., z_m) \end{array}$ H = half-densities on functions on F^m FIP MI-I homogeneous of degree - M. $\Psi(\lambda y) = \|\lambda\|^{-\frac{m}{2}} \Psi(y)$ (satisfying the L² condition).

= functions on F^{m+1} (with coord. which are translation invariant and homogeneous of degree - In the last realization, it is conversiont to write down the Hecke operator. Prop. The modified Hecke operator (ley TT/1x-till 1/2) $\frac{H_{x}}{H_{x}}\left(y\right) = \left\{y\left(\frac{t_{o}-x}{s-y_{o}}, \frac{t_{m}-x}{s-y_{m}}\right)\right\}$ Boundedness. Thm. Hx is bounded on H. $\underline{\operatorname{Proof}}, \quad \phi(w_{1, \cdots}, w_{m-1}) = \psi(o, w_{1, \cdots}, w_{m-1})$ $\|\psi\|^2 = \|\phi\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{F^{m-1}} |\phi|^2 dv$

 $V_{X,S} \left\| \frac{\chi(\chi-1)}{S(S-1)(S-\chi)} \right\|^2 \|dS\|_{2}$ $H_{x} =$ $= \| \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{S(s-i)(t_i-x)}{(S-x)(s-u_i)^2}}_{i=1}$ (u $[t_{m-1}S-u_{m-1}x]$ (5-1)S - M X1g-5-x) 1 --- 1 (5-Um-1) Key fact: Ux, s is a unitary tor. (action of an element of PGL^{m-}on a unitary repr.). hus $\|H_{\mathcal{X}}\| \leq \int \|\frac{\chi(\chi-1)}{S(S-1)}(S-X)\|$ [as] Hx are self-adjoint Also and pairwise commuting. Lompactness that Hx is compact, To prove

it suffices to check that we have hepremin 70, Ha is a compact operator. $\frac{1}{1000f} dv_{2c}(s) = \left\| \frac{x(x-1)}{s(s-1)(s-x)} \right\|^{1/2} \|ds\|$ $H_{\mathcal{X}}^{n} = \int \bigcup_{S_{1}, \chi} \frac{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}_{2}^{m-1}}{\mathcal{S}_{n, \chi}} dv_{\mathcal{X}}(S_{1}) \cdots dv_{\mathcal{X}}(S_{n}).$ $3: A^n \longrightarrow PGL_2 \qquad CPGL_2$ $\xi_{n}(S_{1,...},S_{n}) = U_{S_{1,}} \chi^{...} U_{S_{n,}} \chi$ $d\lambda = \frac{3}{3}n \star \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ x \end{pmatrix} - \frac{measure}{m} - \begin{pmatrix} F \\ F \end{pmatrix}$ $d\lambda = f_x(g) dg \qquad Haar measure$ $d\lambda = f_x(g) dg \qquad on PGL_2^{m-1}(F)$ $\int_{-1}^{1} function \qquad (n=3m-3)$ W principal series repr 3, dominar,

of PGL2(F) on half-densities on \mathbb{P}^{4} : $\Rightarrow \mathbb{W}^{\otimes m-1}$ unitary repp of $PGL_2(F)$. $H_{X}^{n} = \int f_{Z}(g) p(g) dg$ $PGL_{2}^{m-1}(F)$ Harish-Chandra thm: If \$ on PGL2 (F) is smooth with compact support then the operator $\int_{PGL_2}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(q) \varphi(q) dq}{(F)}$ is trace class? compact. But fz can be approximated in 1² metric by smooth functions with compact support,

so H'' can be approx. by compact operators, huence it is itself [4] compact. Asymptotics: $H_{x} \sim (|\chi|)^{-1} \log ||\chi|| , \chi \rightarrow \infty$ $H_{x} \sim \log \|x - t_{i}\|_{i}^{j} x \rightarrow t_{i}$ Spectral decomposition: $\mathcal{H} = \widetilde{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{k}$ $H_{X} \Psi = \beta_{k}(x) \Psi$ M+2 X_k-character of (Z/2)0 on He BR(x)~log IIx-till · XR(Si)

 $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbf{x}) \sim \|\mathbf{x}\|^{-1} \log \|\mathbf{x}\|, \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \infty.$ Thm. For large enough a the operator $|H_X|^{\alpha}$ is trace class => (Hx)^{a/2} is Hilbert -schmidt. It'd be interesting to determine for which a this is so. Clearly $\alpha \geq 2 \dim(Bun) = 2(m-1).$ Tince otherwise the kernel of [Hx]^a does not have full report. Archimedian case. In the archemedian case

we have also the quantum Hitchin system on Bans. This is the Gaudin system: $\sum_{\substack{j \leq m \\ i \neq j}} \frac{1}{t_i - t_j} \left(-(y_i - y_j) \partial_i \partial_j + (y_i - y_j) (\partial_i - \partial_j) + \frac{1}{2} \right)$ G_{i}^{i} $[\widetilde{G}_i, \widetilde{G}_j] = 0, \quad \sum \widetilde{G}_i = 0$ $G_i = G_i - \sum_{\substack{j \neq i}} \frac{1}{2(t_i - t_j)}$ Thm. (Universal open equation) $\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+\sum_{i\neq 0}\frac{1}{x-t_{i}}\partial_{x}\right)H_{x}$ $-H_{\mathcal{X}} \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{G_i}{\chi - t_i} = 0.$

Cor. The operator G: aits by a scalar Mike on Je and [G: Hx]=0. Corollary The eigenvalues BR(X) satisfy the vocal oper equation $\left(\partial_{x}^{2}-\sum_{\substack{j\neq 0}}^{j}\frac{1}{x-t_{i}}\partial_{x}\right)\beta_{k}(x)$ $-\sum \frac{\mu_{i,k}}{x-t}; \beta_k(x) = 0.$ Thm. (i) The Hecke operators have a joint simple spectreem I on H. (11) Let B be the set of real opens. Then the R 3! up to scaling real analytic half-density

defined outside the non-very-stable divisor on Bung such that $G_i V_n = M_i V_{n_2} G_i V_n = M_i V_n$ (III) The spectrum of Hecke operators 2 embeds into R (IV) If yell is a joint eigenfunction of Gi, Gi asa distribution then yE Ke for some k. Schwartz space: As before it is the space I of functions with rapidly decaying coefficients

with respect to the basis Yk. Thm. (1) $S = S \neq \epsilon \mathcal{J} \mathcal{C}$, $L M f \epsilon \mathcal{R}$ Anantum V Hitchine Hamiltonians L, M J. (2) Quantum Hitchin hamiltemans are essentially normal on 3 Example of 5 points Bung = blowup of IP2 at 5 points (0,0,1), (0,1,0), (1,0,0) $(y, z, 1) \in IP^2$ (1, 1, 1), (s, t, 1).The divisor D of singularities of the Hitchin system: 16 components: 1. Exceptional fibers (5) 2.10 lines:

y=0, 1, 5, Z=0, 1, 5y=z, ty=sz(2-1)(y-1) = (5-1)(2-1)line at p 3. Quadric 5t(y-z) + (t-s)yz + sz - ty=0They have normal crossings and are permuted transitive ly by $S_5 \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}_2)_0^s = W(\mathbb{P}_5),$ Stabilizer = W(A4) = S5 Crossings form Clebsch graph, 5-hypercube/central symmetry. Theorem. 1. The basic solutions of the Hitchin system (of rank 4) behave near généric point of D as 1, 1, 1, 1, 12 and

near intersections as 1, 1, (Z,)w2. Single-valued eigenfunctions of Gi and Gi look there like Yot/ZJY1, Yi smooth (generically on D) and $\psi_0 \neq |z|\psi_1 \neq |w|\psi_2$ (at intersection, So they are continuous = 12 and are eigenfunctions of Hecke operators. 3. Monodromy around components of D looks like ('',) near intersections also ('-1,)

Expect a similar picture for mil>5 points. Case 1: Misodd: near generic point of the divisor D eigenfunctions are m-? $\psi = \psi + \frac{1}{Z} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi$ Y, Y, smooth Case 2. M'is even $\begin{aligned} \Psi &= \Psi + \Psi \left(Z \right)^{m-2} \log \left(Z \right) \\ & I \\ & \Psi, \Psi \\ & I \\ & & V_0, \Psi_1 \\ & & \text{smooth.} \end{aligned}$ The real case. Take F = R, $t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_m$

2=+4 £3 B3 eigenvalue Equation for $\angle (\mu) \beta = O$. $J = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix}$ $B_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_j \\ 0 & -a_j \end{pmatrix}$ 中の $B_{o} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & b_{o} \\ 0 & -a_{o} \end{pmatrix}$

m+1 $\begin{array}{c} T & B_{j} & J = -1 \\ j = 0 \\ m & -1 \\ T & B_{j} & J = - \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \gamma \\ j \Rightarrow \lambda = T & a_{j}^{-1} \\ j & j \end{array}$ $\vec{J} = 0$ 2m+4 unknowns => 2(m-1) 6 equations dim 6 equations Sphel of polutions. This is a parametrization of flat connections V. So what are "real" opens? Def. V is a balanced connection if $a_j = 1 \forall j$, $so (\lambda =). \quad \square \ e.$ $B_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_{j} \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}.$

Then the two matrix equations above are equivalent. So we just have m+1 $\frac{1}{y=0} \quad B_{j} T = -1.$ This defines an m-1-dim. variety Xm-1. Thm. Eigenvalues of Hecke operators (~) balanced connections. Thm, Balanced connections promagishing of the Psystem

of level Mã

 $T_{i}(k-1)T_{i}(k+1) = T_{i-1}(k)T_{i+1}(k)+1$ $0 \leq i \leq m$ $T_{o}(k) = T_{m}(k) = \int$ for all $k \cdot T_1(k) = b_k$ Remark. Every nonvanishing solution of the T-system of level mis mt 2-antiperiodic, in2 $T_{i}(k+m+2) = T_{m-i}(k),$ This is the ABZamolodchikov Conjecture (Frenkel-Szenes) More general versions

were one of the starting points of the theory of cluster algebras. (Fomin-Zelevinsky)