

On Harder's $Sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ - $Sl(3, \mathbb{R})$ -identity

Appendix by Don Zagier

The object of this appendix is to prove the experimentally obtained formula stated in Section 2.2.4 of the paper.

1 Notations and statement of the identity

Our notations differ slightly from those of the paper. Fix an integer $D \geq 0$. (This is the $d + 2$ of the paper, but we assume neither D even nor $D > 2$.) We define coefficients

$$C_{a,b} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\min(a,b)} (-1)^{a+b-\nu} \binom{a}{\nu} \binom{2D-a}{b-\nu} \quad (0 \leq a, b \leq 2D). \quad (1)$$

(This is $(-1)^a 2^D C_{a,b}^{(d)}$ in the notation of the paper.) For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we define

$$\gamma_n(z) = \Gamma\left(\frac{z+|n|+1}{2}\right)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{|n|/2} (-1)^k \binom{|n|}{2k} \Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{z+|n|}{2} - k\right). \quad (2)$$

In the paper the number $c(z, n) = i^{-n} \gamma_n(z - 1)$ is used instead, but $\gamma_n(z)$ is slightly easier to work with since it is an even function of n and is real for z real, and the shift of z by 1 also simplifies some of the formulas. Harder's identity says that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{0 \leq b \leq 2D \\ b \equiv m_\alpha \pmod{2}}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq c \leq 2D \\ c \equiv m_\beta \pmod{2}}} i^{D+b+c} C_{2D,b} C_{b,c} C_{c,e} \gamma_{D-b}(z - D + 1) \gamma_{D-c}(z) \\ &= \frac{2^{3D} \pi}{z} \left(\delta_{e,2D} + (-1)^{m_\beta} \delta_{e,0} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for any $m_\alpha, m_\beta \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $m_\alpha + m_\beta \equiv D$, $e \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $0 \leq e \leq 2D$, and $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($z = d - n_\alpha = n_\beta + 1$ in Harder's notation) satisfying $0 < z < D - 1$ and $z \equiv m_\alpha \pmod{2}$. In fact this identity is true without the restriction on the parity of $m_\alpha + m_\beta$ (but with the right-hand side replaced by 0 if $m_\alpha + m_\beta \not\equiv D \pmod{2}$) or of e , and for all complex numbers z (in the sense of meromorphic functions). If we restrict to integral values of z , then the individual terms on the left sometimes have poles and the identity does not make sense, but if $z \equiv m_\alpha \pmod{2}$ then all terms are finite and the identity is true whenever $z > 0$, without the assumption $z < D - 1$.

2 Properties of the coefficients $C_{a,b}$

The key property of the numbers (1) is the identity

$$\sum_{b=0}^{2D} C_{a,b} x^b = (x+1)^a (x-1)^{2D-a} \quad (0 \leq a \leq 2D), \quad (4)$$

which follows straight from the binomial theorem. This immediately implies the symmetry properties

$$C_{a,b} = (-1)^b C_{2D-a,b} = (-1)^a C_{a,2D-b} \quad (0 \leq a, b \leq 2D) \quad (5)$$

(the first of which is also obvious from (1)). A further symmetry property is

$$\binom{2D}{a} C_{a,b} = \binom{2D}{b} C_{b,a}, \quad (6)$$

which follows either from (1) and the identity

$$\binom{2D}{a} \binom{a}{\nu} \binom{2D-a}{b-\nu} = \binom{2D}{b} \binom{b}{\nu} \binom{2D-b}{a-\nu}$$

or else from (4) and the generating function calculation

$$\sum_{a=0}^{2D} \sum_{b=0}^{2D} \binom{2D}{a} C_{a,b} x^a y^b = \sum_{a=0}^{2D} \binom{2D}{a} x^a (y+1)^a (y-1)^{2D-a} = (xy+x+y-1)^{2D}$$

Finally, substituting $x = \pm 1$ into (4) and using (6) gives two identities which we will use below:

$$\sum_{c=0}^{2D} \binom{2D}{c} C_{c,e} = 2^{2D} \delta_{e,2D}, \quad \sum_{c=0}^{2D} (-1)^c \binom{2D}{c} C_{c,e} = 2^{2D} \delta_{e,0} \quad (0 \leq e \leq 2D) \quad (7)$$

Observe that (4) says simply that the $(2D+1) \times (2D+1)$ matrix $(C_{a,b})_{0 \leq a,b \leq 2D}$ is the image of the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ under the $(2D)$ th symmetric power map $GL(2) \rightarrow GL(2D+1)$. In particular, the square of this matrix is 2^{2D} times the identity.

3 Properties of the functions $\gamma_n(z)$

These are summarized in the following proposition and its corollary.

Proposition 1. (i) For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(z) > 0$ we have the integral representation

$$\gamma_n(z) = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} e^{in\theta} (\cos \theta)^{z-1} d\theta. \quad (8)$$

(ii) For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the function $\gamma_n(z)$ is given by the closed formula

$$\gamma_n(z) = \frac{\pi}{2^{z-1}} \frac{\Gamma(z)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{z+1+n}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{z+1-n}{2}\right)} \quad (9)$$

Collorary 1. For n and z in \mathbb{Z} the values of $\gamma_n(z)$ are given by

$$\gamma_n(z) = \begin{cases} 2^{1-z} \pi \binom{\frac{z-1}{|n|+\frac{z-1}{2}}}{\frac{|n|+\frac{z-1}{2}}{2}} & \text{if } z \geq 1, z \equiv n+1 \pmod{2}, \\ (-1)^{\frac{|n|-z}{2}} 2^{1-z} z^{-1} / \binom{\frac{|n|+z-1}{z}}{\frac{|n|+z-1}{2}} & \text{if } z \geq 1, z \equiv n \pmod{2}, \\ (-1)^{\frac{|n|+z-1}{2}} 2^{-z} \pi \binom{\frac{|n|-z-1}{-z}}{\frac{|n|+z-1}{2}} & \text{if } z \leq 0, z \equiv n+1 \pmod{2}, \\ \infty & \text{if } z \leq 0, z \equiv n \pmod{2}. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

In particular, $\gamma_n(z) = 0$ if $z \equiv n+1 \pmod{2}$ and either $z < -|n|$ or $0 < z < |n|$.

Proof: Since the left- and right-hand sides of both (8) and (9) are even functions of n , we may assume that $n \geq 0$. Setting $m = 2k$ in (2) and using the beta integral followed by the substitution $t = \sin^2 \theta$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n(z) &= \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} \Re(i^m) \int_0^1 t^{(m-1)/2} (1-t)^{(z+n-m-2)/2} dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos(n\theta) \cos(\theta)^{z-1} d\theta \end{aligned}$$

for $\Re(z) > 0$, establishing (8). If z is a positive integer congruent to $n+1$ modulo 2, then (8) gives

$$\gamma_n(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{in\theta} \left(\frac{e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}}{2} \right)^{z-1} d\theta = \frac{\pi}{2^{z-1}} \binom{z-1}{\frac{z-1-n}{2}},$$

proving (9) for these values of z . But this is sufficient, since the quotient of each term in (2) by the right-hand side of (9) is a rational function of z and two rational functions which agree at infinitely many arguments are equal. We can also give a purely combinatorial proof of (9), e.g., if $n = 2m \geq 0$ (the case of odd n is similar), then using the duplication formula of the gamma function we find

$$\frac{\gamma_n(z)}{\text{RHS of (9)}} = \binom{m - \frac{z+1}{2}}{m}^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m - \frac{1}{2}}{k} \binom{-\frac{z}{2}}{m-k} = 1.$$

The corollary follows immediately from (9) by computing the values or limiting values of the right-hand side as z tends to an integer. The second and third lines of (10) are identities given in Section 2.2.2 of the paper. \square

4 Proof of the identity (3)

For $0 \leq c \leq 2D$ we define a meromorphic function $\widehat{\gamma}_c(z)$ by

$$\widehat{\gamma}_c(z) = \sum_{b=0}^{2D} i^{D+b+c} C_{c,b} \gamma_{D-b}(z-D+1). \quad (11)$$

Note that this is a real function (in the sense that $\overline{\widehat{\gamma}_c(z)} = \widehat{\gamma}_c(z)$) because of the symmetry properties $C_{c,2D-b} = (-1)^c C_{c,b}$ and $\gamma_n(z) = \gamma_{-n}(z)$. The key fact is the identity

$$\widehat{\gamma}_c(z) = \frac{2^{D+1} \pi}{z \gamma_{D-c}(z)}. \quad (12)$$

To prove this, we may assume that $\Re(z) > D - 1$ since both sides of (12) are meromorphic functions of z . Then (8) and (4) together with the substitution $t = \cos(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\theta}{2})^2$ and the beta integral give

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\gamma}_c(z) &= i^{D+c} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos \theta)^{z-D} e^{iD\theta} (ie^{-i\theta} + 1)^c (ie^{-i\theta} - 1)^{2D-c} d\theta \\
&= 2^{z+D} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \cos(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\theta}{2})^{z+c-D} \sin(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\theta}{2})^{z+D-c} d\theta \\
&= 2^{z+D} \int_0^1 t^{(z+c-D-1)/2} (1-t)^{(z+D-c-1)/2} dt \\
&= 2^{z+D} \Gamma(\frac{z+c-D+1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{z-c+D+1}{2}) / \Gamma(z+1),
\end{aligned}$$

and together with (9) this proves the claim.

Combining equations (12) and (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{b=0}^{2D} \sum_{c=0}^{2D} i^{D+b\pm c} C_{2D,b} C_{b,c} C_{c,e} \gamma_{D-b}(z-D+1) \gamma_{D-c}(z) \\
&= \sum_{c=0}^{2D} (\pm 1)^c \binom{2D}{c} \widehat{\gamma}_c(z) \gamma_{D-c}(z) C_{c,e} \quad (\text{by (6), since } C_{2D,b} = \binom{2D}{b}) \\
&= \frac{2^{D+1}\pi}{z} \sum_{c=0}^{2D} (\pm 1)^c \binom{2D}{c} C_{c,e} \quad (\text{by (12)}) \\
&= \frac{2^{3D+1}\pi}{z} \delta_{e,D\pm D} \quad (\text{by (7)}),
\end{aligned}$$

and (3) follows by taking the real or imaginary part of the sum or difference of these two identities.