

Sequences of Enumerative Geometry: Congruences and Asymptotics

Daniel B. Grünberg and Pieter Moree, with an appendix by Don Zagier

CONTENTS

1. Introduction
 2. Background
 3. Congruences
 4. Proofs of the Theorems
 5. Asymptotics
 6. Comparison with Another Sequence from Enumerative Geometry
- Appendix: Exact and Asymptotic Formulas for v_n
- References

We study the integer sequence v_n of numbers of lines in hypersurfaces of degree $2n - 3$ of \mathbb{P}^n , $n > 1$. We prove a number of congruence properties of these numbers of several different types. Furthermore, the asymptotics of the v_n are described (in an appendix by Don Zagier). Finally, an attempt is made at carrying out a similar analysis for numbers of rational plane curves.

1. INTRODUCTION

We study the sequence of numbers of lines in a hypersurface of degree $D = 2n - 3$ of \mathbb{P}^n , $n > 1$. The sequence is defined by (see, e.g., [Fulton 84])

$$v_n := \int_{G(2, n+1)} c_{2n-2}(\text{Sym}^D Q), \quad (1-1)$$

where $G(2, n + 1)$ is the Grassmannian of \mathbb{C}^2 subspaces of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} (i.e., projective lines in \mathbb{P}^n) of dimension $2(n + 1 - 2) = 2n - 2$, Q is the bundle of linear forms on the line (of rank $r = 2$, corresponding to a particular point of the Grassmannian), and Sym^D is its D th symmetric product, of rank $\binom{D+r-1}{r-1} = D - 1 = 2n - 2$.

The top Chern class (Euler class) c_{2n-2} is the class dual to the 0-chain (i.e., points) corresponding to the zeros of the bundle $\text{Sym}^D(Q)$, i.e., to the vanishing of a degree- D equation in \mathbb{P}^n ; this is the geometric requirement that the lines lie in a hypersurface.

The integral (1-1) can actually be written as a sum:

$$v_n = \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{\prod_{a=0}^D (aw_i + (D-a)w_j)}{\prod_{0 \leq k \leq n, k \neq i, j} (w_i - w_k)(w_j - w_k)}, \quad (1-2)$$

where w_0, \dots, w_n are arbitrary complex variables. This is a consequence of a localization formula due to Atiyah and Bott from equivariant cohomology, which says that only the (isolated) fixed points of the $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{n+1}$ action contribute to the defining integral of v_n . Hence the sum.

2000 AMS Subject Classification: 11N37, 11N69, 11R45

Keywords: Sequence, congruence, asymptotic growth, number of plane rational curves

For the first few values of n , computation yields $v_2 = 1$, $v_3 = 27$, $v_4 = 2875$, $v_5 = 698005$, $v_6 = 305093061$.

D. Zagier gave a simple proof that the right-hand side of (1–2) is independent of w_0, \dots, w_n (as it must be for (1–2) to hold), and that in fact it can be replaced by the much simpler formula

$$v_n = \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=0}^{2n-3} (2n-3-j+jx) \right]_{x^{n-1}}, \quad (1-3)$$

where the notation $[]_{x^n}$ means the coefficient of x^n . In fact, formula (1–2) was proved in a very different way using methods from Schubert calculus by B. L. van der Waerden, who established it in part 2 of his celebrated 20-part *Zur algebraischen Geometrie* series of papers [van der Waerden 33, van der Waerden 83].

The number of linear subspaces of dimension k contained in a generic hypersurface of degree d in \mathbb{P}^n , when it is finite, can be likewise expressed as the coefficient of a monomial in a certain polynomial in several variables; see, e.g., [Manivel 01, Theorem 3.5.18].

Zagier also gave the formula

$$v_n \sim \sqrt{\frac{27}{\pi}} (2n-3)^{2n-7/2} \times \left(1 - \frac{9}{8n} - \frac{111}{640n^2} - \frac{9999}{25600n^3} + \dots \right), \quad (1-4)$$

where the right-hand side is an asymptotic expansion in powers of n^{-1} with rational coefficients that can be explicitly computed. The proof of this formula, as well as the derivation of (1–3) from (1–2), can be found in the appendix.

The remaining results, summarized in Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, are concerned with congruence properties of the numbers v_n . In this context it turns out to be convenient to define $v_1 = 1$ (even though there is no such thing as a hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^1 of degree -1) and even more remarkably, $v_0 = -1$.

We do not doubt that the congruence results presented here form only the tip of an iceberg. For reasons of space, not all our proofs of the congruence results have been given here. For those not given, the reader is referred to the arXiv version of this paper [Grünberg and Moree 08].

A first version of this paper was written by the first author. The present version is similar to the first one, except for Sections 3 and 4, which have been greatly revised and expanded by the second author. The conjectures outside these two sections are due to the first author alone. Sections 5 and 6 were revised by both the second author and Don Zagier.

2. BACKGROUND

The motivating idea behind this paper is the expectation that certain problems in enumerative geometry are coupled to modularity. This is a recurrent theme in string theory, where partition functions have often an enumerative interpretation as counting objects (instantons, etc.) and must satisfy the condition of modularity covariance in order to obtain the same amplitude when two world-sheets have the same intrinsic geometry.

Modular forms, as is well known, have Fourier coefficients satisfying many interesting congruences (think of Ramanujan’s congruences for partitions or for his function $\tau(n)$).

The same can happen for the coefficients of expansions related to modular forms, for example, the expansions $y = \sum A_n x^n$ obtained by writing a modular form y (locally) as a power series in a modular function x . For instance, the famous Apéry numbers related to Apéry’s proof of the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$ are obtainable in this way [Beukers 87], and they satisfy many interesting congruences [Stienstra and Beukers 85].

The numbers appearing in the context of mirror symmetry, Picard–Fuchs equations for Calabi–Yau manifolds, Gromov–Witten invariants, and similar problems of enumerative geometry are sometimes related to modular forms and sometimes not, so we can reasonably hope for interesting congruence properties in these contexts also.

In the case of degree- d instantons, for example, there is the conjecture of Clemens that for the quintics in \mathbb{CP}^4 , $5^3 \mid n_d$ for all d ; see, for example, [Lian and Yau 96].

In Section 3 we shall find astonishingly many congruences for our sequence v_n . We shall first draw a few tables for congruences modulo 2, 3, 4, 5, and 11, and then summarize the observed congruences.

In Section 4 we prove those congruences by elementary means starting from (1–3), and a few conjectures will be formulated. Sequences of numbers coming from modular forms also often have interesting asymptotic properties, and we therefore wish to study this, too.

In Section 5 we find the asymptotic properties of the v_n numerically using a clever empirical trick shown to us by Don Zagier, which we call the “asymp_k trick.” (A rigorous proof of these asymptotics, as already mentioned above, was also provided by Zagier and is reproduced in the appendix.)

Section 6 presents congruences and asymptotics for another enumerative sequence (without proofs): the sequence of rational curves on the plane.

Zagier’s asymptotic shows that the v_n themselves are not Fourier coefficients of any modular form on a subgroup of $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ (whose coefficients typically grow like n^{2k-1} for weight $2k$). Nevertheless, we cannot exclude, for example, that $v_n = f(n)a(n) + g(n)$, with f, g simple functions and $a(n)$ modular.

3. CONGRUENCES

We will consider the sequences $\{v_n \pmod k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ for some small values of k ; that is, we study the reduction of the integers v_n modulo k . It turns out to be instructive to order the v_n modulo k in a table. Each table has k rows. The l th entry in the i th row ($1 \leq i \leq k$) is $v_{lk+i} \pmod k$, where the reduction mod k is taken to be in the interval $[0, \dots, k - 1]$.

For instance, the first few tables for $k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ are presented as Tables 1–4.

Table 1 ($k = 2$) tells us that all the v_n are odd integers. We shall be interested primarily in the tables for prime k . Table 5 is a typical such table.

Study of these and other tables led us to formulate a number of conjectures, most of which we were able to prove. An overview of these results is given in Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.1. *The following hold for the tables of $v_n \pmod k$:*

1. All v_n are odd.
2. The first two rows of each table are equal.
3. If k is even, then rows $k/2 + 1$ and $k/2 + 2$ are equal.
4. For k odd, row $(k + 3)/2$ contains only zeros.
5. For k prime, the first two rows start with 1, 1 followed by k occurrences of $k - 1$.
6. For $k > 2$ prime, the last $(k - 1)/2$ entries of the first column vanish.
7. For $k > 2$ prime, there is a block of zeros at the bottom (after $(k - 1)/2$ columns), of height $(k - 1)/2$ and width $(k + 3)/2$.

1	1	1	1	1	1	1	...
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	...

TABLE 1. Reduction of the integers v_n modulo $k = 2$.

1	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	2	...
1	1	2	2	2	0	0	0	2	...
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	...

TABLE 2. Reduction of the integers v_n modulo $k = 3$.

1	1	1	1	1	1	1	...
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	...
3	3	3	3	3	3	3	...
3	3	3	3	3	3	3	...

TABLE 3. Reduction of the integers v_n modulo $k = 4$.

8. For $k = 2^q$, all rows are constant, and in a twofold way, they sweep out all odd residues, i.e., for every odd integer a with $1 \leq a \leq 2^q$ there are precisely two rows that have only a as entry.
9. For $k = 2^q > 2$ the entries in the rows 1, 2, 2^{q-1} , $2^{q-1} + 1$, $2^{q-1} + 2$, $2^q - 1$ equal, respectively, 1, 1, $2^{q-1} - 1$, $2^{q-1} + 1$, $2^{q-1} + 1$, $2^q - 1$.
10. For $k = 2^q > 2$ the entries in row a and row $a + 2^{q-1}$ differ by $2^{q-1} \pmod{2^q}$.

Proof: These ten claims are proved respectively in Lemmas 4.7, 4.4, 4.4, 4.5, 4.8 and 4.9, 4.12, 4.14, 4.22 and 4.25, 4.24, and 4.24. □

On computing the reductions of v_1, \dots, v_{32} modulo 32 one finds by part 8 of this theorem that for $k = 4$, the table has constant rows 1, 1, 3, 3; for $k = 8$, constant rows 1, 1, 3, 3, 5, 5, 7, 7; for $k = 16$, constant rows 1, 1, 11, 11, 5, 5, 7, 7, 9, 9, 3, 3, 13, 13, 15, 15; and for $k = 32$, constant rows 1, 1, 27, 27, 21, 5, 7, 23, 9, 9, 19, 19, 29, 13, 31, 15, 17, 17, 11, 11, 5, 21, 23, 7, 25, 25, 3, 3, 13, 29, 15, 31. Thus, for modulus 2^q with $q \leq 3$ we observe that pairs of values occur and that these, moreover, are in ascending order.

For $q = 4$ the values still come in pairs, but the order is no longer ascending. For $n \geq 5$ it turns out that pairs with equal values become sparser and sparser. Notice that in the above cases, for every modulus, all odd values are assumed exactly twice. By part 8, this always happens. Thus, given an odd integer a and any integer

1	1	4	4	4	4	4	2	3	...
1	1	4	4	4	4	4	2	3	...
2	0	0	2	3	2	1	4	1	...
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	...
0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	...

TABLE 4. Reduction of the integers v_n modulo $k = 5$.

1	1	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	...
1	1	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	...
5	9	10	7	8	6	10	2	7	8	6	10	8	5	...
4	1	5	8	6	7	10	8	2	6	7	10	8	8	...
0	9	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	...
9	3	10	0	1	4	8	10	7	6	2	8	10	7	...
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	...
0	2	5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	10	...
0	2	2	2	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	1	...
0	10	0	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	3	...
0	2	8	9	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5	...

TABLE 5. Reduction of the integers v_n modulo $k = 11$.

$q \geq 1$, there are infinitely many integers m such that $v_m \equiv a \pmod{2^q}$ (or put more succinctly, modulo powers of two, the sequence v_n is equidistributed over the odd residue classes).

For k prime, it is often the case that $v_n \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ for trivial reasons. It then makes sense to consider divisibility of v_n by higher powers of k . Our deepest result in this direction is provided by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2.

1. If $p \geq 5$ is a prime, then

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\frac{p+3}{2}} &\equiv -2p^3 \pmod{p^4}, \\ v_{\frac{p+3}{2}} &\equiv 2p^3(1-p)(p-1)!4^{p-1} \pmod{p^5}. \end{aligned}$$

2. Let $r \geq 1$ and let $p \geq 2r + 1$ be a prime. Then

$$v_{\frac{p+3}{2}+rp} \equiv C_r p^{2r+2} \pmod{p^{2r+3}}, \tag{3-1}$$

where

$$C_r = \frac{r}{(-4)^{r-1}} \left(\frac{2r+1}{r!}\right)^2 \sum_{j=0}^{2r} b_{j,r} ((1-2j))_{2r-1},$$

the integers $b_{j,r}$ are defined implicitly by

$$\prod_{a=1}^{2r} (2r+1-a+ax) = \sum_{j=0}^{2r} b_{j,r} x^j,$$

and $((u))_a := \prod_{j=1}^a (u+2j-2)$.

Remark 3.3. Note that $b_{2r-j,r} = b_{j,r}$. Numerical experimentation suggests that the numerator of c_r always equals a power of 2 and that the congruence (3-1) holds for all odd primes.

We record here some values of c_r :

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= -81, & c_2 &= \frac{103125}{8}, \\ c_3 &= -\frac{210171535}{64}, & c_4 &= \frac{1308348857025}{1024}, \\ c_5 &= -\frac{11660783598520749}{16384}. \end{aligned}$$

4. PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS

4.1 Some Generalities

First recall from the elementary theory of finite fields of order p that

$$x^{p-1} - 1 \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (x - j) \pmod{p}.$$

(Here and below, the letter x denotes a variable.) By substituting $x = 0$ one obtains Wilson’s theorem:

$$(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

We also recall the elementary identity $(a+b)^p \equiv a^p + b^p \pmod{p}$, from which we infer that if $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, then $f(x)^p \equiv f(x^p) \pmod{p}$. These results will be freely used in the sequel without further reference.

Lemma 4.1. We have $v_n \equiv 0 \pmod{(2n-3)^2}$.

Proof: The term with $j = 0$ in (1-3) equals $2n - 3$. The term with $j = 2n - 3$ equals $(2n - 3)x$. Hence $v_n = (2n - 3)^2 w_n$, where

$$w_n = \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=1}^{2n-4} (2n-3-j+jx) \right]_{x^{n-2}}$$

completing the proof. □

The following result was first noticed by D. Kerner. An alternative, slightly longer, proof was given by M. Vlasenko.

Lemma 4.2. *We have $v_n \equiv 0 \pmod{(2n-3)^3}$.*

Proof: It suffices to show that $w_n \equiv 0 \pmod{2n-3}$. Note that modulo $2n-3$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} w_n &\equiv \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=1}^{2n-4} (-j+jx) \right]_{x^{n-2}} \\ &\equiv -(2n-4)! \left[(x-1)^{2n-3} \right]_{x^{n-2}} \\ &= -(2n-4)! \binom{2n-3}{n-2} \\ &= -(2n-4)! \frac{2n-3}{n-1} \binom{2n-4}{n-2} = -(2n-3)! C_{n-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_m := \frac{1}{m+1} \binom{2m}{m}$ is the m th Catalan number. The Catalan numbers are integers that arise in numerous counting problems. \square

Remark 4.3. It might be interesting to see whether the integers $v_n/(2n-3)^i$ with $i = 1, 2$, or 3 also have a geometric meaning.

The next result was obtained in collaboration with Alexander Blessing. It establishes parts 2 and 3 of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 4.4. *For $l \geq 0$ we have $v_{ln+1} \equiv v_{ln+2} \pmod{2n}$.*

Proof: Since $v_1 = v_2 = 1$, the result is trivially true for $l = 0$, and thus we may assume $l \geq 1$. We have, modulo $2n$,

$$v_{ln+1} \equiv \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=0}^{2ln-1} (-1-j+jx) \right]_{x^{ln}}.$$

Furthermore, we have, modulo $2n$,

$$\begin{aligned} v_{ln+2} &\equiv \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=0}^{2ln+1} (1-j+jx) \right]_{x^{ln+1}} \\ &\equiv \left[(1-x)x \prod_{j=0}^{2ln} (1-j+jx) \right]_{x^{ln+1}} \\ &\equiv \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=0}^{2ln} (1-j+jx) \right]_{x^{ln}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\equiv \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=0}^{2ln} (1-(2ln-j)+(2ln-j)x) \right]_{x^{ln}} \\ &\equiv \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=0}^{2ln} (1+j-jx) \right]_{x^{ln}} \\ &\equiv \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=0}^{2ln-1} (-1)(-1-j+jx) \right]_{x^{ln}} \\ &\equiv \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=0}^{2ln-1} (-1-j+jx) \right]_{x^{ln}} \\ &\equiv v_{ln+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. \square

The next lemma generalizes Lemma 4.1. It implies part 4 of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 4.5. *If k is odd, then*

$$v_{lk+(k+3)/2} \equiv 0 \pmod{(2l+1)^2 k^{2l+2}}.$$

Proof: We have $v_{lk+(k+3)/2} \equiv [(1-x) \prod_{j=0}^{(2l+1)k} ((2l+1)k-j+jx)]_{x^{lk+(k+1)/2}}$. The terms in the product with $j = 0$ and $j = (2l+1)k$ lead to a factor of $(2l+1)^2 k^2$. The remaining terms in the product that are divisible by k lead to a factor k^{2l} . \square

4.2 The Sequence $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ Modulo Primes

The following lemma will be repeatedly used in this section.

Lemma 4.6. *Let p be a prime and c an integer. Then, modulo p ,*

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (ix-i+c) \\ &\equiv \begin{cases} -(x-1)^{p-1} & \text{if } p \mid c, \\ -(x+x^2+\dots+x^{p-1}) = \frac{x^p-x}{1-x} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof: If $p \mid c$, then the result is trivial, so assume $p \nmid c$. We can write

$$\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (ix-i+c) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} i \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} \left(x-1+\frac{c}{i}\right) \equiv \frac{x^p-x}{1-x},$$

where we have used that as i runs over $1, 2, \dots, p-1$, $-1+c/i$ runs over all residues modulo p except for -1 . \square

The second lemma in this section is part 1 of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 4.7. *For $n \geq 1$ we have $v_n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.*

Proof: Modulo 2, the $2n-2$ terms in the product in (1-3) are alternately 1 and x . It thus follows that

$$v_n \equiv [(1-x)x^{n-1}]_{x^{n-1}} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

This concludes the proof. □

The next lemma together with Lemma 4.9 establishes part 5 of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 4.8. *Let p be a prime. Then $v_{p+1} \equiv v_{p+2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.*

Proof: Modulo p we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_{p+1} &\equiv \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (-1-j-jx)^2 \right]_{x^p} \\ &\equiv \left[(1-x) \left(\frac{x^p-x}{1-x} \right)^2 \right]_{x^p}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the derivation of the first congruence we noted that modulo p , the j th term in the product (1-3) is equal to the $(j+p)$ th, and in the second congruence, we used Lemma 4.6. Now note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[(1-x) \left(\frac{x^p-x}{1-x} \right)^2 \right]_{x^p} &= \left[(1-x) \left(\frac{x}{1-x} \right)^2 \right]_{x^p} \\ &= \left[\sum_{k \geq 2} x^k \right]_{x^p} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by Lemma 4.4, v_{p+2} satisfies the same congruence as v_{p+1} modulo p . □

The proof of the next lemma involves congruences for binomial coefficients. In all cases these can be found by direct computation, but often it is more convenient to invoke a classical result of E. Lucas. Let $n \geq m$ be natural numbers and write $n = a_0 + a_1p + a_2p^2 + \dots + a_s p^s$ and $m = b_0 + b_1p + b_2p^2 + \dots + b_s p^s$ with $0 \leq a_i, b_i \leq p-1$. Then Lucas's theorem states that

$$\binom{n}{m} \equiv \binom{a_0}{b_0} \binom{a_1}{b_1} \dots \binom{a_s}{b_s} \pmod{p}.$$

Recall that $\binom{a}{b} = 0$ if $b > a$. For example, by direct computation we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{p^2-2}{2p-2} &\equiv \left[\frac{(-2) \cdots (-2p+1)}{1 \cdots (2p-2)} \right]' (1-p) \\ &\equiv -(2p-1)(p-1) \\ &\equiv -1 \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

(here $[]'$ means skipping multiples of p). By Lucas's theorem we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{p^2-2}{2p-2} &= \binom{(p-1)p+p-2}{1 \cdot p+p-2} \\ &\equiv \binom{p-1}{1} \binom{p-2}{p-2} \\ &\equiv -1 \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, we immediately find using Lucas's theorem that with $r = 1$ and $p > 3$,

$$\binom{2p-1}{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^r}.$$

(This identity with $r = 2$ was proved in 1819 by Charles Babbage. For $r = 3$, it follows from Wolstenholme's theorem [Bauer 88].)

At various points we use the easy result that $\binom{p-1}{j} \equiv (-1)^j \pmod{p}$. To see this, observe that modulo p , the entries except for the two outermost ones in the $(p+1)$ th row of Pascal's triangle are zero modulo p . Since each of these entries arises as the sum of the two elements above it in the p th row, the entries in the p th alternate between 1 and -1 . Similarly, one infers that $\binom{p-2}{j} \equiv (-1)^j(j+1) \pmod{p}$.

For a nice survey of arithmetic properties of binomial coefficients, we refer the reader to [Granville 97].

Lemma 4.9. *Let p be a prime and $2 \leq l \leq p+1$. Then $v_{lp+1} \equiv v_{lp+2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.*

Proof: We require a few case distinctions, making the proof rather longwinded. For the details see [Grünberg and Moree 08]. □

The cases $l = p$ and $l = p+1$ in the proof of Lemma 4.9 can be proved more succinctly, as is done in the proofs of Lemmas 4.10 and Lemma 4.11.

Lemma 4.10. *Let p be a prime. Then $v_{p^2+1} \equiv v_{p^2+2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.*

Proof: Note that modulo p , the integer v_{p^2+1} is congruent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (-1-j-jx)^{2p} \right]_{x^{p^2}} \\ & \equiv \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (-1-j-jx^p)^2 \right]_{x^{p^2}} \\ & \equiv \left[\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (-1-j-jy)^2 \right]_{y^p}. \end{aligned}$$

On proceeding as in the previous proof, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} v_{p^2+1} & \equiv \left[\left(\frac{y^p-y}{1-y} \right)^2 \right]_{y^p} = \left[\left(\frac{y}{1-y} \right)^2 \right]_{y^p} \\ & = \left[\sum_{k \geq 1} ky^{k+1} \right]_{y^p} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by Lemma 4.4, v_{p^2+2} satisfies the same congruence as v_{p^2+1} modulo p . \square

Lemma 4.11. *Let p be a prime. Then $v_{p^2+p+1} \equiv v_{p^2+p+2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.*

Proof: We have the following congruence modulo p :

$$\begin{aligned} v_{p^2+p+1} & \equiv \left[(1-x)(x+x^2+\dots+x^{p-1})^{2p+2} \right]_{x^{p^2+p}} \\ & \equiv \left[(1-x)(x+x^2+\dots+x^{p-1})^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times (x^p+\dots+x^{p(p-1)})^2 \right]_{x^{p^2+p}} \\ & \equiv \left[(x-x^p)(x+x^2+\dots+x^{p-1}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{kp} \right)^2 \right]_{x^{p^2+p}} \\ & \equiv \left[(x^2+\dots+x^p-x^{p+1}-\dots-x^{2p-1}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)x^{kp} \right]_{x^{p^2-p}} \\ & \equiv \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)x^{(k+1)p} \right]_{x^{p^2-p}} \equiv -1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by Lemma 4.4, v_{p^2+p+2} satisfies the same congruence as v_{p^2+p+1} modulo p . \square

The next lemma establishes part 6 of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 4.12. *If p is an odd prime, then $v_{\frac{p+3}{2}+i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $i = 0, \dots, (p-3)/2$.*

Proof: In the case $i = 0$, the result follows by Lemma 4.1, so assume that $i \geq 1$. On using that modulo p , the j th term equals the $(j+p)$ th term, we find that modulo p ,

$$v_{\frac{p+3}{2}+i} \equiv \left[4i^2(1-x) \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (2i-j+jx) \prod_{j=1}^{2i} (2i-j+jx) \right]_{x^{(p+1)/2+j}}.$$

On invoking Lemma 4.6 and noting that $p > \frac{p+1}{2} + i$, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\frac{p+3}{2}+i} & \equiv \left[4i^2(x^p-x) \prod_{j=1}^{2i} (2i-j+jx) \right]_{x^{(p+1)/2+i}} \\ & \equiv \left[-4i^2 \prod_{j=1}^{2i} (2i-j+jx) \right]_{x^{(p-1)/2+j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\deg(\prod_{j=1}^{2i} (2i-j+jx)) = 2i$ and $2i < \frac{p-1}{2} + i$, the result follows. \square

The next lemma will be used in the proof of Lemma 4.14.

Lemma 4.13. *Define $A_r(x)$ and $B_r(x)$ recursively by*

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(x) & = 0, & A_{r+1}(x) & = (x + \dots + x^{p-1})^r - A_r(x); \\ B_0(x) & = 0, & B_{r+1}(x) & = -(x + \dots + x^{p-1})^r - B_r(x). \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$f_r(x) = (x-1)(1+x^p+\dots+x^{p(p-1)})(x+\dots+x^{p-1})^r.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_r(x) & = (-1)^r(x-1)(1+x^p+\dots+x^{p(p-1)}) \\ & \quad + x^{p^2}A_r(x) + B_r(x), \end{aligned}$$

where for $r \geq 1$, the degree of $B_r(x)$ equals $(r-1)(p-1)$.

Proof: The result follows easily on noting that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{r+1}(x) & = (1+\dots+x^{p-1})f_r(x) - f_r(x) \\ & = (x^{p^2}-1)(x+\dots+x^{p-1})^r - f_r(x). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

The next lemma is part 7 of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 4.14. *Let p be an odd prime. Suppose that $0 \leq i \leq (p-3)/2$ and $(p-1)/2 \leq l \leq p$. Then $v_{l+(p+3)/2+i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.*

Proof: See [Grünberg and Moree 08]. □

A further question concerning the distribution of v_n modulo primes is how frequently certain residues appear. For example, is it true that the zeros have density 1? Is it true that the nonzero entries are equidistributed? Questions like this can be answered for the middle binomial coefficient $\binom{2k}{k}$; see, for example, [Berend and Harmse 98, Moshe 03, Moshe 05]. The following lemma suggests that perhaps techniques from those papers can be used to investigate this issue.

Lemma 4.15. *We have $v_{1+3k} \equiv v_{2+3k} \equiv \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{2k}{k} \pmod{3}$ and $v_{3k} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.*

Proof: By Lemma 4.1 we have $v_{3k} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. By Lemma 4.4 we have $v_{1+3k} \equiv v_{2+3k} \pmod{3}$. We have, modulo 3,

$$\begin{aligned} v_{2+3k} &\equiv \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=0}^{1+6k} (1-j+jx) \right]_{x^{1+3k}} \\ &= \left[(1-x)(-x(1+x))^{2k} x \right]_{x^{1+3k}} \\ &= \left[(1-x)(1+x)^{2k} \right]_{x^k} = \binom{2k}{k} - \binom{2k}{k-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{k+1} \binom{2k}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. □

4.3 The Sequence $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ Modulo Prime Powers

The proof of the next lemma was kindly communicated to us by Carl Pomerance (an independent proof was given by Paolo Dominici).

Lemma 4.16. *The polynomial $\prod_{i=0}^{p^l-1} (ix-i+j) \pmod{p^l}$, as a polynomial in x , depends only on the class $j \pmod{p}$ (i.e., replacing j by $j+kp$ would yield the same result).*

Proof: Let $f_j(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{p^r-1} (ix+i+j)$. If $p \mid j$, then there are p^{r-1} factors divisible by p and $p^{r-1} \geq r$, so that $f_j(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^r}$. So assume $p \nmid j$. Let k be the inverse of j , so $jk \equiv 1 \pmod{p^r}$. Then modulo p^r , we have $f_j(x) \equiv j^{p^r} f_1(x)$ (since the expression ik runs over a complete residue system modulo p^r as i does). Now suppose $j \equiv j_1 \pmod{p}$, with, say, $j_1 = j + kp$. Using induction with respect to r , one then easily sees that $j_1^{p^r} = (j + kp)^{p^r} \equiv j^{p^r} \pmod{p^r}$, and we are done. □

Proof of Theorem 3.2.: Part 1: We have the following congruence modulo p^5 .

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\frac{p+3}{2}} &= p^2 \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (p-j+jx) \right]_{x^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} \\ &\equiv p^2 \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (-j+jx) + (1-x)p \right. \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{p-1} (-j+jx) + (1-x)p^2 \\ &\quad \times \sum_{1 \leq k < r \leq p-1} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k, r}}^{p-1} (-j+jx) \left. \right]_{x^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} \\ &\equiv -p^2(p-1)! \left[(x-1)^p + (x-1)^{p-1}p \right. \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k} + (x-1)^{p-2}p^2 \sum_{1 \leq k < r \leq p-1} \frac{1}{kr} \left. \right]_{x^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} \\ &\equiv -p^2 \{(p-1)!\} \left[(x-1)^p \right]_{x^{\frac{p-1}{2}}}, \\ &\equiv -p^2 \{(p-1)!\} \left(\frac{p}{2} \right) (-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}}, \\ &\equiv 2p^3 \{(p-1)!\} (1-p) \left(\frac{p-1}{2} \right) (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} 1/k \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ (this is Wolstenholme’s theorem [Hardy and Wright 79, Theorem 115]) and $\sum_{1 \leq k < r \leq p-1} 1/kr \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. To see the latter congruence, note that

$$\begin{aligned} (p-1)! \sum_{1 \leq k < r \leq p-1} \frac{1}{kr} &= \left[\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (x-j) \right]_{x^{p-3}} \\ &\equiv \left[x^{p-1} - 1 \right]_{x^{p-3}} \\ &= 0 \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Now it is an easy consequence of Eisenstein’s congruence (1859), see [Hardy and Wright 79, Theorem 132], which states that

$$\frac{2^{p-1} - 1}{p} \equiv 1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} + \dots + \frac{1}{p-2} \pmod{p},$$

that [Hardy and Wright 79, Theorem 133] $\binom{p}{(p-1)/2} (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 4^{p-1} \pmod{p^2}$. (Indeed, by Morley’s congruence (1895), see [Cai 02], this congruence is valid even modulo p^3 .)

We thus finally infer that

$$v_{\frac{p+3}{2}} \equiv 2p^3(1-p)\{(p-1)!\}4^{p-1} \pmod{p^5},$$

which of course implies that $v_{\frac{p+3}{2}} \equiv -2p^3 \pmod{p^4}$.

Part 2: We have the formal series identity

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^{2r}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+2r-1}{2r-1} x^k.$$

Note that

$$[(x-1)^{-2r}]_{x^{\frac{p-1}{2}-s+rp}} \equiv \frac{(1-2s)_{2r-1}}{2^{2r-1}(2r-1)!} \pmod{p}.$$

Using the latter congruence, we find that modulo p^{2r+3} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\frac{p+3}{2}+rp} &= \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=0}^{(2r+1)p} ((2r+1)p-j+jx) \right]_{x^{\frac{p+1}{2}+rp}} \\ &\equiv p^{2r+2} \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=0}^{2r+1} (2r+1-j+jx) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \prod_{\substack{j=0 \\ p \nmid j}}^{(2r+1)p} (-j+jx) \right]_{x^{\frac{p+1}{2}+rp}} \\ &\equiv (2r+1)^2 p^{2r+2} \left[\left(\sum_{j=0}^{2r} b_{j,r} x^j \right) (x-1)^{(2r+1)p-2r} \right]_{x^{(p-1)/2+rp}} \\ &\equiv (2r+1)^2 p^{2r+2} \\ &\quad \times \left[\left(\sum_{j=0}^{2r} b_{j,r} x^j \right) (x-1)^{(2r+1)p} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+2r-1}{2r-1} x^k \right]_{x^{(p-1)/2+rp}} \\ &\equiv -(2r+1)^2 p^{2r+2} \\ &\quad \times \left[\left(\sum_{j=0}^{2r} b_{j,r} x^j \right) \sum_{l=0}^r x^{lp} (-1)^l \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+2r-1}{2r-1} x^k \right]_{x^{(p-1)/2+rp}} \\ &\equiv -(2r+1)^2 p^{2r+2} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{2r+1}{l} (-1)^l \\ &\quad \times \sum_{j=0}^{2r} b_{j,r} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}-j+(r-l)p+2r-1}{2r-1} \\ &\equiv -\frac{(2r+1)^2 p^{2r+2}}{2^{2r-1}(2r-1)!} \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{2r+1}{l} (-1)^l \sum_{j=0}^{2r} b_{j,r} ((1-2j))_{2r-1} \\ &\equiv \frac{(-1)^{r-1} (2r+1)^2}{2^{2r-1}(2r-1)!} \binom{2r}{r} p^{2r+2} \sum_{j=0}^{2r} b_{j,r} ((1-2j))_{2r-1} \\ &= C_r p^{2r+2}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the next-to-last step we used the identity

$$(-1)^r \binom{2r}{r} = \sum_{l=0}^r \binom{2r+1}{l} (-1)^l,$$

which is obtained by comparing the coefficient of x^r on both sides of the identity $(1-x)^{-1}(1-x)^{2r+1} = (1-x)^{2r}$. This finishes the proof. \square

4.4 The Sequence $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ Modulo Powers of Two

Before we can consider the sequence modulo powers of two, we need some preparatory lemmas.

Lemma 4.17. *If j is odd, then $\prod_{i=0}^{2^q-1} (ix-i+j)^2 \equiv x^{2^q} \pmod{2^q}$.*

Proof: The proof is by induction with respect to q . For $q=1$ the result is obvious. Assume that the result has been established for $1 \leq q \leq q_1$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=0}^{2^{q_1+1}-1} (ix-i+j)^2 &= \prod_{i=0}^{2^{q_1}-1} (ix-i+j)^2 \prod_{i=2^{q_1}}^{2^{q_1+1}-1} (ix-i+j)^2 \\ &= P_1(x)P_2(x), \end{aligned}$$

say. Note that $P_1(x) \equiv P_2(x) \pmod{2^{q_1}}$. The induction hypothesis thus implies that we can write $P_1(x) = x^{2^{q_1}} + 2^{q_1} f_1(x)$ and $P_2(x) = x^{2^{q_1}} + 2^{q_1} f_2(x)$.

Since $(ix-i+j)^2 \equiv ((i+2^{q_1})x - (i+2^{q_1}) + j)^2 \pmod{2^{q_1+1}}$, it even follows that $P_1(x) \equiv P_2(x) \pmod{2^{q_1+1}}$, from which we infer that $f_1(x) \equiv f_2(x) \pmod{2}$ and hence $f_1(x) + f_2(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. It follows that modulo 2^{q_1+1} , the product under consideration equals

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(x)P_2(x) &= (x^{2^{q_1}} + 2^{q_1} f_1(x))(x^{2^{q_1}} + 2^{q_1} f_2(x)) \\ &= x^{2^{q_1+1}} \pmod{2^{q_1+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. \square

In the course of the above proof we have shown that

$$\prod_{i=0}^{2^q-1} (ix-i+j)^2 \equiv \prod_{i=2^q}^{2^{q+1}-1} (ix-i+j)^2 \pmod{2^{q+1}}.$$

The next result shows that the same identity holds for the ‘‘square roots.’’ Using this identity, the ‘‘square root’’ on the left-hand side of Lemma 4.17 can be computed (Lemma 4.19).

Lemma 4.18. *Let j be odd and $q \geq 2$. Then*

$$\prod_{i=0}^{2^q-1} (ix-i+j) \equiv \prod_{i=2^q}^{2^{q+1}-1} (ix-i+j) \pmod{2^{q+1}}.$$

Proof: It is an easy observation that modulo 2, we have for $0 \leq k \leq 2^q - 1$ that

$$\prod_{a=0, a \neq k}^{2^q-1} (j-a+ax) \equiv \begin{cases} x^{2^q-1} & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ x^{2^q-1} & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Using this identity we find that modulo 2^{q+1} ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=2^q}^{2^{q+1}-1} (ix - i + j) \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{2^q-1} (ix - i + j + 2^q(x-1)) \\ &\equiv \prod_{i=0}^{2^q-1} (ix - i + j) + 2^q(x-1) \sum_{k=0}^{2^q-1} \prod_{i=0, i \neq k}^{2^q-1} (ix - i + j) \\ &\equiv \prod_{i=0}^{2^q-1} (ix - i + j) + 2^q(x-1) \\ &\quad \left(x^{2^{q-1}} \sum_{2|k}^{2^q-2} 1 + x^{2^{q-1}-1} \sum_{2 \nmid k}^{2^q-1} 1 \right) \\ &\equiv \prod_{i=0}^{2^q-1} (ix - i + j) + 2^q(x-1)(x^{2^{q-1}} 2^{q-1} + x^{2^{q-1}-1} 2^{q-1}) \\ &\equiv \prod_{i=0}^{2^q-1} (ix - i + j). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.19. *Let j be odd and $q \geq 3$. Modulo 2^q , we have*

$$\prod_{i=0}^{2^q-1} (ix - i + j) \equiv x^{2^{q-1}-2} \left[2^{q-1}(x^4 + x^3 + x + 1) + x^2 \right].$$

Proof: The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.17, but with the difference that instead of the equality $P_1(x) \equiv P_2(x) \pmod{2^{q+1}}$, we use Lemma 4.18. \square

Remark 4.20. By Lemma 4.16, it suffices to work in the proofs of Lemma 4.17, 4.18, and 4.19 with $j = 1$.

The next result establishes a part of parts 8 and 9 of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 4.21. *For $q \geq 1$ we have $v_{2^q} \equiv -1 \pmod{2^q}$.*

Proof: Put $P_q(x) = (1-x) \prod_{j=0}^{2^{q+1}-3} (-3-j+jx)$. We want to compute the coefficient of x^{2^q-1} in $P_q(x)$ modulo 2^q . On invoking Lemma 4.17, one finds that

$$P_q(x)(1+2x)(2+x) \equiv x^{2^q}(1-x) \pmod{2^q},$$

from which we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} P_q(x) &\equiv x^{2^q-q}(1-x) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-2)^k x^k \\ &\times \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} (-2)^{q-1-r} x^r \pmod{2^q} \\ &\equiv x^{2^q-q}(1-x) \sum_{m=0}^{2q-2} a_m x^m \equiv x^{2^q-q} \\ &\times \sum_{m=0}^{2q-1} b_m x^m \pmod{2^q}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_m \equiv \begin{cases} -(-2)^{q-1-m}/3 & \text{if } 0 \leq m \leq q-1; \\ -(-2)^{-q+1+m}/3 & \text{if } q \leq m \leq 2q-2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$b_m \equiv \begin{cases} -(-2)^{q-1-m} & \text{if } 0 \leq m \leq q-1; \\ (-2)^{m-q} & \text{if } q \leq m \leq 2q-1. \end{cases}$$

Thus $v_{2^q} \equiv b_{q-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{2^q}$. \square

Recall that we defined $v_0 = -1$. The reason for this is that this definition allows us to formulate the next lemma, which together with Lemma 4.25 is part 8 of Theorem 3.1, with $j = 0$.

Lemma 4.22. (Periodicity.) *Suppose that $i, k \geq 0$. We have $v_{k2^q+i} \equiv v_i \pmod{2^q}$.*

Proof: First assume that $i \geq 2$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} v_{k2^q+i} &\equiv \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=0}^{2^q-1} (2i-3-j+jx)^{2k} \right. \\ &\times \left. \prod_{j=0}^{2i-3} (2i-3-j+jx) \right]_{x^{k2^q+i-1}} \pmod{2^q}. \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 4.17, the first product equals $x^{k2^q} \pmod{2^q}$. Thus

$$v_{k2^q+i} \equiv \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=0}^{2i-3} (2i-3-j+jx) \right]_{x^{i-1}} \equiv v_i \pmod{2^q}.$$

In order to deal with the case $i = 1$, we note that using Lemma 4.4, $v_{k2^q+1} \equiv v_{k2^q+2} \equiv v_2 \equiv v_1 \pmod{2^q}$. In the case $i = 0$, one finds, proceeding as above, that for $k \geq 1$, $v_{k2^q} \equiv v_{2^q} \pmod{2^q}$.

On invoking Lemma 4.21, it then follows that $v_{k2^q} \equiv v_{2^q} \equiv v_0 \pmod{2^q}$. \square

The next result yields a part of part 9 of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 4.23. *Suppose that $q \geq 1$. Then $v_{2q-1} \equiv 2^{q-1} - 1 \pmod{2^q}$.*

Proof: The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.21. For $q \leq 3$ one verifies the claim numerically. So assume $q \geq 4$. We want to compute the coefficient of $x^{2^{q-1}-1}$ in $P_{q-1}(x)$ modulo 2^q . On invoking Lemma 4.19, one finds that

$$P_{q-1}(x)(1+2x)(2+x) \equiv x^{2^{q-1}-2} \left[2^{q-1}(x^4+x^3+x+1)+x^2 \right] \pmod{2^q},$$

whence

$$P_{q-1}(x) \equiv x^{2^{q-1}-q-2} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{2q-1} b_m x^m \right) \times \left[2^{q-1}(x^4+x^3+x+1)+x^2 \right] \pmod{2^q}.$$

(Note that the assumption $q \geq 4$ implies that $2^{q-1} - q - 2 \geq 0$.)

Thus modulo 2^q , the coefficient of $x^{2^{q-1}-1}$, that is, v_{2q-1} , equals

$$v_{2q-1} \equiv b_{q-1} + 2^{q-1}(b_{q-3} + b_{q-2} + b_q + b_{q+1}) \equiv -1 + 2^{q-1}(-4 + 2 - 2 + 1) \equiv 2^{q-1} - 1.$$

This completes the proof. □

The next result with $i = 0, 1$, and 2 yields a part of part 9 of Theorem 3.1. It also yields part 10 of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 4.24. *For $i \geq 0$ and $q \geq 2$ we have $v_{2q-1+i} \equiv v_i + 2^{q-1} \pmod{2^q}$.*

Proof: See [Grünberg and Moree 08]. □

Using induction and Lemma 4.24, one easily infers the following result, which, together with Lemma 4.22, gives part 8 of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 4.25. (Equidistribution.) *Let $q \geq 1$. For every odd integer a there are precisely two integers $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 \leq 2^q$ such that $v_{j_1} \equiv a \pmod{2^q}$ and $v_{j_2} \equiv a \pmod{2^q}$.*

4.5 On a Result of Paolo Dominici

Let $S_k(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ denote the k th elementary symmetric function in r variables, i.e., $S_1(x_1, \dots, x_r) = x_1 + \dots + x_r$, $S_2(x_1, \dots, x_r) = x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + \dots + x_{r-1}x_r$, etc.

Paolo Dominici [Dominici 98] states the following result for v_n without reference.

Theorem 4.26. *For $1 \leq i \leq 2n - 4$ we put $y_i = i/(2n - 3 - i)$. Then*

$$v_n = (2n - 3)^2(2n - 4)! \times \{S_{n-2}(y_1, \dots, y_{2n-4}) - S_{n-1}(y_1, \dots, y_{2n-4})\}.$$

We will now derive this result from (1–3). We need two lemmas.

Lemma 4.27. *Let $L_1(x), \dots, L_r(x)$ be linear polynomials, then*

$$\frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^m}{dx^m} \{L_1(x) \cdots L_r(x)\} = S_m \left(\frac{L'_1(x)}{L_1(x)}, \dots, \frac{L'_r(x)}{L_r(x)} \right) L_1(x) \cdots L_r(x).$$

Another observation that we need is the following.

Lemma 4.28. *Let x_1, \dots, x_r be distinct nonzero elements such that $x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdots x_r = 1$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\} = \{\frac{1}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{x_r}\}$. Then $S_{r-k}(x_1, \dots, x_r) = S_k(x_1, \dots, x_r)$, with $1 \leq r \leq k$.*

Proof: Note that

$$S_{r-k}(x_1, \dots, x_r) = S_k \left(\frac{1}{x_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{x_r} \right) x_1 \cdots x_r = S_k(x_1, \dots, x_r),$$

where in the derivation of the first equality we used the assumption that $x_i \neq 0$, and in that of the second the remaining assumptions. □

Corollary 4.29. *For $1 \leq k \leq 2n - 5$ we have $S_k(y_1, \dots, y_{2n-4}) = S_{2n-4-k}(y_1, \dots, y_{2n-4})$.*

Proof of Theorem 4.26.: Put

$$P_n(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{2n-4} (2n - 3 - j + jx).$$

By definition, we have

$$v_n = \left[(1-x) \prod_{j=0}^{2n-3} (2n - 3 - j + jx) \right]_{x^{n-1}} = (2n - 3)^2 \left[(1-x) P_n(x) \right]_{x^{n-2}}.$$

Thus,

$$v_n = (2n - 3)^2 \{ [P_n(x)]_{x^{n-2}} - [P_n(x)]_{x^{n-3}} \}. \quad (4-1)$$

On noting that

$$[P_n(x)]_{x^m} = \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^m}{dx^m} P_n(x) \Big|_{x=0},$$

we obtain on invoking Lemma 4.27 that

$$[P_n(x)]_{x^m} = (2n - 4)! S_m(y_1, \dots, y_i, \dots, y_{2n-4}). \quad (4-2)$$

Combining (4-2) with (4-1) yields that

$$v_n = (2n - 3)^2 (2n - 4)! \times \{ S_{n-2}(y_1, \dots, y_{2n-4}) - S_{n-3}(y_1, \dots, y_{2n-4}) \},$$

or, on invoking Corollary 4.29,

$$v_n = (2n - 3)^2 (2n - 4)! \times \{ S_{n-2}(y_1, \dots, y_{2n-4}) - S_{n-1}(y_1, \dots, y_{2n-4}) \}.$$

This concludes the proof. \square

From the above proof we infer that we may alternatively define v_n by

$$v_n = \left[(x - 1) \prod_{j=0}^{2n-3} (2n - 3 - j + jx) \right]_{x^n}. \quad (4-3)$$

We leave it to the reader to use the observation that $P(x) := \prod_{j=1}^{2n-4} (2n - 3 - j + jx)$ is self-reciprocal, i.e., satisfies $P(1/x)x^{2n-4} = P(x)$, to infer (4-3) directly from (1-3).

Many of the congruences can be also proved using Theorem 4.26. As an example, we will show that if p is an odd prime, then $v_{3(p+1)/2} \equiv -81p^4 \pmod{p^5}$. This is the case $r = 1$ of part 2 of Theorem 3.2.

Proof of part 2 of Theorem 3.2 in case $r = 1$.: Set $n = 3(p + 1)/2$. Note that

$$(2n - 3)^2 (2n - 4)! \equiv -18p^4 \pmod{p^5}.$$

It thus remains to be proven that the expression in braces in Theorem 4.26 equals $9/2$ modulo p .

It turns out to be a little easier to work with $w_i = -y_i$. Note that $(-1)^r S_r(w_1, \dots, w_{3p-1}) = S_r(y_1, \dots, y_{3p-1})$. We have $w_i = i/(i - 3p)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3p - 1$. Thus $w_p = -1/2$, $w_{2p} = -2$, and the remaining w_i satisfy $w_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Hence

$$S_r(w_1, \dots, w_{3p-1}) \equiv S_r \left(-\frac{1}{2}, -2, 1, 1, \dots, 1 \right) \pmod{p},$$

where $2 \leq r \leq 3p - 1$.

In the symmetric function $S_r(z_1, \dots, z_{3p-1})$ there are $\binom{3p-3}{r}$ terms containing neither z_1 nor z_2 . There are $\binom{3p-3}{r-1}$ terms containing z_1 but not z_2 . Finally, there are $\binom{3p-3}{r-2}$ terms containing both z_1 and z_2 .

It follows that modulo p ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^r S_r(y_1, \dots, y_{3p-1}) \\ & \equiv S_r \left(-\frac{1}{2}, -2, 1, \dots, 1 \right) \\ & = \binom{3p-3}{r} - (2 + \frac{1}{2}) \binom{3p-3}{r-1} + \binom{3p-3}{r-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Modulo p we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^n \{ S_{n-2}(y_1, \dots, y_{2n-4}) - S_{n-1}(y_1, \dots, y_{2n-4}) \} \\ & \equiv \binom{3p-2}{n-1} - \frac{5}{2} \binom{3p-2}{n-2} + \binom{3p-2}{n-3} \\ & \equiv 2 \binom{p-2}{n-p-1} - 5 \binom{p-2}{n-p-2} + 2 \binom{p-2}{n-p-3} \\ & \equiv \left[2 \binom{p-2}{n-p-1} + 4 \binom{p-2}{n-p-2} + 2 \binom{p-2}{n-p-3} \right] \\ & \quad - 9 \binom{p-2}{n-p-2} \\ & \equiv \left[2 \binom{p}{n-p-1} \right] - 9 \binom{p-2}{n-p-2} \\ & \equiv -9 \binom{p-2}{n-p-2} \equiv (-1)^n 9(n-p-1) \equiv (-1)^n \frac{9}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 4.30. In addition to Zagier’s proof for van der Waerden’s formula (1-4) and the derivation of it from Theorem 4.26, formula (1-4) can also be found using the theory of Chern classes. This was kindly pointed out to the second author by Professor Friedrich Hirzebruch, who also gave a sketch of the proof. An inspiration for the proof was a lecture he had given on 22 September 2005 in Klagenfurt on the Catalan number arising in the context of the Schubert calculus.

5. ASYMPTOTICS

Given a sequence of coefficients, there are many things we would like to know about it. Apart from the search for a generating function and for a recurrence formula, an interesting question is the asymptotic behavior. We remind the reader that candidate Fourier series for modular forms of weight $2k$ for $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ must have coefficients growing like n^{2k-1} (and n^k for cusp forms).

In our case, without prior knowledge of the alternative definition (1-3), we managed to compute only the first 80 values of v_n using the Schubert package for intersection theory [Katz and Stromme 92] and the first 225 values using the rational function (with the dummy variables w_i). Numerically, it is readily seen that the leading term for the v_n is $e^{2n \log n}$. Since this is strongly reminiscent of the behavior of $(2n)! = \exp(2n \log(2n) - 2n + \frac{1}{2} \log 2n + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + O(\frac{1}{n}))$, we study instead the behavior of $\log \frac{v_n}{(2n)!}$ and now find the leading term to be $2n$. Subtracting it, we find the next-to-leading term to be $-4 \log n$, easily verified by applying $n\partial_n$ (i.e., taking subsequent differences and multiplying by n). The next term is a constant, $C = -5.62\dots$, which we find difficult to recognize. We have learned from Don Zagier a clever technique that makes it possible to determine a large number of digits of C ; we present it below under the name “ asympt_k trick.”

5.1 The asympt_k Trick

Assume that we are given numerically a few hundred terms of a sequence $s = \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that we believe has an asymptotic expansion in inverse powers of n , i.e.,

$$s_n \sim c_0 + \frac{c_1}{n} + \frac{c_2}{n^2} + \dots$$

Goal: determine the coefficients c_i numerically.

Trick: Choose some moderate value of k (say $k = 8$) and define a new sequence $s^{(k)}$ as $\frac{1}{k!} \Delta^k N^k s$, where Δ is the difference operator $(\Delta u)_n = u_n - u_{n-1}$ and N the multiplication operator $(Nu)_n = nu_n$, i.e.,

$$s_n^{(k)} = \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(-1)^j}{j!(k-j)!} (n-j)^k s_{n-j}$$

For n large we have (assuming the above asymptotic expansion for s itself)

$$s_n^{(k)} = c_0 + (-1)^k \frac{c_{k+1}}{n^{k+1}} + (-1)^k \frac{\left((k+1)c_{k+2} - \binom{k+1}{2} c_{k+1} \right)}{n^{k+2}} + \dots$$

Thus, while s_n approximates c_0 only to within an accuracy $O(n^{-1})$, $s_n^{(k)}$ approximates it to the much better accuracy $O(n^{-k})$, so we obtain a very good approximation for c_0 . Call this operation asympt_k . The further coefficients c_i are then obtained inductively: if c_0, \dots, c_{i-1} are known to high precision, we get c_i by applying asympt_k to the sequence $n^i (s_n - c_0 - \dots - c_{i-1}/n^{i-1}) = c_i + c_{i+1}/n + \dots$

The crucial point in the success of asympt_k is that the operator Δ^k sends n^k to $k!$ and kills polynomials of degree less than k , so that all the intermediate terms of the expansion of s_n between c_0 and $c_k n^{-k}$ disappear.

Variants of asympt_k allow one to deal, for example, with asymptotic expansions of the form

$$(I) \quad s_n \sim A \log n + c_0 + c_1/n + c_2/n^2 + \dots,$$

$$(II) \quad s_n \sim Bn + A \log n + c_0 + c_1/n + c_2/n^2 + \dots,$$

$$(III) \quad s_n \sim An^\lambda (1 + c_1/n + c_2/n^2 + \dots).$$

In case (I) we can apply asympt_k to the sequence $n(s_{n+1} - s_n)$, which has the form $A + c'_1/n + c'_2/n^2 + \dots$, to obtain A to high precision, after which we apply the original method to $\{s_n - A \log n\}$.

In case (II) we apply asympt_k twice to Δs to get B and A , and then subtract (our approximation for) $B \log n + A$ from s_n and apply the standard version.

For case (III) we can either look at $\{\log s_n\}$ and apply variant (I) or else apply asympt_k to $\{n(s_{n+1}/s_n - 1)\}$ to get λ and then apply the standard method to $\{s_n/n^\lambda\}$.

Remark 5.1. Applying the operation asympt_k with suitably chosen k gives a rapidly convergent sequence $s^{(k)}$. To estimate how many decimals are probably correct, we look at some relatively widely spaced elements of this sequence (e.g., the terms $s_n^{(k)}$ with $n = 300, 400, 500$ if we know 500 terms of the sequence s) and see how many of their digits agree.

Remark 5.2. One also has to experiment to find the optimal choice of k . Typically, one uses $k = 5$ if one knows 200 terms of s , and $k = 8$ if one knows 1000 terms. This suggests that perhaps $k \approx \log N$ is a good choice for a generic sequence with N computed terms.

Remark 5.3. The asympt_k trick was first described in [Zagier 01]. Here Zagier considers the Stoimenov numbers ξ_D , which bound the number $V(D)$ of linearly independent Vassiliev invariants of degree D . Stoimenov himself thought that ξ_D behaves “something like $D!/1.5^D$.” Calculating the values up to $D = 200$ and applying a variation of asympt_k suggested an asymptotic formula of the form

$$\xi_D \sim \frac{D! \sqrt{D}}{(\pi^2/6)^D} \left(C_0 + \frac{C_1}{D} + \frac{C_2}{D^2} + \dots \right),$$

with $C_0 \approx 2.704332490062429595$, $C_1 \approx -1.52707$, and $C_2 \approx -0.269009$.

Subsequently, Zagier was able to prove this with explicitly computable constants C_i . In particular, $C_0 = 12\sqrt{3}\pi^{-5/2}e^{\pi^2/12}$, which agrees to the accuracy given above with the empirically obtained value.

5.2 Application to the Asymptotics of v_n

In our case of the sequence v_n of lines in a hypersurface of \mathbb{P}^n , the coefficient $c_0 =: C$ is difficult to recognize, but all other coefficients, c_1, c_2, \dots , are rational numbers that we easily recognize from a sufficient number of digits.

Once the first few rational coefficients have been found and the corresponding terms subtracted from the sequence s , the constant term C can be obtained with 30 digits, say. This is enough to feed to the PARI software and apply the function `linddep([C, 1, log(Pi), log(2), log(3)])` to find a rational linear combination of C in terms of a given basis (educated guess). The result, equivalent to (1–4), is

$$\log \frac{v_n}{(2n)!} = 2n - 4 \log n + C + \frac{11}{6n} + \frac{141}{160n^2} + \dots, \quad (5-1)$$

where $C := -3 - \log \pi - \frac{3}{2} \log \frac{8}{3}$. In the appendix Don Zagier presents a proof of this asymptotic formula.

6. COMPARISON WITH ANOTHER SEQUENCE FROM ENUMERATIVE GEOMETRY

As a matter of curiosity, we now compare our results so far with another result for a sequence of enumerative geometry.

6.1 Numbers of Plane Rational Curves

One sequence of integers from enumerative geometry is n_d , the number of plane rational curves of degree d through $3d - 1$ points in \mathbb{P}^2 . Kontsevich’s recurrence formula [Kontsevich and Manin 94] reads

$$n_d = \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} n_k n_{d-k} \times \left[k^2(d-k)^2 \binom{3d-4}{3k-2} - k^3(d-k) \binom{3d-4}{3k-1} \right],$$

with $n_1 = 1$. The result is $n_1 = 1, n_2 = 1, n_3 = 12$, etc. That is, there is one line through 2 points of the plane, one conic through 5 points of the plane, twelve cubics through 8 points of the plane, etc.

We can similarly draw tables of $n_d \bmod k$ for any integer k . The results (with the same convention as before) are as follows:

- $k = 2$: Both rows vanish (except for the first two values), i.e., all n_d are even.
- $k = 2^l$: All rows are 0, i.e., $n_d \equiv 0 \pmod{2^l}$ for $n > l + 1$.
- $k = 3$: $n_{3d} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, n_{3d+2} \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, n_{3d+1} \equiv$ alternating 1 or 2 $\pmod{3}$ because $n_{6d+2} \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$.
- $k = 5$: $n_d \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, for $d > 8$. The same for $k = 25$ ($d > 23$).

Because of this high degree of symmetry for low primes, most nonprimes will yield constant or regular rows (i.e., rows repeating when shifted horizontally). The only nonobvious case is $k = 26$, where there is a shift by 8 (because $k = 13$ shifts by 16) and rows 4 and 6 alternate with 0.

Further, we found only three primes with regular features:

- $k = 7$: All rows are regular (repeat when shifted horizontally by 4); rows 5 and 7 are 0.
- $k = 13$: The same, shift by 16, no 0 row.
- $k = 19$: The same, shift by 12, no 0 row.
- $k = 5, 11, 17, 23, 29$: These primes give almost-0 rows (i.e., $n_d \equiv 0$ except for a finite number of d).

We have not attempted to prove these observations.

6.1.1 Asymptotics We now turn to the asymptotics of the sequence n_d for $d \rightarrow \infty$. Di Francesco and Itzykson proved [Di Francesco and Itzykson 94, Proposition 3] that

$$\frac{n_d}{(3d-1)!} = \frac{A^d}{d^{7/2}} \left(B + O\left(\frac{1}{d}\right) \right)$$

as d tends to infinity, and found the approximate values $A \approx 0.138$ and $B \approx 6.1$ for the constants A and B . Assuming a full asymptotic expansion

$$\frac{n_d}{(3d-1)!} \sim \frac{A^d}{d^{7/2}} \left(B_0 + \frac{B_1}{d} + \frac{B_2}{d^2} + \dots \right)$$

and applying variant (II) of the asympt_k trick to $\log(n_d/(3d-1)!)$, we obtain the much more accurate approximations

$$A \approx 0.138009346634518656829562628891755541716014121072,$$

$$B_0 \approx 6.0358078488159024106383768720948935,$$

as well as the further values $B_1 \approx -2.2352424409362074$, $B_2 \approx 0.054313787925$.

Unfortunately, we are not able to recognize any of these apparently irrational numbers, e.g., PARI does not see in $\log A$ and $\log B_0$ a linear combination of simple numbers like 1, $\log 2$, $\log 3$, $\log \pi$, π , and π^2 .

A. APPENDIX: EXACT AND ASYMPTOTIC FORMULAS FOR v_n

(by Don Zagier)

In this appendix we prove the alternative definition (1-3) and the asymptotic formulas (1-4) and (5-1) for the numbers v_n defined in (1-2).

A.1 Exact Formulas

Proposition A.1. *Let $G(x, y)$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $2n$ in two variables and $P(x)$ a monic polynomial of degree $n + 1$ with distinct roots. Then the expression*

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \\ P(\alpha) = P(\beta) = 0}} \frac{G(\alpha, \beta)}{P'(\alpha)P'(\beta)} \tag{A-1}$$

is independent of P and equals the coefficient of $x^n y^n$ in $G(x, y)$.

Proof: By linearity it is enough to consider monomials $G(x, y) = x^r y^s$, $r + s = 2n$. Then the expression (A-1) factors as $(\sum_{P(\alpha)=0} \frac{\alpha^r}{P'(\alpha)}) (\sum_{P(\beta)=0} \frac{\beta^s}{P'(\beta)})$. But by the residue theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{P(\alpha)=0} \frac{\alpha^r}{P'(\alpha)} &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}} \text{Res}_{x=\alpha} \left(\frac{x^r dx}{P(x)} \right) \\ &= -\text{Res}_{x=\infty} \left(\frac{x^r dx}{P(x)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and this equals 0 if $0 \leq r < n$ and 1 if $r = n$, since P is monic of degree $n + 1$. The proposition follows. \square

Remark A.2. The same proof shows that if G is homogeneous of degree $m + n$, and P and Q are two monic polynomials of degrees $m + 1$ and $n + 1$ with distinct roots, then

$$\sum_{P(\alpha)=Q(\beta)=0} \frac{G(\alpha, \beta)}{P'(\alpha)Q'(\beta)}$$

is independent of P and Q and is equal to the coefficient of $x^m y^n$ in $G(x, y)$. Yet more generally, and still with the same proof, if G is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $n_1 + \dots + n_k$ in k variables and P_1, \dots, P_k are

monic polynomials of degrees $n_1 + 1, \dots, n_k + 1$ with no multiple roots, then

$$\sum_{P_1(\alpha_1)=\dots=P_k(\alpha_k)=0} \frac{G(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)}{P_1'(\alpha_1) \dots P_k'(\alpha_k)}$$

is independent of all the P_i and is equal to the coefficient of $x_1^{n_1} \dots x_k^{n_k}$ in $G(x_1, \dots, x_k)$. In fact, G need not even be homogeneous, but can be any polynomial in k variables of degree less than or equal to $n_1 + \dots + n_k$.

Corollary A.3. *Let $F(x, y)$ be a symmetric homogeneous polynomial of degree $2n - 2$ in two variables and w_0, \dots, w_n distinct complex numbers. Then the expression*

$$\sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{F(w_i, w_j)}{\prod_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq n \\ k \neq i, j}} (w_i - w_k)(w_j - w_k)}$$

is independent of w_0, \dots, w_n and equals the coefficient of x^{n-1} in $(1 - x)F(x, 1)$.

Proof: This follows after a short calculation if we apply the proposition to $G(x, y) = (x - y)^2 F(x, y)$, $P(x) = \prod_{i=0}^n (x - w_i)$. \square

Corollary A.3 immediately implies that the right-hand side of equation (1-2) is independent of the (distinct) complex variables w_0, \dots, w_n and that (1-2) is equivalent to (1-3). The computational advantage is huge: formula (1-2) is very slow to compute, even for moderately large n , whereas (1-3) can be implemented in PARI in one line as

$$v(n) = \text{coeff}(\text{prod}(j=0, 2*n-3, 2*n-3-j+j*x, 1-x), n-1)$$

and it takes less than 2 seconds to compute v_n up to $n = 100$, and 46 seconds to compute up to $n = 224$.

We can rewrite (1-3) in several other forms using residue calculus. Setting $D = 2n - 3$ and making the substitution $x = 1 - D/z$, we obtain

$$v_n = \text{Res}_{x=0} \left((1 - x) \prod_{j=0}^{2n-3} (2n - 3 - j + jx) \frac{dx}{x^n} \right) \tag{A-2}$$

$$= D^{2n} \text{Res}_{z=D} \left(\frac{\prod_{j=0}^D (z - j)}{z^{n+1}(z - D)^n} dz \right). \tag{A-3}$$

Since the residue of the integrand at infinity is zero, we can also write this as

$$v_n = -D^{2n} \text{Res}_{z=0} \left(\frac{\prod_{j=0}^D (z - j)}{z^{n+1}(z - D)^n} dz \right), \tag{A-4}$$

while simply making the substitution $z \mapsto D - z$ in (A-3) gives the similar expression

$$v_n = D^{2n} \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \left(\frac{\prod_{j=0}^D (z - j)}{z^n (z - D)^{n+1}} dz \right), \quad (\text{A-5})$$

and adding these two last expressions gives yet a third form:

$$v_n = \frac{1}{2} D^{2n+1} \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \left(\frac{\prod_{j=0}^D (z - j)}{z^{n+1} (z - D)^{n+1}} dz \right). \quad (\text{A-6})$$

Each of the formulas (A-4)–(A-6) expresses v_n as the constant term at $z = 0$ of the Laurent expansion of a rational function; for instance, (A-4) says that

$$v_n = (-1)^n D^{2n} \cdot \text{coefficient of } z^{n-1} \text{ in } \frac{(1-z)(2-z) \cdots (D-1-z)}{(D-z)^{n-1}} \text{ as } z \rightarrow 0. \quad (\text{A-7})$$

Substituting $z = Du$, we can write this as

$$v_n = (-1)^n D^2 \cdot \text{coefficient of } u^{n-1} \text{ in } \frac{(1-Du)(2-Du) \cdots (D-1-Du)}{(1-u)^{n-1}} \text{ as } u \rightarrow 0, \quad (\text{A-8})$$

from which we see again that $D^2 \mid v_n$ (Lemma 4.1). By expanding $(D - z)^{1-n}$ by the binomial theorem, we also obtain closed formulas for v_n ; for instance, (A-7) gives

$$v_n = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1-m} \binom{2n-2-m}{n-1} D^{m+1} \left[\begin{matrix} D \\ m \end{matrix} \right], \quad (\text{A-9})$$

where $\left[\begin{matrix} D \\ m \end{matrix} \right]$, the coefficient of z^m in $z(z+1) \cdots (z+D-1)$, is a Stirling number of the first kind.

A.2 Asymptotics

To obtain the asymptotic expansion of v_n , we write the residue in (A-2) as $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|x|=1}$ and we make the substitution $x = (1 + it)/(1 - it)$ to obtain, after a short calculation,

$$v_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{r=1,3,\dots,D} \left(\frac{D^2 + r^2 t^2}{1 + t^2} \right) \frac{t^2 dt}{(1 + t^2)^2} \quad (\text{A-10})$$

$$= \frac{2}{\pi} D^{D+1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_D(t) \frac{t^2 dt}{(1 + t^2)^2},$$

where $\phi_D(t)$ denotes the rational function

$$\phi_D(t) = \prod_{r=1,3,\dots,D} \frac{1 + r^2 D^{-2} t^2}{1 + t^2}.$$

It is easy to see that $\phi_D(0) = 1$ and $\phi_D(t) \leq e^{-cDt^2}$ for some absolute constant $c > 0$ (a much more precise formula will be given in a moment), so the main contribution to the integral comes from small t . For t small and D large we have (uniformly in both variables)

$$\begin{aligned} \log \phi_D(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{j} \left[\sum_{r=1,3,\dots,D} \left(\frac{r^{2j}}{D^{2j}} - 1 \right) \right] t^{2j} \\ &= \left(-\frac{D}{3} + \frac{1}{3D} \right) t^2 + \left(\frac{D}{5} - \frac{1}{3D} + \frac{2}{15D^3} \right) t^4 \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{D}{7} + \frac{1}{3D} - \frac{4}{9D^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{D^5}\right) \right) t^6 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{D}{9} - \frac{1}{3D} + \frac{14}{15D^3} + O\left(\frac{1}{D^5}\right) \right) t^8 \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{D}{11} + \frac{1}{3D} + O\left(\frac{1}{D^3}\right) \right) t^{10} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{D}{13} - \frac{1}{3D} + O\left(\frac{1}{D^3}\right) \right) t^{12} \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{D}{15} + O\left(\frac{1}{D}\right) \right) t^{14} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{D}{17} + O\left(\frac{1}{D}\right) \right) t^{16} + O(Dt^{18}), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{x^2}{(1 + x^2/D)^2} \phi_D\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{D}}\right) \\ &= e^{-x^2/3} \left[x^2 + \left(\frac{x^6}{5} - 2x^4 \right) D^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{x^{10}}{50} - \frac{19x^8}{35} + 3x^6 + \frac{x^4}{3} \right) D^{-2} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{x^{14}}{750} - \frac{12x^{12}}{175} + \frac{314x^{10}}{315} - \frac{59x^8}{15} - x^6 \right) D^{-3} \\ &\quad + \cdots + \left(\frac{x^{30}}{393750000} - \frac{11x^{28}}{19687500} + \cdots \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{355x^{10}}{162} + \frac{2x^8}{45} \right) D^{-7} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + O(D^{-8}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this expansion (with the 34 omitted terms included) into equation (A-10) with t replaced by x/\sqrt{D} and using the standard evaluation

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2/3} x^{2n} dx = \frac{(2n)!}{n!} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n \sqrt{3\pi},$$

we obtain

$$v_n = \sqrt{\frac{27}{\pi}} D^{D-1/2} \left(1 - \frac{9}{4} D^{-1} + \frac{969}{160} D^{-2} - \frac{61479}{3200} D^{-3} + \frac{25225773}{358400} D^{-4} - \frac{10092025737}{35840000} D^{-5} + \frac{2271842858513}{2007040000} D^{-6} - \frac{4442983688169}{1146880000} D^{-7} + O(D^{-8}) \right).$$

This asymptotic formula can of course be written in many other ways, e.g.,

$$v_n = \sqrt{\frac{27}{\pi}} (2n-3)^{2n-7/2} \times \left(1 - \frac{9}{8n} - \frac{111}{640n^2} - \frac{9999}{25600n^3} + \frac{87261}{5734400n^4} - \dots \right)$$

or

$$v_n = e^{-3} \sqrt{\frac{27}{\pi}} (2n)^{2n-7/2} \times \left(1 + \frac{15}{8n} + \frac{1689}{640n^2} + \frac{79281}{25600n^3} + \frac{19691853}{5734400n^4} + \dots \right)$$

or

$$\log \frac{v_n}{(2n)!} = 2n - 4 \log n + C + \frac{11}{6n} + \frac{141}{160n^2} + \frac{9973}{28800n^3} + \frac{59673}{179200n^4} + \dots$$

with $C = -3 - \log \pi - \frac{3}{2} \log \frac{8}{3}$. Of course, more terms could be obtained in any of these expansions if desired.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author, who initiated this paper, is deeply grateful to Don Zagier for his help throughout all the stages of this project. Not only did Don simplify matters considerably with his formula (1–3), he also provided the asympt_k trick. The first author is also thankful for fruitful discussions with Robert Osburn.

The second author would like to thank Daniel Berend, David Cox, Paolo Dominici, and Yossi Moshe for fruitful e-mail correspondence and Carl Pomerance and Paolo Dominici for providing us with Lemma 4.16. He would like especially to thank Don Zagier for his help in improving the exposition of the paper and Professor F. Hirzebruch for several hours of discussion concerning formula (1–4) and Chern classes.

We thank Dmitry Kerner, Masha Vlasenko, and Wadim Zudilin for several helpful discussions. Several inaccuracies in an earlier version were detected by Alexander Blessing during a two-week practicum he held with the second author.

This project was made possible thanks to the support of the MPIM in Bonn.

REFERENCES

- [Bauer 88] F. L. Bauer. “For All Primes Greater Than 3, $\binom{2p-1}{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^3}$ Holds.” *Math. Intelligencer* 10:3 (1988), 42.
- [Berend and Harmse 98] D. Berend and J. E. Harmse. “On Some Arithmetical Properties of Middle Binomial Coefficients.” *Acta Arith.* 84 (1998), 31–41.
- [Beukers 87] F. Beukers. “Irrationality Proofs Using Modular Forms.” *Astérisque* 147–148 (1987), 271–283.
- [Cai 02] T. Cai. “A Congruence Involving the Quotients of Euler and Its Applications, I.” *Acta Arith.* 103 (2002), 313–320.
- [Di Francesco and Itzykson 94] P. Di Francesco and C. Itzykson. “Quantum Intersection Rings.” In *The Moduli Space of Curves (Texel Island, 1994)*, pp. 81–148, Progr. Math. 129. Boston: Birkhäuser, 1995.
- [Dominici 98] P. Dominici. “Sequence A027363.” *On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*. Available online (<http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/>), 1998.
- [Fulton 84] W. Fulton. *Intersection Theory*. New York: Springer, 1984.
- [Granville 97] A. Granville. “Arithmetic Properties of Binomial Coefficients, I.” In *Binomial Coefficients Modulo Prime Powers, Organic mathematics (Burnaby, BC, 1995)*, pp. 253–276, CMS Conf. Proc. 20. Providence: Amer. Math. Soc., 1997.
- [Grünberg and Moree 08] D. Grünberg and P. Moree (with appendix by D. Zagier). Version of the present paper with all proofs given. arXiv:math.NT/0610286, 2008.
- [Hardy and Wright 79] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright. *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, fifth edition. New York: Oxford University Press, 1979.
- [Katz and Stromme 92] S. Katz and S. A. Stromme. “Schubert: A Maple Package for Intersection Theory and Enumerative Geometry.” Available online (<http://www.mi.uib.no/stromme/schubert/>), 1992.
- [Kontsevich and Manin 94] M. Kontsevich and Y. Manin. “Gromov–Witten Classes, Quantum Cohomology, and Enumerative Geometry.” *Comm. Math. Phys.* 164 (1994), 525–562.
- [Lian and Yau 96] B. H. Lian and S.-T. Yau. “Arithmetic Properties of Mirror Map and Quantum Coupling.” *Comm. Math. Phys.* 176 (1996), 163–191.
- [Manivel 01] L. Manivel. *Symmetric Functions, Schubert Polynomials and Degeneracy Loci*, SMF/AMS Texts and Monographs 6. Providence: American Mathematical Society, 2001.
- [Moshe 03] Y. Moshe. “The Density of 0’s in Recurrence Double Sequences.” *J. Number Theory* 103 (2003), 109–121.
- [Moshe 05] Y. Moshe. “The Distribution of Elements in Automatic Double Sequences.” *Discrete Math.* 297 (2005), 91–103.

[Stienstra and Beukers 85] J. Stienstra and F. Beukers. “On the Picard–Fuchs Equation and the Formal Brauer Group of Certain Elliptic $K3$ -Surfaces.” *Math. Ann.* 271 (1985), 269–304.

[van der Waerden 33] B. L. van der Waerden. “Zur algebraischen Geometrie, II: Die geraden Linien auf den Hyperflächen des \mathbb{P}_n .” *Math. Ann.* 108 (1933), 253–259.

[van der Waerden 83] B. L. van der Waerden. “Zur algebraischen Geometrie.” In *Selected papers*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1983.

[Zagier 01] D. Zagier. “Vassiliev Invariants and a Strange Identity Related to the Dedekind Eta-Function.” *Topology* 40 (2001), 945–960.

D. Grünberg, 49 rue Fondary, 75015 Paris, France (daniel.b.grunberg@gmail.com)

P. Moree, Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Vivatsgasse 7, D-53111 Bonn, Germany (moree@mpim-bonn.mpg.de)

D. Zagier, Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Vivatsgasse 7, D-53111 Bonn, Germany (dbz@mpim-bonn.mpg.de)

Received October 9, 2006; accepted in revised form March 18, 2008.