# LECTURES ON THE BLASCHKE CONJECTURE 

NOTES BY WERNER BALLMANN

## 1. Introductory remarks

These are lecture notes of a course on differential geometry, taught jointly with Karsten Grove in the summer of 2014. The main topic is the generalized Blaschke conjecture, the main source for the lecture is [4].

The notes do not cover everything that occured in class; in particular, the part on the volumes of Blaschke manifolds is missing. In comparison to [4], our notation and definitions differ slightly. We also added details where we deemed it appropriate and changed the exposition and formulations in some places. Comments on the notes are welcome.

I would like to thank Karsten Grove for joining me in the endeavour to understand where we are in the Blaschke conjecture and for joining me in teaching the students a part of differential geometry which uses a number of important tools from different mathematical fields and offers a bounty of appealing results on the way.
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## 2. On the geometry of tangent and cotangent bundles

2.1. Connections and the splitting of $T T M$. Let $M$ be a manifold with a connection $\nabla$. Let $v \in T M$ and $Z \in T_{v} T M$ be a tangent vector of $T M$ with foot point $v$. Represent $Z=\dot{V}(0)$, where $V=V(s)$ is a curve in $T M$ through $v=V(0)$. Then $V$ is a vector field along its curve $c=\pi \circ V$ of foot points. Define the connection map

$$
\begin{equation*}
C: T T M \rightarrow T M \quad \text { by } \quad C(Z):=V^{\prime}(0) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V^{\prime}$ denotes the covariant derivative of $V$ along $c$. In Proposition 2.2 below we will see that $C$ is well defined and linear on the fibres $T_{v} T M$.

With respect to local coordinates $x$ on $M$, we have associated local coordinates $(x, y)$ of $T M$ for tangent vectors $y^{i} \partial / \partial x^{i}(p)$, where $x=x(p)$. In terms of these, $\pi$ is the projection onto the $x$-component. Moreover, tangent vectors of $T M$ correspond to quadruples $(x, y, \xi, \eta)$, where the first two components represent the foot point and the last two the principal part of the tangent vector.

Proposition 2.2. With respect to coordinates $(x, y)$ for $T M$ as above,

$$
\pi_{*}(x, y, \xi, \eta)=(x, \xi) \quad \text { and } \quad C(x, y, \xi, \eta)=\left(x, \eta^{i}+\xi^{j} y^{k} \Gamma_{j k}^{i}(x)\right)
$$

Proof. With respect to coordinates of $T M$ as above, a curve $V$ in $T M$ is given by a curve $(x, y)=(x(s), y(s))$. The first component $x=x(s)$ corresponds to the curve of foot points of $V$, the covariant derivative $V^{\prime}$ of $V$ along the curve of foot points is given by

$$
V^{\prime}=\left(\dot{y}^{i}+\dot{x}^{j} y^{k} \Gamma_{j k}^{i}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}},
$$

and $\dot{V}$ corresponds to $(x, y, \dot{x}, \dot{y})$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{*} \circ \dot{V}=(x, \dot{x}) \quad \text { and } \quad C \circ \dot{V}=V^{\prime}=\left(x, \dot{y}^{i}+\dot{x}^{j} y^{k} \Gamma_{j k}^{i}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2.4. 1) $\mathcal{H}:=\operatorname{ker} C$ and $\mathcal{V}:=\operatorname{ker} \pi_{*}$ are subbundles of $T T M$, called horizontal and vertical distribution, respectively, and $T T M=\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$. 2) For each $v \in T M, \pi_{*}: \mathcal{H}_{v} \rightarrow T_{p} M$ and $C: \mathcal{V}_{v} \rightarrow T_{p} M$ are isomorphisms, where $p$ is the foot point of $v, p=\pi(v)$.

Henceforth, we will write tangent vectors of $T M$ as pairs, $Z=(X, Y)$, where $Z^{\mathcal{H}}=X=\pi_{*}(Z)$ is the horizontal and $Z^{\mathcal{V}}=Y=C(Z)$ the vertical component of $Z$. Horizontal components do not depend on the connection, but vertical ones do. On the other hand, the vertical distribution does not depend on the connection, $\mathcal{V}=\operatorname{ker} \pi_{*}$, but the horizontal distribution does.

Corollary 2.5. For $v \in T M$ with $p=\pi(v)$, we have:

1) A parallel vector field $V=V(s)$ along a curve $c=c(s)$ through $p$ with $\dot{c}(0)=X$ and $V(0)=v$ satisfies $V(0)=(X, 0)$
2) The curve $V=V(s)=v+s Y$ in $T_{p} M$ satisfies $\dot{V}(0)=(0, Y)$.

Corollary 2.6. For any piecewise smooth curve $c:[a, b] \rightarrow M$, its horizontal lifts to $T M$ induce parallel translation $h_{c}: T_{c(a)} M \rightarrow T_{c(b)} M$ along $c$.

For each $v \in T M$, denote by $\gamma_{v}: I_{v} \rightarrow M$ the maximal geodesic with initial velocity $v$, that is, $0 \in I_{v}, \dot{\gamma}_{v}(0)=v$, and $I_{v}$ is the maximal domain
of definition of a geodesic with initial velocity $v$. We say that $\nabla$ is complete if $I_{v}=\mathbb{R}$ for all $v \in T M$.

The domain of definition $\mathcal{G}$ of the geodesic flow $g=\left(g_{t}\right)$ of $\nabla$ is the open set of pairs $(t, v) \in \mathbb{R} \times T M$, where $t \in I_{v}$, and $g$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow T M, \quad g(t, v)=g_{t}(v)=\dot{\gamma}_{v}(t) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any geodesic $\gamma$ of $\nabla, \dot{\gamma}$ is parallel along $\gamma$. Hence the vector field on $T M$ associated to the geodesic flow is $X=X(v)=(v, 0)$.

Let $V=V(s)$ be a curve in $T M$ through $v$ and consider the associated geodesic variation $\gamma_{s}=\gamma_{s}(t)=\gamma_{V(s)}(t)$ of $\gamma=\gamma_{v}$ with Jacobi field $\partial \gamma_{s} / \partial s$. Note that the Jacobi equation contains a torsion term since we do not assume that $\nabla$ is torsion free. For $\dot{V}(0)=(X, Y) \in T_{v} T M$ and $t \in I_{v}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{t *}(X, Y)=\left(J(t),\left(\nabla_{s} \dot{\gamma}_{s}\right)(0, t)\right)=\left(J, J^{\prime}+T(J, \dot{\gamma})\right)(t) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J=J(t)=\left(\partial \gamma_{s} / \partial s\right)(0, t)$ and dot and prime indicate the derivative and covariant derivative in the $t$-direction, respectively. In the torsion free case, the main case further on, the $T$-term on the right hand vanishes.
2.2. The cotangent bundle as a symplectic manifold. We consider now the cotangent bundle $\bar{\pi}: T^{*} M \rightarrow M$. The canonical or tautological one-form $\lambda$ on $T^{*} M$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\alpha}(v)=\alpha\left(\bar{\pi}_{*} v\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

With respect to local coordinates $x$ of $M$, we have associated local coordinates $(x, a)=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{m}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ of $T^{*} M$ for one-forms $a_{i} d x^{i}(p)$, where $x=x(p)$. In terms of these, $\bar{\pi}$ is the projection onto the $x$-component. Moreover, tangent vectors of $T^{*} M$ correspond to quadruples $(x, a, \xi, \alpha)$, where the first two compnents represent the foot point and the last two the principal part of the tangent vector. We get

$$
\lambda_{(x, a)}(x, a, \xi, \alpha)=\left(a_{i} d x^{i}\right)\left(\xi^{j} \partial / \partial x^{j}\right)=a_{i} \xi^{i}
$$

We conclude that $\lambda_{(x, a)}=a_{i} d x^{i}$, but now considered as a one-form on $T^{*} M$.
The differential $\omega:=-d \lambda$ turns $T^{*} M$ into an exact symplectic manifold; that is, the two-form $\omega$ is exact and non-degenerate. The latter is obvious from the expression for $\omega$ with respect to local coordinates $(x, a)$ of $T^{*} M$ as above, $\omega=d x^{i} \wedge d a_{i}$.

If $f: M \rightarrow N$ is a local diffeomorphism, then $f^{*}: T^{*} N \rightarrow T^{*} M$ is a local diffeomorphism such that $f^{* *} \lambda_{M}=\lambda_{N}$ and $f^{* *} \omega_{M}=\omega_{N}$. In particular, $f^{*}$ is a symplectic diffeomorphism of $T^{*} M$, for any diffeomorphism $f$ of $M$.

We recall the notion of Hamiltonian system from symplectic geometry: Let $N$ be a symplectic manifold with symplectic form $\omega$. For a function $h \in \mathcal{F}(N)$ and a vector field $X$ on $N, h$ is called a Hamiltonian potential of $X$ and $X$ the Hamiltonian vector field associated to $h, X=X_{h}$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
d h=i_{X_{h}} \omega \quad \text { that is, } \quad d h(Y)=\left(i_{X_{h}} \omega\right)(Y)=\omega\left(X_{h}, Y\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all vector fields $Y$ on $N$. The dynamical system associated to the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{h}$ is called the Hamiltonian system associated to $h$ and $h$ the Hamiltonian of the system. Hamiltonian systems are symplectic; that is, they preserve the symplectic form.

By the non-degeneracy of $\omega$, a function $h \in \mathcal{F}(N)$ determines a unique Hamiltonian vector field $X_{h}$. On the other hand, not any vector field $X$ on $N$ has a Hamiltonian potential. Furthermore, Hamiltonian potentials are only unique up to locally constant functions.
2.3. The Legendre transform. Suppose now that $M$ is endowed with a semi-Riemannian metric, as usual denoted by $\langle.,$.$\rangle . Since \langle.,$.$\rangle is non-$ degenerate at each point of $M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}: T M \rightarrow T^{*} M, \quad \mathcal{L}(v)(w):=\langle v, w\rangle \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an isomorphism, called the Legendre transform. For any isometry $f$ of $M$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(f_{*} v\right)(w)=\left\langle f_{*} v, w\right\rangle=\left\langle v, f_{*}^{-1} w\right\rangle=\left(\mathcal{L}(v) \circ f_{*}^{-1}\right)(w) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence the action of $f_{*}$ on $T M$ corresponds, under the Legendre transform, to the action of $\left(f^{-1}\right)^{*}=\left(f^{*}\right)^{-1}$ on $T^{*} M$. The one-form $\lambda$ on $T^{*} M$ corresponds to the one-form on $T M$, also called $\lambda$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{v}(Z)=\left\langle v, \pi_{*} Z\right\rangle, \quad Z \in T_{v} T M \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume from now on that $M$ is also endowed with a metric connection $\nabla$. To compute $d \lambda$, we consider a map $V=V(s, t)$ to $T M$ with $V(0,0)=v$, $(\partial V / \partial s)(0,0)=Z_{1}$, and $(\partial V / \partial t)(0,0)=Z_{2}$. At $s=t=0$ (suppressed in the computation), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
d \lambda\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \lambda\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \lambda\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial s}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left\langle V, \frac{\partial c}{\partial t}\right\rangle-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left\langle V, \frac{\partial c}{\partial s}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\frac{\nabla V}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial c}{\partial t}\right\rangle+\left\langle V, \frac{\nabla}{\partial s} \frac{\partial c}{\partial t}\right\rangle-\left\langle\frac{\nabla V}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial c}{\partial s}\right\rangle-\left\langle V, \frac{\nabla}{\partial t} \frac{\partial c}{\partial s}\right\rangle  \tag{2.14}\\
& =\left\langle\frac{\nabla V}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial c}{\partial t}\right\rangle-\left\langle\frac{\partial c}{\partial s}, \frac{\nabla V}{\partial t}\right\rangle+\left\langle V, T\left(\frac{\partial c}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial c}{\partial t}\right)\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

where $T$ denotes the torsion tensor of $\nabla$. We conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)=-d \lambda\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)=\left\langle X_{1}, Y_{2}\right\rangle-\left\langle Y_{1}, X_{2}\right\rangle-\left\langle v, T\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)\right\rangle \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ denote the horizontal and $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ the vertical component of $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$, respectively. This formula is well known in the case of the Levi-Civita connection, where the $T$-term on the right vanishes since the Levi-Civita connection is torsion free.
2.4. The Sasaki metrics on $T M$ and $S M$. Let $\langle.,$.$\rangle be a semi-Riemannian$ metric and $\nabla$ be a metric connection on $M$. Endow $T M$ with the semiRiemannian metric such that $\mathcal{H} \perp \mathcal{V}$ and such that $\pi_{*}: \mathcal{H}_{v} \rightarrow T_{p} M$ and $C: \mathcal{V}_{v} \rightarrow T_{p} M$ are orthogonal transformations, for all $p \in M$ and $v \in T_{p} M$.

Proposition 2.16. With respect to the above metric, we have:

1) $\pi: T M \rightarrow M$ is a Riemannian submersion.
2) For any piecewise smooth curve $c:[a, b] \rightarrow M$, its horizontal lifts induce an orthogonal transformation $h_{c}: T_{c(a)} M \rightarrow T_{c(b)} M$.
3) The fibers $T_{p} M, p \in M$, of $\pi$ are totally geodesic with respect to the Levi-Civita connection on TM.

Proof. Assertion 1) is immediate from the definition of the metric and Assertion 2) since $\nabla$ is metric and $h_{c}$ is parallel translation along $c$, see Corollary 2.6. Assertion 3) follows since the fibers of a Riemannian submersion are totally geodesic with respect to the Levi-Civita connection if and only if the maps $h_{c}$ are isometric (where defined).
Exercise 2.17. Prove the above assertion about Riemannian submersions: The second fundamental forms of the fibers $E_{p}:=\pi^{-1}(p)$ of a Riemannian submersion $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ vanish if and only if the maps $h_{c}: \mathcal{D}_{c} \rightarrow E_{c(b)}$ are isometric, for all piecewise smooth curves $c:[a, b] \rightarrow M$, where $\mathcal{D}_{c} \subseteq E_{c(a)}$ denotes the domain of definition of $h_{c}$.

Before we proceed with the discussion of the tangent bundle, we introduce some notation concerning integration: For a semi-Riemannian manifold $N$, we denote the volume element of $N$ by $\operatorname{vol}_{N}$ or simply by vol. Depending on readability, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A} f(p) d \mathrm{vol}_{N}(p) \text { or } \int_{A} f(p) d p \text { or } \int_{A} f \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively, for the integral of a function $f$ over a measurable subset $A$ of $N$ against the volume element of $N$.

Exercise 2.19 (Fubini). Let $\pi: P \rightarrow N$ be a Riemannian submersion of semi-Riemannian manifolds and $f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an integrable function. Then the restriction of $f$ to almost any fiber $P_{p}, p \in N$, of $\pi$ is integrable and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{P} f(p) d \operatorname{vol}_{P}(p)=\int_{N} \int_{P_{p}} f(q) d \operatorname{vol}_{P_{p}}(q) d \operatorname{vol}_{N}(q) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose now that $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection associated to the given semi-Riemannian metric on $M$. Then the metric on $T M$ introduced further up is called the Sasaki metric.
Proposition 2.21. With respect to the Sasaki metric, $\left|\omega^{m}\right|=m$ ! vol and the geodesic flow $\left(g_{t}\right)$ is Hamiltonian with Hamiltonian function $h(v)=\|v\|^{2} / 2$.
Proof. Let $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right)$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_{p} M$. Let $v \in T_{p} M$ and set $Z_{i}=\left(e_{i}, 0\right)$ and $Z_{m+i}=\left(0, e_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq m$. Then $\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{2 m}\right)$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_{v} T M$, and we have

$$
\omega\left(Z_{j}, Z_{k}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } k \neq j \pm m  \tag{2.22}\\ \left\langle e_{j}, e_{j}\right\rangle & \text { if } k=j+m\end{cases}
$$

This shows the first claim. As for the second, note first that grad $h$ is vertical. It follows that $\operatorname{grad} h(v)=(0, v)$ and, hence, that $X_{h}(v)=(v, 0)$, the vector field of the geodesic flow.

Exercise 2.23. It follows from Proposition 2.21 that the geodesic flow is symplectic. Prove this explicitly, using (2.15). Show also that the geodesic flow does not preserve $\lambda$.

Suppose from now on that $\langle.,$.$\rangle is Riemannian, and denote by S M$ the unit tangent bundle of $M, S M=\{v \in T M \mid\|v\|=1\}$. The unit tangent bundle is a submanifold of $T M$ of codimension 1 , and $S M$ is invariant under the geodesic flow. Throughout, the restrictions of $\lambda$ and $\omega$ to $S M$ will also
be denoted by $\lambda$ and $\omega$, respectively.

Exercise 2.24. 1) For $p \in M$ and $v \in S M$,

$$
T_{v} S M=\left\{(X, Y) \in T_{p} M \oplus T_{p} M \mid Y \perp v\right\} .
$$

2) On $S M$, we have $\left|\lambda \wedge \omega^{m-1}\right|=(m-1)!$ vol.
3) The geodesic flow on $S M$ preserves $\lambda$ and $\omega$.

Assume now that $M$ is complete so that $g_{t}$ has domain $S M$.
Lemma 2.25. For any integrable function $f$ on $S M$, we have

$$
\int_{S M} f\left(g_{t} v\right) d v=\int_{S M} f(v) d v
$$

Proof. By Exercise 2.24, the Jacobian of $g_{t}$ is identically equal to 1 . Hence the asserted equality follows from Exercise 2.34.

Remark 2.26. A corresponding formula holds for $T M$ in place of $S M$.
As an exercise, we recall a useful formula concerning the trace of selfadjoint endomorphisms on Euclidean vector spces.
Exercise 2.27. If $V$ is a Euclidean vector space and $A: V \rightarrow V$ is a selfadjoint endomorphism, then

$$
\frac{1}{k} \operatorname{tr} A=\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}\left(S^{k-1}\right)} \int_{S}\langle A v, v\rangle d v
$$

where $k=\operatorname{dim} V$ and $S \subseteq V$ denotes the unit sphere of $V$.
As a first application of our insights into the geometry of the unit tangent bundle, we prove Theorem 5.1 from L. Green's article [10]:

Theorem 2.28. Let $M$ be a closed Riemannian manifold and assume that the first conjugate point along any unit speed geodesic does not occur earlier than $a>0$. Then

$$
\frac{a^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \int_{M} \operatorname{scal}(p) d p \leq m(m-1) \operatorname{vol}(M)
$$

with equality if and only if $M$ has constant sectional curvature $\pi^{2} / a^{2}$.
Proof. Consider a unit speed geodesic $\gamma:[0, a] \rightarrow M$ and let $E=E(t)$ be a parallel unit field along $\gamma$ and perpendicular to $\gamma$. Since there is no conjugate point of $c(0)$ along $\gamma$, the second variation of energy applied to the vector field $V=V(t)=\sin (\pi t / a) E(t)$ is non-negative, that is

$$
\int_{0}^{a} \sin ^{2}(\pi t / a)\langle R(E(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)) \dot{\gamma}(t), E(t)\rangle d t \leq \frac{\pi^{2}}{2 a}
$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if $V$ is a Jacobi field, that is, if and only if the sectional curvature $K\left(E(t) \wedge \dot{\gamma}(t) \equiv \pi^{2} / a^{2}\right.$. Integrating this inequality over all parallel orthonormal frame $E$ along $\gamma$ and perpendicular to $\gamma$ as above and using Exercise 2.27, we get

$$
\int_{0}^{a} \sin ^{2}(\pi t / a) \operatorname{Ric}(\dot{\gamma}(t)) d t \leq(m-1) \frac{\pi^{2}}{2 a},
$$

where equality holds if and only if the sectional curvature of any tangential plane containing any $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ is equal to $\pi^{2} / a^{2}$. Now we integrate both sides over the unit tangent bundle. The term on the right integrates to

$$
\operatorname{vol}(M) \operatorname{vol}\left(S^{m-1}\right)(m-1) \pi^{2} / 2 a
$$

As for the term on the left, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{S M} \int_{0}^{a} \sin ^{2}(\pi t / a) \operatorname{Ric}\left(\dot{\gamma}_{v}(t)\right) d t d v & =\int_{0}^{a} \sin ^{2}(\pi t / a) \int_{S M} \operatorname{Ric}\left(g_{t} v\right) d v d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{a} \sin ^{2}(\pi t / a) \int_{S M} \operatorname{Ric}(v) d v d t \\
& =\frac{a}{2} \int_{M} \int_{S_{p} M} \operatorname{Ric}(v) d v d p \\
& =\frac{a}{2 m} \operatorname{vol}\left(S^{m-1}\right) \int_{M} \operatorname{scal}(p) d p
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use the definition of the geodesic flow $g_{t}$, Lemma 2.25, Exercise 2.19, and Exercise 2.27, respectively.

Corollary 2.29. If $M$ is a closed Riemannian manifold without conjugate points, then $\int_{M} \operatorname{scal}(p) d p \leq 0$.
Remark 2.30 (Recommended reading). Two-dimensional tori without conjugate points are flat, by E. Hopf [13]. The arguments in [13] are short and brilliant and also use integration on the unit tangent bundle.

Corollary 2.31. Let $M$ be a closed Riemannian surface and assume that the first conjugate point along any unit speed geodesic in $M$ does not occur earlier than $a>0$. Then the area of $M$ is at least $2 a^{2} \chi(M) / \pi$, and equality holds if and only if the curvature of $M$ is constant $\pi^{2} / a^{2}$.

Reminder 2.32. An oriented Riemannian surface is a Riemann surface in a natural way. The converse is the content of the uniformization theorem.

We end this section with a useful formula concerning integration on $S M$. For any map $g: P \rightarrow N$ between semi-Riemannian manifolds of the same dimension, there is a function $\left|g_{*}\right|: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$, called the Jacobian of $g$, such that $g^{*} \operatorname{vol}_{N}=\left|g_{*}\right| \operatorname{vol}_{P}$. We also write $\left|g_{* p}\right|$ instead of $\left|g_{*}\right|(p) \mid$.

Exercise 2.33. For $g: P \rightarrow N$ as above, $p \in P$, and a basis $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ of $T_{p} P$, we have $\left|g_{* p}\right|=\left|g_{* p} b_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{* p} b_{n}\right| /\left|b_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{n}\right|$, where the vertical bars indicate the volumes of the parallelepipeds spanned by the corresponding tuples of vectors; e.g., $\left|b_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge b_{n}\right|=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\left(\left\langle b_{i}, b_{j}\right\rangle\right)\right)\right|^{1 / 2}$.

Exercise 2.34 (Transformation rule). If $g: P \rightarrow N$ is a diffeomorphism, then

$$
\int_{g(A)} f(q) d q=\int_{A}(f \circ g)(p)\left|g_{* p}\right| d p
$$

for any measurable subset $A \subseteq P$ and integrable function $f$ on $g(A)$.
Let $\Sigma$ be a non-degenerate hypersurface in a semi-Riemannian manifold $N$ and $X$ be a vector field on $N$ with flow $\Phi=\left(\Phi_{t}\right)$. Recall that $\operatorname{div} X$, the divergence of $X$, vanishes if and only if $\left(\Phi_{t}\right)$ preserves volume.

Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ be the open subset of $(t, x)$ which are in the domain of $\Phi$ and consider the map

$$
F: U \rightarrow N, \quad F(t, x)=\Phi_{t}(x)
$$

Endow $\Sigma$ with the induced semi-Riemannian metric and $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ with the product metric, where we view $\mathbb{R}$ as the standard Euclidean line.

Lemma 2.35. If div $X$ vanishes, then $\left|F_{*}\right|$ does not depend on $t$,

$$
\left|F_{*}\right|(t, x)=\left|\left\langle X^{\perp}(x), X^{\perp}(x)\right\rangle\right|^{1 / 2}
$$

where $X^{\perp}$ denotes the component of $X$ perpendicular to $\Sigma$.
Proof. Let $(t, x)$ in $U$. Then there is an open neighborhood $U^{\prime}$ of $(t, x)$ in $U$ and an $\epsilon>0$ such that $\left(s+t^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \in U$ for all $\left(t^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \in U^{\prime}$ and $s \in(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. For $\left(t^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \in U^{\prime}$, set $\Psi_{s}\left(t^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)=\left(s+t^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \in U$. Then $\Psi_{s}^{*} \operatorname{vol}_{\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma}=\operatorname{vol}_{\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma}$ and $F \circ \Psi_{s}=\Phi_{s} \circ F$. Furthermore, since $\operatorname{div} X=0$, we have $\Phi_{s}^{*} \operatorname{vol}_{N}=\operatorname{vol}_{N}$. We conclude that, on $U^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|F_{*}\right| \operatorname{vol}_{\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma} & =F^{*} \operatorname{vol}_{N}=F^{*} \Phi_{s}^{*} \operatorname{vol}_{N}=\Psi_{s}^{*} F^{*} \operatorname{vol}_{N} \\
& =\left(\left|F_{*}\right| \circ \Psi_{s}\right) \Psi_{s}^{*} \operatorname{vol}_{\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma}=\left(\left|F_{*}\right| \circ \Psi_{s}\right) \operatorname{vol}_{\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma}
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore $\left|F_{*}\right|(t+s, x)=\left|F_{*}\right|(t, x)$. The first claim follows.
As for the second, the right hand side of the formula is equal to $\left|F_{*}\right|(0, x)$ since $\Sigma$ is endowed with the induced semi-Riemannian metric and $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ with the product metric; compare with Exercise 2.33.

We apply Lemma 2.35 to the geodesic flow on the unit tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold. It is common to refer to the following formula as Santaló's formula; see [20, pp. 336-338] and also [4, p. 147].
Proposition 2.36. Let $H$ be a hypersurface in a Riemannian manifold $M$ and $\Sigma=\left.S M\right|_{H}$. Let $X$ be the vector field of the geodesic flow on $S M$ and consider $U$ and $F$ as above. Then

$$
\left|F_{*}\right|(t, v)=\sin \theta(v),
$$

where $\theta(v) \in[0, \pi / 2]$ is the angle between $v$ and $T_{p} H, p=\pi(v) \in H$.
Proof. Identifiying $T_{v} S M=T_{p} M \oplus v^{\perp}$ as usual, we have $T_{v} \Sigma=T_{p} H \oplus v^{\perp}$. Now $X(v)=(v, 0)$, and hence the assertion follows from Lemma 2.35.

## 3. Wiedersehen manifolds

For $v \in S M$, denote by $\operatorname{con}(v) \in(0, \infty]$ the first $t>0$ that is conjugate to 0 along $\gamma_{v}$. For $p \in M$, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Con}_{T}(p)=\left\{\operatorname{con}(v) v \mid v \in S_{p} M, \operatorname{con}(v)<\infty\right\} \subseteq T_{p} M, \\
& \operatorname{Con}(p)=\exp \left(\operatorname{Con}_{T}(p)\right)=\left\{\gamma_{v}(\operatorname{con}(v)) \mid v \in S_{p} M\right\} \subseteq M .
\end{aligned}
$$

the tangential first conjugate locus and first conjugate locus of $p$, respectively. Recall that con : $S M \rightarrow(0, \infty]$ is continuous; cf. also Exercise A.1. It follows that $\operatorname{Con}_{T}(p)$ is a closed subset of $T_{p} M$.

Exercise 3.1. For all $v \in S_{p} M$, we have $\operatorname{con}\left(-\dot{\gamma}_{v}(\operatorname{con}(v))\right)=\operatorname{con}(v)$. (Hint: Recall the relation of conjugate points with the index form of geodesics.)

Following Blaschke, we say that a complete and connected Riemannian surface $M$ is a Wiedersehen surface if $\operatorname{Con}(p)$ consists of one single point, for all $p \in M$. The original Blaschke conjecture says that a Wiedersehen surface has constant positive curvature, hence that it is a sphere or a projective plane with a standard Riemannian metric.

Theorem 3.2 (Green). The Blaschke conjecture is true.
In the proof of Theorem 3.2, we follow the exposition of Green in [10]. The first part of the arguments does not involve that $M$ is a surface. Until and including Exercise 3.9, we assume that $M$ is a Wiedersehen manifold, that is, that $M$ is a complete and connected Riemannian manifold such that $\operatorname{Con}(p)$ consists of a single point, for all $p \in M$. Then we may consider Con as a map $M \rightarrow M$; as such, Con is continuous and involutive, $\mathrm{Con}^{2}=\mathrm{id}$, since con is continuous and $\operatorname{con}\left(-\dot{\gamma}_{v}(\operatorname{con}(v))\right)=\operatorname{con}(v)$.
Lemma 3.3. For all $p \in M$, con: $S_{p} M \rightarrow(0, \infty]$ is constant $=: a(p)<\infty$. In particular, the universal covering space of $M$ is compact.
Proof. Let $p \in M$. Since $p$ does have a conjugate point, namely $q=\operatorname{Con}(p)$, there is a $v \in S_{p} M$ with $\operatorname{con}(v)<\infty$. Given any such $v$, there is an open spherical ball $B \subseteq S_{p} M$ about $v$ such that $\operatorname{con}(w)<\infty$ for all $w \in B$, by the continuity of con.

Choose an $\varepsilon>0$ smaller than the injectivity radius of $q=\operatorname{Con}(p)$ and let $S_{\varepsilon}(q)$ be the geodesic sphere of radius $\varepsilon$ about $q$. Then the unit speed geodesics $\gamma_{w}, w \in B$, intersect $S_{\varepsilon}(q)$ transversally, in fact, perpendiculary, at time $\operatorname{con}(w)-\varepsilon$. It follows that con $-\varepsilon$, hence also con, is smooth on $B$.

Let now $v=v(s)$ be a curve in $B$ and consider the corresponding geodesic variation $\gamma=\gamma_{s}(t)=\gamma_{v(s)}(t)$. Then we have $\gamma_{s}(\operatorname{con}(v(s)))=q$, and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\frac{d}{d s} \gamma_{s}(\operatorname{con}(v(s))) \\
& =J(s, \operatorname{con}(v(s)))+\dot{\gamma}_{s}(\operatorname{con}(v(s))) \cdot \frac{d \operatorname{con}(v(s))}{d s},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $J=\partial \gamma / \partial s$ is the family of associated Jacobi fields. Since $J(s, 0)=0$ and $J^{\prime}(s, 0)=d v / d s, J(s, t)$ is perpendicular to $\dot{\gamma}_{s}(t)$, for all $s, t$. Hence the above calculation shows that $d \operatorname{con}(v(s)) / d s$ vanishes. It follows that con is constant on $B$ and therefore, by the continuity of con, on $S_{p} M$.

Lemma 3.4. The function con is constant $=: a>0$ on $S M$. All geodesics of $M$ are periodic with $2 a$ as a common period.

Proof. Let $p \in M$ and $q=\operatorname{Con}(p)$. Then $p=\operatorname{Con}(q)$, and hence any unit speed geodesic $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ starting at $p$ comes back to $p$ at time $2 a(p)$. Choose $\epsilon>0$ less than half the injectivity radius of $M$ at $p$ and so that $\left|2 a(p)-2 a\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right|$ is less than half the injectivity radius of $M$ at $p$ for all $p^{\prime}$ of distance $<2 \epsilon$ to $p$. For $p^{\prime}=\gamma(\epsilon)$, we have

$$
q^{\prime}=\operatorname{Con}\left(p^{\prime}\right)=\gamma\left(\epsilon+a\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right) \quad \text { and } \quad p^{\prime}=\operatorname{Con}\left(q^{\prime}\right)=\gamma\left(\epsilon+2 a\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

Now $\gamma(2 a(p))=p$ and $\left|\epsilon+2 a\left(p^{\prime}\right)-2 a(p)\right|$ is less than the injectivity radius of $M$ at $p$. Hence $\left.\gamma\right|_{\left[2 a(p), \epsilon+2 a\left(p^{\prime}\right)\right]}$ is the unique minimal geodesic from $p$ to $p^{\prime}$ and therefore is equal to $\left.\gamma\right|_{[0, \epsilon]}$, up to the parameter. Therefore $\gamma$ closes smoothly at $p, a\left(p^{\prime}\right)=a(p)$, and $\gamma(\epsilon)=\gamma(2 a(p)+\epsilon)$. We also conclude that the function $a=a(p)$ is locally constant along unit speed geodesics. Since any point in $M$ can be reached by a unit speed geodesic from $p$, we get that $a$ is constant and that any unit speed geodesic on $M$ is periodic with $2 a$ as a period.

Lemma 3.5. The map Con: $M \rightarrow M$ is an isometry.
Proof. For any unit speed geodesic $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M, \operatorname{Con}(\gamma(t))=\gamma(t+a)$.
Exercise 3.6. Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a map between connected Riemannian manifolds of the same dimension, and suppose that $f$ preserves distances. Show that $f$ is a smooth local isometry. (Hint: Start with the case where $M$ and $N$ are equal to Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{m}$.)

Lemma 3.7. For $p \in M$, consider the Euclidean sphere $S^{m}(a / \pi)$ of radius $a / \pi$ as the quotient of the closed ball $\bar{B}\left(0_{p}, a\right)$ of radius a in $T_{p} M$, where the boundary $S\left(0_{p}, a\right)$ is identified to a point. Then $\exp _{p}: \bar{B}\left(0_{p}, a\right) \rightarrow M$ factors through a smooth covering map $F: S^{m} \rightarrow M$.

Proof. Consider $0_{p}$ as the north pole $N$ and the collapsed $S\left(0_{p}, a\right)$ as the south pole $S$ of $S^{m}$. By definition, $\exp$ has maximal rank on the open ball $B\left(0_{p}, a\right)$. The only question is whether $F$ is smooth and has maximal rank at the south pole. This follows easily, however, since all geodesics from $p$ pass through $\operatorname{Con}(p)$ and intersect there with the same angle as in $p$, by Lemma 3.5. In other words, for all $v \in S_{p} M$ and $0 \leq t \leq a$, we have

$$
\exp _{\operatorname{Con} p}\left(t \operatorname{Con}_{* p} v\right)=\operatorname{Con}\left(\exp _{p}(t v)\right)=\exp _{p}((t-a) v)
$$

exactly as on $S^{m}(a / \pi)$ with the antipodal map in place of Con.
The above discussion is summed up in the following
Theorem 3.8. If $M$ is a simply connected Wiedersehen manifold, then

1) $M$ is diffeomeorphic to $S^{m}$;
2) the injectivity radius of $M$ is equal to its diameter $a:=\operatorname{diam} M$;
3) $\gamma(a)=\operatorname{Con}(p)$, for all $p \in M$ and unit speed geodesics $\gamma$ through $p$;
4) all unit speed geodesics of $M$ are periodic with period $2 a$;
5) Con is an involutive isometry of $M$ with $d(p, \operatorname{Con}(p)) \equiv a$.

Exercise 3.9. Show that the Wiedersehen property of a Riemannian manifold $M$ passes to Riemannian covering and subcovering spaces of $M$. Show also that the isometry Con commutes with all isometries of $M$.

End of proof of Theorem 3.2. We may assume that $M$ is simply conected, by Exercise 3.9. Then $M$ is diffeomorphic to the sphere, by Theorem 3.8. By Corollary 2.31 it therefore suffices to show that the area of $M$ is $4 a^{2} / \pi$. To that end we choose $H \subseteq M$ to be one of the closed geodesics of $M$ of length $2 a$; see Theorem 3.8. Then $\Sigma=\left.S M\right|_{H}$ is a hypersurface of $S M$. For $U$ and $F$ as in Proposition 2.36 and up to the set of measure zero of unit vectors tangent to $H, S M$ is simply covered by the set of $F(t, v)$ with $0 \leq t<a$ and $v \in \Sigma$, by Theorem 3.8. Therefore

$$
\operatorname{vol}(S M)=\int_{0}^{a} \int_{\Sigma} \sin \theta(v) d v d t=2 a^{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|\sin \theta| d \theta=8 a^{2}
$$

by Proposition 2.36. Hence area $(M)=\operatorname{vol}(S M) / 2 \pi=4 a^{2} / \pi$ as desired.
Exercise 3.10. Let $M$ be a complete and connected Riemannian surface such that the function con: $S M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is constant and finite. Show that $M$ is a Wiedersehensfläche. What about higher dimensions? Recall also that, in all dimensions, there are closed manifolds with con $\equiv \infty$.

In a first and maybe erroneous version of the proof of Lemma 3.3, I used a somewhat carelessly formulated version of the following exercise. Since the statement of the exercise is useful, I decided to include it at the end of this section, although it is not required in our discussion anymore.
Exercise 3.11. Let $C=\left\{t w \mid w \in S_{p} M\right.$ and $\left.0<t<\operatorname{con}(w)\right\} \subseteq T_{p} M$. Let $c:[0,1] \rightarrow T_{p} M$ be a piecewise smooth curve with $c(0)=0$ and $c((0,1)) \subseteq C$. Then

$$
L(\exp \circ c) \geq\|c(1)\| .
$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if $c=c(t)=\phi(t) c(1)$, for all $0 \leq t \leq 1$, where $\phi$ is a monotonic surjection of $[0,1]$.

## 4. Zoll surfaces

Zoll surfaces are (Riemannian) metrics of revolution on the sphere $S^{2}$, such that all their geodesics are closed of the same length and without selfintersections. We discuss now the existence of Zoll surfaces, following the presentation in [4, Chapter 4]. Another reference-with a presentation close to Zoll's original one in [24]-is Section 8 of [3, Chapter IV].

Using polar coordinates at the north pole $N$ of $S^{2}$, we view the sphere $S^{2}$ as a strip $[0, a] \times \mathbb{R}$, where the second parameter $\theta$ counts modulo $2 \pi$ and where the boundary lines $s=0$ and $s=a$ are collapsed to north and south pole $N$ and $S$ of the sphere, respectively:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(s, \theta) \longleftrightarrow(\sin (\pi s / a) \cos \theta, \sin (\pi s / a) \sin \theta, \cos (\pi s / a)) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider metrics on the open strip $(0, a) \times \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\mu^{2}(s) d s^{2}+\lambda^{2}(s) d \theta^{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda, \mu:(0, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are positive smooth functions. By substituting the $s$-parameter appropriately, we may also assume that $\mu=1$, and then $g$ has the simpler form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=d s^{2}+\lambda^{2}(s) d \theta^{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The class of functions $\lambda$ and $\mu$, such that $g$ extends to a smooth metric on $S^{2}$, will be identified in Exercise 4.5 below. To that end, the following characterization of the regularity of functions on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ in terms of polar coordinates is useful.

Proposition 4.4. Let $f=f(s, \theta)$ be a function on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Then there is a smooth function $g=g(x, y)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $f(s, \theta)=g(s \cos \theta, s \sin \theta)$ if and only if $f$ is smooth and

1) $f(-s, \theta)=f(s, \theta+\pi)$ for all $(s, \theta) \in(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times \mathbb{R}$;
2) for all integers $j \geq 0$, $s^{j}\left(\partial^{j} f / \partial s^{j}\right)(0, \theta)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $j$ in $x=s \cos \theta$ and $y=s \sin \theta$.

Proposition 4.4 appears as Théorème IV in [9], p. 206, where it follows from a more general result, and Proposition 2.7 in [14], p. 208, where it comes with a direct and elementary proof.

Exercise 4.5. Let $g$ be a metric of the form (4.2) with $\lambda, \mu>0$ on $(0, a)$, and view $S^{2}$ as a quotient of $[0, a] \times \mathbb{R}$ as in (4.1). Show that $g$ extends to a smooth metric on $S^{2} \backslash\{S\}$ if and only if $\mu$ and $\nu=\lambda(s) / s$ extend to positive and even smooth functions on $(-a, a)$ such that $\mu(0)=\nu(0)$. Formulate also a corresponding criterion for $S^{2} \backslash\{N\}$ and discuss under which conditions $(s, \theta)$ are (polar) normal coordinates about $N$ and $S$.
Remark 4.6. A function $f:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth if and only if there is a smooth function $g:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(s)-f(0)=s g(s)$.

Consider metrics on $(0, a) \times \mathbb{R}$ of the form (4.2). Then shifts $\theta \mapsto \theta+\alpha$ of the angle parameter $\theta$ are isometries, and hence $\partial / \partial \theta$ is a Killing field. The following assertion is immediate from Exercise A.2.1
Proposition 4.7 (Clairaut's theorem). If $\gamma=(s(t), \theta(t)): I \rightarrow(0, a) \times \mathbb{R}$ is a geodesic, then $\langle\dot{\gamma}, \partial / \partial \theta\rangle=\lambda^{2}(\gamma(t)) \dot{\theta}(t)=$ constant.

Remark 4.8. Recall that, up to parametrization, all meridians $\theta=\theta_{0}$ are geodesics and that a parallel $s=s_{0}$ is a geodesic if and only if $s_{0}$ is a critical point of $\lambda$.

We consider now a smooth metric $g$ on $(0, a) \times \mathbb{R}$ of the form (4.3) and assume that it extends to a smooth Riemannian metric on $S^{2}$, keeping the names $\lambda, \mu$, and $g$ for the corresponding extensions; compare with Exercise 4.5. Then, since $\lambda(0)=\lambda(a)=0$ and $\lambda>0$ on $(0, a), \lambda$ has a maximum $\lambda_{0}$ in $(0, a)$, say at a time $s=s_{0}$.
Proposition 4.9 (A necessary condition). If all unit speed geodesics of $g$ are periodic with a common period, then $s_{0}$ is the only critical point of $\lambda$. Furthermore, $s_{0}$ is non-degenerate, that is, $\ddot{\lambda}\left(s_{0}\right)<0$.
Proof. The assertion of Proposition 4.9 follows if any critical point of $\lambda$ in $(0, a)$ is a non-degenerate local maximum.

Suppose now that $\ell$ is a common period of all unit speed geodesics of $g$, and let $s_{1} \in(0, a)$ be a critical point of $\lambda$. Then $\gamma=\left(s_{1}, t / \lambda\left(s_{1}\right)\right)$ is a unit speed geodesic, and hence $\gamma(\ell)=\gamma(0)$. Let $\gamma_{\alpha}$ be the unit speed geodesic with $\gamma_{\alpha}(0)=\left(s_{1}, 0\right)$ and such that the oriented angle $\angle\left(\dot{\gamma}(0), \dot{\gamma}_{\alpha}(0)\right)=\alpha$. Then the $\gamma_{\alpha}$ constitute a geodesic variation of $\gamma=\gamma_{0}$ with fixed starting point $\left(s_{1}, 0\right)=\gamma_{\alpha}(0)$. By assumption $\gamma_{\alpha}(\ell)=\gamma_{\alpha}(0)=\left(s_{1}, 0\right)$ for all $\alpha$. Hence the Jacobi field $V$ associated to this geodesic variation satisfies $V(0)=$ $V(\ell)=0$. It follows that $V$ is perpendicular to $\gamma$. Since the curvature $K$ of $g$ does not depend on $\theta$, the oriented length $v$ of $V$ satisfies the scalar Jacobi equation $\ddot{v}+k_{1} v=0$, where $k_{1}=K\left(s_{1}\right)$. Since $v$ is non-trivial with $v(0)=v(\ell)=0$, this is only possible if $k_{1}>0$.

Since all meridians are geodesics, $\partial / \partial \theta$ is a Jacobi field along each of them. Hence $\ddot{\lambda}=-K \lambda$. We conclude that $\ddot{\lambda}\left(s_{1}\right)=-k_{1} \lambda\left(s_{1}\right)<0$, and hence $\lambda$ achieves a non-degenerate local maximum at $s=s_{1}$.

Remark 4.10. Suppose, more generally, that all unit speed geodesics of $g$ are closed, but maybe not with a common period. Let $s_{1}$ be a critical point of $\lambda$. Then $K\left(s_{1}\right)<0$ would imply that there are geodesics $\gamma=(s(t), \theta(t))$ of $g$ which are asymptotic to the geodesic $s=s_{1}$ in the sense that $s(t)$ would converge strictly monotonically to $s_{1}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Such geodesics would never close up, contradicting the hypothesis. The situation is maybe similar in the case $K\left(s_{1}\right)=0$, and then Proposition 4.9 would follow under the given weaker assumption.

Metrics of the form (4.3) that satisfy the assertion of Proposition 4.9 have a unique parallel of maximal length, the equator $s=s_{0}$. Replacing $g$ by $\lambda_{0}^{-2} g$ and substituting the parameter $s$ by $\lambda_{0} s$, we arrive at the first normalization $\lambda_{0}=1$. Then the equator is of length $2 \pi$. Our next aim is a normal form for such metrics.

Lemma 4.11. Let $f:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function.

1) If $s=0$ is a critical point of $f$ with $f(0)=1$ and $\ddot{f}(0)<0$, then there is a unique smooth function $r=r(s)$ about $s=0$ with $r(0)=0$ and $\dot{r}>0$ such that $f(s)=\cos r(s)$.
2) If $f$ is even, then there are smooth functions $h_{0}$ and $h_{1}$ about $s=0$ and $s=1$, respectively, such that $f(s)=h_{0}\left(s^{2}\right)=h_{1}(\cos s)$.

The existence of the function $h_{0}$ in the second assertion of Lemma 4.11 is Theorem 1 in [23]. The existence of $h_{1}$ is an immediate consequence.

Proof of the first assertion of Lemma 4.11. Diminishing $\epsilon$ if necessary, $f$ is strictly monotonically increasing to 1 on $(-\epsilon, 0]$ and strictly monotonically decreasing on $[0, \epsilon)$. Hence there is a unique strictly increasing continuous function $r$ on $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ such that $r(0)=0$ and $f=\cos r$, namely

$$
r=-\arccos f \text { on }(-\epsilon, 0] \quad \text { and } \quad r=\arccos f \text { on }[0, \epsilon),
$$

respectively. Since cos is real analytic and diffeomorphic on $(-\pi / 2,0)$ and $(0, \pi / 2), r$ is smooth on $(-\epsilon, 0)$ and $(0, \epsilon)$. Moreover,

$$
\dot{r}=\dot{f}\left(1-f^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \text { on }(-\epsilon, 0) \quad \text { and } \quad \dot{r}=-\dot{f}\left(1-f^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \text { on }(0, \epsilon)
$$

respectively. Now $\dot{f}, 1-f^{2}$ and the first derivative of $1-f^{2}$ vanish at 0 . Furthermore, the second derivative of $1-f^{2}$ at 0 exists and equals $-2 \ddot{f}(0)$. From Remark 4.6, we get that there are smooth functions $\phi, \psi:(-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\phi(0)=\psi(0)=1$ such that

$$
\dot{f}(s)=\ddot{f}(0) \phi(s) s \quad \text { and } \quad 1-f^{2}(s)=-\ddot{f}(0) \psi(s) s^{2}
$$

Since $f(s)<1$ for $s \neq 0$, we have $\psi>0$. Now $\sqrt{s^{2}}= \pm s$ with minus-sign on $(-\epsilon, 0)$ and plus sign on $(0, \epsilon)$, respectively. Since $\ddot{f}(0)<0$, we obtain

$$
\dot{r}=\sqrt{-\ddot{f}(0)} \phi \psi^{-1 / 2} \quad \text { on }(-\epsilon, 0) \cup(0, \epsilon)
$$

Hence $\dot{r}$ extends to a smooth function on $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ with $\dot{r}(0)=\sqrt{-\ddot{f}(0)}$. It follows that $r$ is smooth with $\dot{r}>0$.

Proposition 4.12 (Normal form 1). Let $g$ be a smooth metric of the form (4.3) which extends to a smooth metric on $S^{2}$. Assume that $s_{0}$ is the only critical point of $\lambda$ with $\lambda\left(s_{0}\right)=1$ and $\ddot{\lambda}\left(s_{0}\right)<0$. Then there is a smooth parameter change $r:[0, a] \rightarrow[0, \pi]$ such that

$$
g=\mu^{2}(r) d r^{2}+\sin ^{2}(r) d \theta^{2}
$$

where $\mu:[0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive smooth function which is even about 0 and $\pi$ with $\mu(0)=\mu(\pi)=1$.

Proof. Since $\lambda$ is strictly monotonically increasing from 0 to 1 on $\left[0, s_{0}\right]$ and strictly monotonically decreasing from 1 to 0 on $\left[s_{0}, a\right]$, there is a unique increasing homeomorphism $r:[0, a] \rightarrow[0, \pi]$ such that $\lambda=\sin r$, namely

$$
r=\arcsin \lambda \text { on }\left[0, s_{0}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad r=\pi-\arcsin \lambda \text { on }\left[s_{0}, a\right]
$$

respectively. Since $\lambda$ is diffeomorphic on $\left(0, s_{0}\right)$ and $\left(s_{0}, a\right)$ and sin likewise on $[0, \pi / 2)$ and $(\pi / 2, \pi], r$ is diffeomorphic on $\left[0, s_{0}\right)$ and $\left(s_{0}, a\right]$. By Lemma 4.11.1, $r$ is diffeomorphic about $s=s_{0}$. Hence $r:[0, a] \rightarrow[0, \pi]$ is a smooth parameter change.

As for the fundamental matrix of the metric in the $(r, \theta)$-coordinates, we note that $\partial / \partial s=\dot{r} \partial / \partial r$. Hence $g=\dot{r}^{-2} d r^{2}+\sin ^{2}(r) d \theta^{2}$. Now $\lambda$ is odd about 0 and $a$ with $\dot{\lambda}(0)=1$ and $\dot{\lambda}(a)=-1$. Hence $r$ is odd about 0 and $a$ with $\dot{r}(0)=\dot{r}(a)=1$, and therefore $s=s(r)$ is a smooth function which is odd about 0 and $\pi$ with $\dot{s}(0)=\dot{s}(\pi)=1$. We conclude that
$\mu=\mu(r)=\dot{r}^{-1}(s(r))$ is a smooth function which is even about 0 and $\pi$ with $\mu(0)=\mu(\pi)=1$.
Example 4.13. On the standard sphere $S^{2}$ of radius one, we have

$$
g=d r^{2}+\sin ^{2}(r) d \theta^{2}
$$

where $r$ denotes the angle between north pole and foot point in question.
Proposition 4.14 (Normal form 2). Let $\mu:[0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive smooth function, which is even about 0 and $\pi$ with $\mu(0)=\mu(\pi)=1$, and let $g$ be the corresponding metric on $S$ as in Proposition 4.12. Then there is a positive smooth function $f:[-1,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f(-1)=f(1)=1$ such that $\mu(r)=f(\cos r)$, that is, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=f^{2}(\cos r) d r^{2}+\sin ^{2}(r) d \theta^{2} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, any such metric, where $f$ is smooth with $f(-1)=f(1)=1$, defines a smooth metric on $S^{2}$ with equator $r=\pi / 2$ of length $2 \pi$.

Assume from now on that the Riemannian metric on $S^{2}$ is given in the $(r, \theta)$-coordinates as in (4.15). By Proposition 4.7 and Proposition 4.9, for any unit speed geodesic $\gamma=(r(t), \theta(t))$ on $M$, which is not a meridian and not the equator, there is a number $r_{0}=r_{0}(\gamma) \in(0, \pi / 2)$ such that $\min _{t} r(t)=r_{0}$ and $\max _{t} r(t)=\pi-r_{0}$. We now compute the angle difference $\Delta \theta$ of $\gamma$ between two events $r=r_{0}$ and $r=\pi-r_{0}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\dot{r}>0$ in the corresponding time interval.

Lemma 4.16. In the above situation, let

$$
r\left(t_{0}\right)=r_{0}, r\left(t_{1}\right)=\pi-r_{0}, \text { and } r_{0}<r(t)<\pi-r_{0} \text { for all } t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\Delta \theta=\theta\left(t_{1}\right)-\theta\left(t_{0}\right)=\int_{r_{0}}^{\pi-r_{0}} \frac{f(\cos r) \sin r_{0}}{\left(\sin ^{2} r-\sin ^{2} r_{0}\right)^{1 / 2} \sin r} d r
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\dot{\theta}>0$. Clairaut's theorem says that $\langle\dot{\gamma}, \partial / \partial \theta\rangle=\dot{\theta} \sin ^{2} r=$ constant. At time $t=t_{0}$, we have $\dot{r}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$, therefore $\dot{\theta}\left(t_{0}\right)^{2} \sin ^{2} r_{0}=1$, and hence $\dot{\theta} \sin ^{2} r=\sin r_{0}$. Since $\gamma$ has unit speed, $\dot{r}^{2} f^{2}(\cos r)+\dot{\theta}^{2} \sin ^{2} r=1$, we obtain

$$
\dot{r}^{2}=\frac{1-\dot{\theta}^{2} \sin ^{2} r}{f^{2}(\cos r)}=\frac{1-\sin ^{2} r_{0} / \sin ^{2} r}{f^{2}(\cos r)}=\frac{\sin ^{2} r-\sin ^{2} r_{0}}{f^{2}(\cos r) \sin ^{2} r}
$$

We conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d t}{d r}=\frac{f(\cos r) \sin r}{\left(\sin ^{2} r-\sin ^{2} r_{0}\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \theta}{d r}=\frac{d \theta}{d t} \frac{d t}{d r}=\frac{f(\cos r) \sin r_{0}}{\left(\sin ^{2} r-\sin ^{2} r_{0}\right)^{1 / 2} \sin r} . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 4.19. On the standard sphere of radius 1, we have $\Delta \theta=\pi$. In other words,

$$
\int_{r_{0}}^{\pi-r_{0}} \frac{\sin r_{0}}{\left(\sin ^{2} r-\sin ^{2} r_{0}\right)^{1 / 2} \sin r} d r=\Delta \theta=\pi
$$

for all $0<r_{0}<\pi / 2$. This formula will be crucial in our discussion below.

Clearly, $\Delta \theta$ also gives half the $\theta$-difference between two consecutive times that $\gamma$ reaches $r=r_{0}$ or $r=\pi-r_{0}$, respectively, or that $\gamma$, after starting on the equator, comes back to the equator the next time. (Note that we do not, and should not, assume that the northern and southern hemissphere are isometric). We conclude that $\gamma$ closes after $n>0$ such events if and only if $2 n \Delta \theta=2 m \pi$ for some integer $m>0$, hence if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \theta=\int_{r_{0}}^{\pi-r_{0}} \frac{f(\cos r) \sin r_{0}}{\left(\sin ^{2} r-\sin ^{2} r_{0}\right)^{1 / 2} \sin r} d r=\frac{m}{n} \pi \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume now that all unit speed geodesics of $M$ are periodic with a common period. Then, since $\Delta \theta$ is continuous in $r_{0}$ and rational, it is constant, say equal to $m \pi / n$. Choosing $m$ and $n$ divisor free, we conclude that all unit speed geodesics, except for the equator and the meridians, close after $n$ events $r=r_{0}$. By continuity, the smallest common period of all unit speed geodesics, except for the meridians, is equal to $2 m \pi$. Therefore the length of a segment of a geodesic between two consecutive events $r=r_{0}$ and $r=\pi-r_{0}$ as above is equal to $m \pi / n$. Again by continuity, the meridians also have length $m \pi / n$. Note that this discussion did not involve the regularity of the metric at the poles.

Theorem 4.21. Up to the sign of $h=f-1$, the isometry classes of smooth or real analytic metrics of revolution on $S^{2}$ with all geodesics closed and simple of length $2 \pi$ are in one-one correspondence with metrics of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=(1+h(\cos r))^{2} d r^{2}+\sin ^{2} r d \theta^{2} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h:[-1,1] \rightarrow(-1,1)$ is a smooth or real analytic function, respectively, which is odd about 0 and such that $h(-1)=h(1)=0$.

Proof. The zeros of a non-trivial Killing field on a connected surface are isolated and of index one. Hence, by the Poincaré-Hopf index theorem, a non-trivial Killing field $X$ of a metric $g$ on $S^{2}$ has exactly two zeros; call them north and south pole $N$ and $S$, respectively. Then $N$ and $S$ are fixed points of the flow $\left(\phi_{t}\right)$ of $X$. It follows easily that a minimizing unit speed geodesic $c:[0, a] \rightarrow S^{2}$ from $N$ to $S$ is perpendicular to $X$ and that $\left(\phi_{t}\right)$ is periodic. Normalizing $X$ so that the period of $\left(\phi_{t}\right)$ is $2 \pi$, we arrive at a correspondence $(s, \theta) \longleftrightarrow \phi_{\theta}(c(s))$ as in (4.1) such that $X=\partial / \partial \theta$ and $g$ is of the form (4.3). Since $g$ is smooth or real analytic, the change to the normal form (4.15) does not change regularity; that is, $f$ is smooth or real analytic, respectively.

Now we consider geodesics $\gamma$ as in Lemma 4.16. By Example 4.19 and the discussion further up, we must have

$$
\Delta \theta=\int_{r_{0}}^{\pi-r_{0}} \frac{f(\cos r) \sin r_{0}}{\left(\sin ^{2} r-\sin ^{2} r_{0}\right)^{1 / 2} \sin r} d r=\pi
$$

for any $r_{0} \in(0, \pi / 2)$. Writing $f=1+h$, this holds if and only if

$$
\int_{r_{0}}^{\pi-r_{0}} \frac{h(\cos r) \sin r_{0}}{\left(\sin ^{2} r-\sin ^{2} r_{0}\right)^{1 / 2} \sin r} d r=0
$$

for any $r_{0} \in(0, \pi / 2)$, by Example 4.19. It is easy to see that the latter condition holds if and only if $h$ is odd with respect to $\pi / 2$. From the oddness
of $h$ about $\pi / 2$ and (4.17), we also get that the length of a geodesic between two consecutive events $r=r_{0}$ and $r=\pi-r_{0}$ as above is equal to $\pi$, as on the unit sphere.

Note that for any metric of the form in (4.22), except for the standard metric, the space of Killing fields is one-dimensional and generated by $\partial / \partial \theta$. It then follows that the parallels are invariantly defined as flow lines of $\partial / \partial \theta$. The parallels come in pairs of length $2 \pi \sin r$, and the distance of them to the equator is an isometry invariant of the metric which determines $h$ up to sign. Hence metrics $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ of the form (4.22) and with $h_{2} \neq \pm h_{1}$ are not isometric.

Exercise 4.23. Let $g$ be a metric on $S^{2}$ as in (4.22). Then, up to the parametrization, the meridians $\theta=\theta_{0}$ are geodesics and $\partial / \partial \theta$ is a Jacobi field along them. The speed of the meridians is $\mu(r)=f(\cos r)=1+h(\cos r)$. Write $\partial / \partial \theta=\sin r E$, where $E$ is of length one (and therefore parallel along the meridians) and show that the curvature of $g$ is given by

$$
K=K(r)=\frac{1+h(\cos r)-\dot{h}(\cos r) \cos r}{(1+h(\cos r))^{3}}
$$

Zoll's original surfaces were real analytic surfaces of revolution in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Following his Ansatz as in (4.24) below, we derive his main examples. By Proposition 4.9, we know that, up to a motion of the ambient Euclidean space, the surface should be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y, z)=\left(\sin r \cos \theta, \sin r \sin \theta, z_{ \pm}(\sin r)\right), \quad 0 \leq r \leq \pi \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we normalize the height functions $z_{ \pm}$by $z_{ \pm}(1)=0$. The height functions $z_{+}$and $z_{-}$are responsible for the part of the surface above and below the $(x, y)$-plane, respectively. We also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos ^{2}(r)\left(1+\dot{z}_{ \pm}^{2}(\sin r)\right)=(1+h(\cos r))^{2} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus a necessary condition for the existence of the surface is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+h(\cos r))^{2} \geq \cos ^{2} r \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $\rho=\sin r$ and $\eta=\cos r$. Then $\eta^{2}+\rho^{2}=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{1+\dot{z}_{ \pm}^{2}(\rho)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}} \pm \frac{h\left(\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}\right)}{\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}}=: \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}} \pm \varphi(\rho) \geq 1 \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi$ is real analytic and $\varphi \equiv 0$ corresponds to the standard sphere. For $0 \leq \rho<1$, the right hand side is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}} \pm \varphi(\rho)=1+\frac{1}{2} \rho^{2}+\frac{1 \cdot 3}{2 \cdot 4} \rho^{4}+\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6} \rho^{6}+\cdots \pm \varphi(r) \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right hand side should be $\geq 1$ and equal to 1 at $\rho=0$. Zoll's main candidate is $\varphi_{c}(\rho)=c \rho^{2}$, where $0 \leq c \leq 1 / 2$. Then $h_{c}(\eta)=c \eta\left(1-\eta^{2}\right)$ is an odd real analytic function which vanishes in $\pm 1$. It has maximum $2 c / 3 \sqrt{3}$, hence it defines a real analytic metric of revolution as in Proposition 4.14 under the weaker restriction $0 \leq c<3 \sqrt{3} / 2$. However, in the following discussion, we require $0 \leq c \leq 1 / 2$.

To show the regularity of the surface $S_{c}$ of revolution associated to $\varphi_{c}$, we consider

$$
\zeta=\zeta(r)= \begin{cases}z_{+}(\sin r) & \text { for } 0 \leq r \leq \pi / 2  \tag{4.29}\\ z_{-}(\sin r) & \text { for } \pi / 2 \leq r \leq \pi\end{cases}
$$

Then $c=c(r)=(\sin r, \zeta(r))$ is a profile curve for $S_{c}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\zeta}(r) & =-\sqrt{\left(1+h_{c}(\cos r)\right)^{2}-\cos ^{2} r} \\
& =-\sqrt{(1+2 c \cos r) \sin ^{2} r+c^{2} \cos ^{2} r \sin ^{4} r} \tag{4.30}
\end{align*}
$$

and hence $\dot{\zeta}$ is a real analytic function of $r$ on $(0, \pi / 2)$, therefore also $\zeta$. We thus see that $S_{c}$, so far except for north and south pole, is real analytic.

Observe that $S_{c}$ is real analytic at north and south pole if and only if the height functions $z_{ \pm}$are even real analytic functions of $\rho$ about $\rho=0$. This holds if and only if the derivatives $\dot{z}_{ \pm}$are odd real analytic functions of $\rho$ about $\rho=0$. Now we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{z}_{ \pm}^{2}(\rho) & =\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}} \pm c \rho^{2}\right)^{2}-1 \\
& =\frac{1}{1-\rho^{2}} \pm \frac{2 c \rho^{2}}{\sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}}+c^{2} \rho^{4}-1  \tag{4.31}\\
& =\rho^{2}+\rho^{4}+\cdots \pm 2 c \rho^{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \rho^{2}+\frac{1 \cdot 3}{2 \cdot 4} \rho^{4}+\ldots\right)+c^{2} \rho^{4} \\
& =(1 \pm 2 c) \rho^{2}+\left(1 \pm c+c^{2}\right) \rho^{4}+\text { higher order terms }
\end{align*}
$$

For the functions on the right hand side to be the squares of odd real analytic functions of $\rho$, we actually need $0 \leq c<1 / 2$, slightly stronger than Zoll's assumption $0 \leq c \leq 1 / 2$.

Exercise 4.32. 1) For $c \in[0,1 / 2)$, show that the curvature of $S_{c}$ is strictly positive. In other words, $S_{c}$ is strictly convex in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.
2) Discuss the surface $S_{d}$ associated to the choice $\varphi_{d}=\varphi_{d}(\rho)=d \rho^{4}$. What are appropriate $d \geq 0$ ? For which $d$ does $S_{d}$ have regions with negative curvature? (Compare with the figures on page 111 in [4].)

## 5. BLASChKE MANIFOLDS

Let $M$ be a compact and connected Riemannian manifold. We say that $M$ is a Blaschke manifold with respect to $p \in M$ if $\operatorname{inj}_{M}(p)=\operatorname{rad}_{M}(p)$. We say that $M$ is a Blaschke manifold if $\operatorname{inj}(M)=\operatorname{diam}(M)$. It is easy to see that the latter holds if and only if $M$ is a Blaschke manifold with respect to any $p \in M$. The only known examples of Blaschke manifolds are the compact symmetric spaces of rank one, that is, spheres and projective spaces together with their standard metrics. The (generalized) Blaschke conjecture says that these are in fact the only examples.

Let $k \in\{1, \ldots, m-1\}$ and $l>0$. Following [4], we say that $M$ is an Allamigeon-Warner manifold of type $(k, l)$ with respect to $p \in M$, if $\operatorname{con}(v)=l$ and if the multiplicity of $\gamma_{v}(l)$ as a conjugate point of $p$ along $\gamma_{v}$ is $k$, for all $v \in S_{p} M$. We say that $M$ is an Allamigeon-Warner manifold if it is an Allamigeon-Warner manifold (of some type) at any $p \in M$. It is then easy to see that the type does not depend on $p$. Clearly, the AllamigeonWarner property is stable under Riemannian covers and subcovers.

It is clear from our discussion in Subsection 3 that $M$ is a Wiedersehen manifold if and only if $M$ is an Allamigeon-Warner manifold of type ( $m-1, l$ ) for some $l>0$. The Blaschke conjecture is known for these:
Theorem 5.1 (Berger-Kazdan [4]). If $M$ is a Wiedersehen manifold, then $M$ has constant positive sectional curvature.

The proof is involved and will not be presented in these lecture notes. Our aim is to develop the general picture around the Blaschke conjecture. We will see that Allamigeon-Warner and Blaschke manifolds describe the same class of manifolds, up to Riemannian covers or subcovers. We will also see that the pointed versions of both concepts are, more or less, completely understood, where we say that $M$ is a pointed Blaschke or Allamigeon-Warner manifold if $M$ is a Blaschke or Allamigeon-Warner manifold at some point $p \in M$.

Exercise 5.2. After studying Subsections 5.1 and 5.2, prove the two 'It is easy to see' statements from the beginning of this subsection.
5.1. Pointed Allamigeon-Warner manifolds. In what follows, we assume that $M$ is an Allamigeon-Warner manifold of type $(k, l)$ with respect to a given point $p \in M$. Rescaling the metric, we may assume that $l=1$. This will make the presentation easier.

Let $f$ be the restriction of the exponential map to the unit sphere $S=$ $S_{p} M$ in $T_{p} M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\left.\exp _{p}\right|_{S} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define $F: S \rightarrow S M$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(v)=\dot{\gamma}_{v}(1) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $f=\pi \circ F$.
Proposition 5.5. We have: 1) $f$ has constant rank $m-k-1$.
2) The connected components of the levels of $f$, that is, the leaves of the foliation $\Phi$ associated to the smooth distribution $\operatorname{ker} f_{* v}, v \in S$, are diffeomorphic to the sphere $S^{k}$.
3) For each $v \in S, F$ maps the leave $L=L_{v}$ of $\Phi$ through $v$ diffeomorphically to the unit sphere in $\left(\operatorname{im} f_{* v}\right)^{\perp}$.
4) $F: S \rightarrow S M$ is an embedding.

Proof. The Gauss lemma implies that the kernel of $\exp _{p * v}$ is perpendicular to $v$, for all non-zero $v \in T_{p} M$. Hence the multiplicity of $\gamma_{v}(1)$ as a conjugate point of $p$ along $\gamma_{v}$ equals the dimension of $\operatorname{ker} f_{* v}$, and 1) follows.

A general version of the implicit function theorem maintains that, for each $v$ in $S$, there exist coordinate charts $x=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{m-1}\right)$ about $v$ in $S$ and $y=\left(y^{1}, \ldots, y^{m}\right)$ about $f(v)$ in $M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y \circ f \circ x^{-1}\right)\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{m-1}\right)=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{m-k-1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that the distribution ker $f_{*}$ on $S$ is smooth and integrable and that the image of the domain of $x$ under $f$ is a submanifold $W$ of $M$ of dimension $m-k-1$. (In Theorem 5.8 below we will see that $W$ is actually part of a compact immersed manifold $N$.) The non-explicit assertions of 2) follow. The remaining assertion of 2 ) is immediate from 3 ).

Since $F$ is the restriction of the time one map of the geodesic flow to $S$, we get that $F: S \rightarrow S M$ is an embedding. By the definition of $L$, all the geodesics $\gamma_{w}, w \in L$, hit $q=f(v)=\gamma_{v}(1)$ at time 1 . With respect to a coordinate chart $x$ about $v$ as above such that $x(v)=0$, the vectors $w$ with coordinates $\left(0, \ldots, 0, x^{m-k}, \ldots, x^{m-1}\right)$ constitute a neighborhood of $v$ in the leaf $L=L_{v}$ of $\Phi$. It follows from (5.6) that $\operatorname{im} f_{* v}=\operatorname{im} f_{* w}$ for all such $w$. Since this argument applies to any $v \in L$ and $L$ is connected, we conclude that $\operatorname{im} f_{* v}$ is independent of $v \in L$.

By the first variation formula, the geodesics $\gamma_{w}, w \in L$, hit the image of $f$ perpendicularly at $q$, that is, $F(w)=\dot{\gamma}_{w}(1) \in\left(\operatorname{im} f_{* v}\right)^{\perp}$ for all $w$. Now $L$ is a compact and connected manifold of dimension $k$, and the restriction of $F$ to $L$ is an embedding of $L$. Furthermore, the unit sphere in $\left(\operatorname{im} f_{* v}\right)^{\perp}$ is also a compact and connected manifold of dimension $k$ and contains the image of $L$ under the embedding $F$. It follows that $F$ is a diffeomeorphism between $L$ and the unit sphere in $\left(\operatorname{im} f_{* v}\right)^{\perp}$.

Exercise 5.7. Let $M$ be a foliated manifold, and let $p, q$ be points in a leaf $L$ of the foliation. Then there is a compactly supported (in particular, complete) vector field $X$ on $M$ such that

1) $X$ is tangent to the foliation and
2) $\varphi_{1}(p)=q$, where $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)$ denotes the flow of $X$.

Theorem 5.8. Let $N$ be the space of leaves of $\Phi$ and $\nu: S \rightarrow N$ be the projection. Then $N$ is a smooth manifold of dimension $m-k-1$ in a natural way such that $\nu$ is a submersion and such that the following holds:

1) $N$ is compact and simply connected.
2) $f$ factors over an immersion $g: N \rightarrow M, f=g \circ \nu$.
3) $F$ induces an isomorphism between $\nu: S \rightarrow N$ and the normal sphere bundle of the immersion $g$.

Proof. We show first that $N$ has a natural atlas of coordinate charts such that the coordinate transitions are smooth. The heart of the matter are coordinates $x$ and $y$ as in (5.6). Let $U \subseteq S$ be the domain of $x$ and assume
without loss of generality that $x(U)=U^{\prime} \times U^{\prime \prime}$, where $U^{\prime} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m-k-1}$ and $U^{\prime \prime} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k}$ are open and path connected. Split $x=\left(x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with

$$
x^{\prime}=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{m-k-1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad x^{\prime \prime}=\left(x^{m-k}, \ldots, x^{m-1}\right)
$$

Then $U$ intersects the levels of $f$ in the subsets $x^{\prime}=$ constant. Since these are diffeomorphic to $U^{\prime \prime}$, they are path connected and, therefore, belong to pairwise different leaves of $\Phi$. Thus, denoting $\nu(v)$ by $\bar{v}, v \in S$, and setting $\bar{U}=\nu(U)$, the map

$$
\bar{x}: \bar{U} \rightarrow U^{\prime}, \quad \bar{x}(\bar{v})=x^{\prime}(v)
$$

establishes a bijection between the leaves of $\Phi$ passing through $U$ and $U^{\prime}$.
We show next that the coordinate transformations between such coordinate charts are smooth. To that end, let $v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$ belong to the same leaf, $L$, of $\Phi$ and consider coordinate charts $x_{0}$ about $v_{0}$ and $y_{0}$ about $f\left(v_{0}\right)$ and, respectively, $x_{1}$ about $v_{1}$ and $y_{1}$ about $f\left(v_{1}\right)$ as above. Let $X$ be a vector field on $S$ tangent to $\Phi$ such that the time one map $\varphi_{1}$ of the flow $\left(\varphi_{t}\right)$ of $X$ maps $v_{0}$ to $v_{1}$; compare with Exercise 5.7. Then $\varphi_{1} \circ x_{0}^{-1}$ maps a sufficiently small neighborhood $V=V^{\prime} \times V^{\prime \prime}$ of $x_{0}\left(v_{0}\right)$ into a neighborhood of $v_{1}$ which is contained in the domain of $x_{1}$. Moreover, since $X$ is tangent to $\Phi$, the flow lines of $X$ stay in their respective leaves of $\Phi$. Therefore the smooth functions

$$
\psi^{j}=x_{1}^{j} \circ \phi_{1} \circ x_{0}^{-1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m-k-1
$$

only depend on the first $m-k-1$ coordinates in $V$. Thus we obtain an induced map $\left(\bar{\psi}^{1}, \ldots, \bar{\psi}^{m-k-1}\right)$ in a small neighborhood of $\bar{x}_{0}\left(\bar{v}_{0}\right)$ in $U_{0}^{\prime}$. It is smooth and, locally about $\bar{v}_{0}$, it is equal to the coordinate transition $\bar{x}_{1} \circ \bar{x}_{0}^{-1}$. It follows that coordinate transitions are smooth and, therefore, that they are diffeomorphism.

We now say that a subset $V$ of $N$ is open if $\bar{x}(V) \subseteq U^{\prime}$ is open, for all charts $\bar{x}$ as above. Since coordinate transitions are homeomorphisms, we get that the coordinate charts $\bar{x}$ as above are homeomorphisms. It is not hard to see that any two different leaves $L_{0}$ and $L_{1}$ of $\Phi$ have neighborhoods $U_{0}$ and $U_{1}$ in $S$ such that no leaf of $\Phi$ intersects $U_{0}$ and $U_{1}$ simultaneously. It follows that $N$ with the above topology is a Hausdorff space. In conclusion, we obtain that $N$ is a smooth manifold of dimension $m-k-1$ such that $\nu: S \rightarrow N$ is a submersion.

The remaining assertions are easy to see: Since $N$ is the image of $S$, it is compact. If $0<k=m-1$, then $f$ is constant and $N$ is a point. If $0<k<m-1$, then $S$ is simply connected and the fiber $S^{k}$ of $\nu$ is path connected. Thus $N$ is simply connected in either case, and 1) follows.

By the definition of $N$, there is a smooth map $g: N \rightarrow M$ with $f=g \circ \nu$. The lift to $S$ of a vector $u$ in the kernel of $g_{*}$ belongs to the kernel of $f_{*}$ and thus is tangent to the corresponding fiber of $\nu$. Hence $u=0$, and hence $g$ is an immersion. Thus 2) follows. The remaining assertion 3) is an immediate consequence of Proposition 5.5.3.

Since geodesics are not minimal any more after the first conjugate point, $M$ is the image of the closed unit ball $\bar{B}=\bar{B}\left(0_{p}, 1\right) \subseteq T_{p} M$ under the exponential map. Since $M$ is an Allamigeon-Warner manifold with $l=1$, the map

$$
(0,1) \times S \rightarrow M, \quad(t, v) \mapsto \gamma_{v}(t)
$$

is a local diffeomeorphism. We also have $\gamma_{v}(t)=\gamma_{-F(v)}(1-t)$, and this identity suggests a diffeomorphism $G$ between $(0,1) \times S$, thought of as open unit ball $B=B\left(0_{p}, 1\right) \subseteq T_{p} M$ minus center $0_{p}$, and the open unit disc bundle $D$ in the normal bundle associated to the immersion $g$ minus the zero section $N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t, v)=(t-1) F(v) . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we obtain a manifold $\tilde{M}$ by gluing $B$ to $D$ via the diffeomorphism $G$.
Theorem 5.10. The exponential maps on $B$ and $D$ fit together and define a local diffeomorphism and covering map $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$. Moreover,

1) $\tilde{M}$ is compact and simply connected;
2) with respect to the induced metric, $\tilde{M}$ is a Blaschke manifold with respect to $\tilde{p}:=0_{p}$, that is, $\operatorname{inj}_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{p})=\operatorname{rad}_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{p})$.
Proof. By the definition of $G$, the exponential maps on $B$ and $D$ fit together to a local diffeomorphism $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$. Since $\tilde{M}$ and $M$ are compact and connected, such a local diffeomorphism is a covering map. Now $B$ and $D$ are simply connected and intersect in a set diffeomorphic to $(0,1) \times S^{m-1}$. Hence $\tilde{M}$ is simply connected, by the Seifert-van Kampen theorem.

As for the last claim, note that the lines $(0,1) \times\{v\}$ in $(0,1) \times S \subseteq B$ correspond to the geodesics in $\tilde{M}$ issuing from $\tilde{p}$. Since they are pairwise disjoint, we conclude that $\operatorname{inj}_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{p})=1=\operatorname{rad}_{\tilde{M}}(\tilde{p})$.
Corollary 5.11. If $M$ is simply connected, then $M$ is a Blaschke manifold with respect to $p$.
5.2. Characterizations of pointed Blaschke manifolds. For a complete and connected Riemannian manifold $M$ and points $p, q \in M$, denote by $\Sigma_{p q} \subseteq S_{p} M$ the closed subset of unit vectors which are tangent to minimal geodesic segments from $p$ to $q$. For $q \in \operatorname{Cut}(p)$, denote by $C_{q}$ the set of unit vectors $u \in S_{q} M$ such that there is a sequence $\left(q_{n}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Cut}(p) \backslash\{q\}$ converging to $q$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$, where $u_{n}$ is the unit tangent vector at $q$ tangent to the minimal geodesic from $q$ to $q_{n}$.

We assume throughout Lemmata 5.12-5.15 that $M$ is a Blaschle manifold with respect to a given point $p \in M$ and let $l=\operatorname{rad}_{M}(p)$ and $q \in \operatorname{Cut}(p)$.
Lemma 5.12. For all $v \in \Sigma_{q p}$ and $w \in C_{q}$, we have $\langle v, w\rangle \leq 0$.
Proof. Choose a sequence $\left(q_{n}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Cut}(p) \backslash\{q\}$ such that the sequence of unit tangent vectors $w_{n}$ at $q$ pointing to $q_{n}$ converges to $w$. Then $\langle v, w\rangle>0$ would imply that $\left\langle v, w_{n}\right\rangle>0$ for all sufficiently large $n$. But then we would have $d\left(p, q_{n}\right)<d(p, q)$ for all sufficiently large $n$. On the other hand, we have $d\left(p, q_{n}\right)=d(p, q)=l=\operatorname{rad}_{M}(p)$ for all $n$ since $q_{n} \in \operatorname{Cut}(p)$.
Lemma 5.13. For all $u \in S_{q} M$ with $u \notin \Sigma_{q p} \cup C_{q}$, there exist $v \in \Sigma_{q p}$ and $w \in C_{q}$ with $\langle v, w\rangle=0$ such that $u=a v+b w$ with $a, b>0$.
Proof. Let $\sigma$ be the unit speed geodesic with $\dot{\sigma}(0)=u$. Then, since $u \notin C_{q}$, we have $d(p, \sigma(\varepsilon))<l=\operatorname{rad}_{M}(p)$ for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$. Therefore, for these there exists a unique minimal geodesic $\gamma_{\varepsilon}$ from $p$ to $p_{\varepsilon}=\sigma(\varepsilon)$. Then $q_{\varepsilon}=\gamma_{\varepsilon}(l) \in \operatorname{Cut}(p)$. Since $u \notin \Sigma_{q p}, q_{\varepsilon} \neq q$ for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$. Let $w_{\varepsilon}$ be the unit tangent vector at $q$ pointing at $q_{\varepsilon}$.

Since $d(p, \sigma(\varepsilon)) \rightarrow l$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have $d\left(p_{\varepsilon}, q_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and therefore $q_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow q$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. By compactness, there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ such that the sequences $\gamma_{n}=\gamma_{\varepsilon_{n}}$ and $w_{n}=w_{\varepsilon_{n}}$ converge to a minimal unit speed geodesic $\gamma$ from $p$ to $q$ and a unit tangent vector $w \in C_{q}$, respectively. By Lemma 5.12, we have $\angle(v, w) \geq \pi / 2$, where $v=-\dot{\gamma}(l) \in \Sigma_{q p}$.

Thus we obtain a sequence of isosceles geodesic triangles $\left(\left.\gamma\right|_{[0, l]},\left.\gamma_{n}\right|_{[0, l]}, \beta_{n}\right)$, where $\beta_{n}$ is the shortest geodesic segment from $q$ to $q_{n}=q_{\varepsilon_{n}}$, together with a sequence of points $p_{n}=\sigma\left(\varepsilon_{n}\right)$ on $\left.\gamma_{n}\right|_{[0, l]}$ such that the length of $\beta_{n}$ tends to 0 and $\gamma_{n} \rightarrow \gamma$. Using Fermi coordinates along $\gamma$, we see that, after rescaling the metric of $M$ so that $\beta_{n}$ has length one, we get a Euclidean half strip as a limit, with base $\beta$ of length one in the direction of $w$, with sides in the direction of $v$, and with interior angles $\pi / 2$ at the vertices $q$ and $q_{\infty}$ of the base. Moreover, the sequence $p_{n}$ tends to the limit point $p_{\infty}$ on the $q_{\infty}$-side which lies in the direction of $u$ as seen from $q$. Hence $\langle v, w\rangle=0$ and $u$ is a positive linear combination of $v$ and $w$.

Lemma 5.14. For all $v_{0}, v_{1} \in \Sigma_{q p}$ with $v_{0} \neq \pm v_{1}$, the great circle arc between $v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$ is contained in $\Sigma_{q p}$. That is, $\Sigma_{q p}$ is $\pi$-convex.

Proof. Let $u$ be on the great circle arc from $v_{0}$ to $v_{1}$ and assume that $u \neq \Sigma_{q p}$. Write $u=a v_{0}+b v_{1}$ with $a, b \geq 0$. Now $u \notin C_{q}$, by Lemma 5.12, since at least one of the angles between $u$ and $v_{0}$ or $u$ and $v_{1}$ is acute. Hence we can write $u=a^{\prime} v+b^{\prime} w$ with $v \in \Sigma_{q p}$ and $w \in C_{q}$ such that $\langle v, w\rangle=0$ and $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}>0$. By Lemma 5.12, the (spherical) distance between $w$ and $v_{0}$ and between $w$ and $v_{1}$ is at least $\pi / 2$, hence also the distance to $u$. This contradict $\langle v, w\rangle=0$ which says that the distance between $v$ and $w$ is $\pi / 2$ and hence that the distance between $w$ and $u$ is less than $\pi / 2$.

Lemma 5.15. For all $v \in \Sigma_{q p}$, there exists $v^{\prime} \in \Sigma_{q p}$ with $\left\langle v, v^{\prime}\right\rangle<0$.
Proof. In the decomposition of $\Sigma=\Sigma_{q p}$ as in Exercise 5.23, we cannot have $\Sigma=\Sigma_{2}$ since otherwise $\Sigma$ would be contained in an open hemisphere. But that is not possible, by Berger's lemma, since $q$ is at maximal distance from $p$. That is, $q$ is a critical point of the distance function $d(p,$.$) in the sense of$ Gromov-Grove-Shiohama. It follows that $\Sigma$ contains a unit vector $v_{0}$ such that $-v_{0}$ is also contained in $\Sigma$.

If $v$ is not perpendicular to $v_{0}$, then $\left\langle v, v^{\prime}\right\rangle<0$ for $v^{\prime}=v_{0}$ or $v^{\prime}=-v_{0}$, and the assertion follows. Hence we may assume that $\left\langle v, v_{0}\right\rangle=0$. Then the great circle arc from $v_{0}$ to $-v_{0}$ through $v$ is contained in $\Sigma$, by Lemma 5.14. This great circle arc gives rise to a smooth one-parameter family $\gamma_{s}, 0 \leq s \leq 1$, of minimal geodesics from $p$ to $q$ such that $\dot{\gamma}_{0}(l)=v_{0}$ and $\dot{\gamma}_{1}(l)=-v_{0}$. In particular, there are Jacobi fields $J_{0}$ and $J_{1}$ along $\gamma_{0}$ and $\gamma_{1}$, respectively, such that

$$
J_{0}(0)=J_{1}(0)=0, \quad J_{0}(l)=J_{1}(l)=0, \quad J_{0}^{\prime}(l)=J_{1}^{\prime}(l)=v
$$

Let now $w \in T_{q} M$ with $\langle v, w\rangle<0$. Assume first that $\left\langle v_{0}, w\right\rangle \leq 0$, and let $W$ be a smooth vector field along $\gamma_{0}$, perpendicular to $\gamma_{0}$, such that $W(0)=0$ and $W(l)=w$. For a constant $k>0$, to be determined later, let $V=J_{0}+k W$ and $\left(c_{s}\right)$ be a variation of $c_{0}=\gamma_{0}$ with $c_{s}(0)=p$ and variation field $V$ such that $\gamma=\gamma(s)=c_{s}(l)$ is the geodesic with initial velocity $k w$.

Then we have, for the length $L(s)$ of $c_{s}$,

$$
L(0)=l, \quad L^{\prime}(0)=k\left\langle u_{0}, w\right\rangle \leq 0, \quad L^{\prime \prime}(0)=I(V, V),
$$

where

$$
\left.I(V, V)=\int_{0}^{l}\left\{\left\langle V^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right\rangle-R\left(V, \dot{\gamma}_{0}\right) \dot{\gamma}_{0}, V\right\rangle\right\}
$$

denotes the index form of $\gamma_{0}$. Now $I\left(J_{0}, J_{0}\right)=0$ since $J_{0}$ is a Jacobi field along $\gamma_{0}$ vanishing at $t=0$ and $t=l$. Furthermore,

$$
I\left(J_{0}, k W\right)=\left\langle J_{0}^{\prime}(l), k W(l)\right\rangle=k\langle v, w\rangle<0 .
$$

Hence we get, for $k>0$ sufficiently small, that the first derivative of $L$ is nonpositive and the second is strictly negative. It follows that $d(p, \gamma(s))<l$ for all sufficiently small $s>0$. The same conclusion also follows if $\left\langle v_{0}, w\right\rangle \geq 0$, now using variations of $\gamma_{1}$. Thus all points close to $q$ in a direction sufficiently close to $-v$ have distance $<l$ to $p$ and, hence, do not belong to $\operatorname{Cut}(p)$. We conlude that $-v$ does not belong to $C_{q}$.

To arrive at the conclusion of the lemma, we may also assume that $-v$ is not contained in $\Sigma_{q p}$. By what we found above and Lemma 5.13, we may then write $-v=a v^{\prime}+b w$ with $v^{\prime} \in \Sigma_{q p}$ and $w \in C_{q}$ such that $\left\langle v^{\prime}, w\right\rangle=0$ and $a, b>0$. Then

$$
\left\langle v, v^{\prime}\right\rangle=-\left\langle a v^{\prime}+b w, v^{\prime}\right\rangle=-a<0 .
$$

Theorem 5.16. For any $p \in M$, the following conditions are equivalent:

1) $M$ is a Blaschke manifold with respect to $p$.
2) $\operatorname{Cut}_{T}(p) \subseteq T_{p} M$ is a round sphere about $0_{p}$.
3) For any $v \in S_{p} M$, we have $\gamma_{v}(2 \operatorname{cut}(v))=p$.
4) For any $q \in \operatorname{Cut}(p), \Sigma_{q p}$ is a great sphere in $S_{q} M$.

Proof. 1) $\Rightarrow 4$ ): This is the hardest step of the proof. Therefore we outsourced the mayor part of the arguments to the above Lemmata 5.12-5.15. By Lemma 5.14, $\Sigma_{q p}$ is a $\pi$-convex subset of $S_{q} M$. Hence $\Sigma_{q p}$ is the spherical join of two $\pi$-convex subsets $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ as in Exercise 5.23. Now $\Sigma_{2}=\emptyset$, by Lemma 5.15 , and hence $\Sigma=\Sigma_{1}$ is a great sphere.
4) $\Rightarrow 3)$ : Let $v \in S_{p} M$. Then $q=\gamma_{v}(\operatorname{cut}(v))$ is in the cut locus of $p$ and $-\dot{\gamma}_{v}(\operatorname{cut}(v))$ is tangent to $\gamma_{v}$ run backwards, a minimal geodesic from $q$ to $p$. Since the set of unit tangent vectors in $T_{q} M$ tangent to minimal geodesics from $q$ to $p$ is a great sphere in $S_{q} M$, we conclude that $\dot{\gamma}_{v}(\operatorname{cut}(v))$ is also tangent to a minimal geodesic from $q$ to $p$. In other words, $\gamma_{v}$ is back at $p$ at time $2 \operatorname{cut}(v)$.
$3) \Rightarrow 2$ ): Following the argument in the proof of Lemma 3.3, we show first that cut $=\operatorname{cut}(v)$ is not only continuous, but that it depends smoothly on $v \in S_{p} M$. To that end, we choose a sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$ and let $S(p, \varepsilon)=$ $\{q \in M \mid d(p, q)=\varepsilon\}$, the geodesic sphere of radius $\varepsilon$ about $p$. Then each $\gamma_{v}$, $v \in S_{p} M$, hits the hypersurface $S(p, \varepsilon)$ at time $t(v)=2 \operatorname{cut}(v)-\varepsilon$ and hits it transversally; in fact, perpendicularly. Hence $t=t(v)$ depends smoothly on $v \in S_{p} M$, by the implicit function theorem. It follows that $\operatorname{cut}(v)$ also depends smoothly on $v \in S_{p} M$.

Let now $v=v(s)$ be a curve in $S_{p} M$ and consider the corresponding geodesic variation $\gamma=\gamma_{s}(t)=\gamma_{v(s)}(t)$. Then we have $\gamma_{s}(2 \operatorname{cut}(v(s)))=p$,
and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\frac{d}{d s} \gamma_{s}(2 \operatorname{cut}(v(s))) \\
& =J(s, 2 \operatorname{cut}(v(s)))+2 \dot{\gamma}_{s}(2 \operatorname{cut}(v(s))) \cdot \frac{d \operatorname{cut}(v(s))}{d s},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $J=\partial \gamma / \partial s$ is the family of associated Jacobi fields. Since $J(s, 0)=0$ and $J^{\prime}(s, 0)=d v / d s, J(s, t)$ is perpendicular to $\dot{\gamma}_{s}(t)$, for all $s, t$. Hence the above calculation shows that $d \operatorname{cut}(v(s)) / d s$ vanishes. It follows that cut is constant on $S_{p} M$.
2) $\Rightarrow 1$ ): This is just a reformulation.

Corollary 5.17. If $M$ is a Blaschke manifold, then $\Sigma_{p q}$ is a great sphere in $S_{p} M$, for all $p \in M$ and $q \in \operatorname{Cut}(p)$. In particular, $S_{p} M$ is fibered into the great spheres $\Sigma_{p q}$, where $q \in \operatorname{Cut}(p)$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 5.16 by noting that $q$ belongs to $\operatorname{Cut}(p)$ if and only if $p$ belongs to $\operatorname{Cut}(q)$.

Corollary 5.17 is the motivation for attacking the generalized Blaschke conjecture via great sphere fibrations of spheres, as has been done in many studies.

Proposition 5.18. Let $M$ be a Blaschke manifold at $p$ and $l:=\operatorname{rad}_{M}(p)$. Then 1) $\left.\gamma_{v}\right|_{[0,2 l]}$ is a simple geodesic loop at $p$, for all $v \in S_{p} M$;
2) there is a $k \in\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ such that $\gamma_{v}$ does not have conjugate points on $(0, l)$ and $(l, 2 l)$ and such that $\gamma_{v}(l)$ is a conjugate point of $p$ along $\gamma_{v}$ of multiplicity $k$, for all $v \in S_{p} M$.

Proof. 1) is clear from Theorem 5.16. Since any $\gamma_{v}$ is minmal up to $l$, there is no conjugate point to $p$ along $\gamma_{v}$ up to time $l$. Now $p=\gamma_{v}(2 l)$ is conjugate to $p$ along $\gamma_{v}$ of multiplicity $m-1$ since all unit speed geodesics from $p$ come back to $p$ at time $2 l$. If a non-trivial Jacobi field $J$ along $\gamma_{v}$ with $J(0)=0$ would vanish at a time $t \in(l, 2 l)$, then, since $J(2 l)=0, \gamma_{v}(t)$ would be a conjugate point to $p$ along $\gamma_{w}$ at time $2 l-t \in(0, l)$, where $w=-\dot{\gamma}_{v}(2 l) \in S_{p} M$. It follows that only $\gamma_{v}(l)$ can possibly be a conjugate point to $p$ along $\gamma_{v}$. Since $\gamma_{v}(l+\varepsilon)$ is not a conjugate point of $p$ along $\gamma_{v}$ for any $v \in S_{p} M$, we get that the index of $\left.\gamma_{v}\right|_{[0, l+\varepsilon]}$ does not depend on $v \in S_{p} M$, hence is equal to a constant $k \in\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$. By what we said above, $k$ is the multiplicity of $\gamma_{v}(l)$ as a conjugate point of $p$ along $\gamma_{v}$.

Theorem 5.19. Let $M$ be a Blaschke manifold at $p$ with associated numbers $k$ and $l$ as in Proposition 5.18. Then we have:

1) If $k \geq 1$, then $M$ is a simply connected Allamigeon-Warner manifold of type ( $k, l$ ).
2) If $k=0$, then $\pi_{1}(M)=\mathbb{Z} / 2$ and $M$ is an Allamigeon-Warner manifold of type ( $m-1,2 l$ ).

Proof. The first claim is clear from Theorem 5.10. As for the second claim, we note that $M$ is an Allamigeon-Warner manifold of type ( $m-1,2 l$ ) since all unit speed geodesics starting in $P$ come back to $p$ at time $2 l$. It also follows that the covering $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ as in Theorem 5.10 is twofold.

Example 5.20. Let $k \in\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$ and $l>0$. Let $N$ be a compact manifold of dimension $m-k$ and $E \rightarrow N$ be a vector bundle of rank $k$. Let $D_{E}$ and $S_{E}$ be the disc and sphere bundle of $E$ with respect to some given Riemannian metric $h$ on $E$ as a vector bundle; that is, $h_{x}$ is an inner product on the fiber $E_{x}$, for each $x \in N$. Assume that $S_{E}$ is diffeomorphic to the sphere $S^{m-1}$. Identify the zero section of $E$ with $N$ and $D_{E} \backslash N$ with $(0, l) \times S_{E}$. Let $g_{E}$ be a Riemannian metric on $D_{E}$ such that the restriction of $g_{E}$ to $(0, l) \times S_{E}$ is of the form $d s^{2}+g_{E, s}$ where $g_{E, s}$ is a smooth family of Riemannian metrics on $S_{E}$.

Let $D^{m} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be the ball of radius $l$. Identify $D^{m} \backslash\{0\}$ with $(0, l) \times S^{m-1}$. Let $g_{D}$ be a Riemannian metric on $D$ such that the restriction of $g_{D}$ to $(0, l) \times S^{m-1}$ is of the form $d t^{2}+g_{D, t}$, where $g_{D, t}$ is a smooth family of Riemannian metrics on $S^{m-1}$.

Let $F: S_{E} \rightarrow S^{m-1}$ be a diffeomorphism. Then we obtain a compact manifold $M=D^{m} \cup_{F} D_{E}$ by identifying

$$
D^{m} \backslash\{0\} \ni(t, x)=(l-t, F(x)) \in D_{E} \backslash N
$$

The origin $0 \in D$ is a distinguished point of $M$ and will be denoted by $p$.
Let $\chi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a monotone smooth function such that $\chi(t)=1$ for $t \leq l / 3$ and $\chi(t)=0$ for $t \geq 2 l / 3$. Then we obtain a smooth Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$ by letting $g=g_{D}$ in a neighborhood of $p, g=g_{E}$ in a neighborhood of $N$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
g & =\chi(t)\left(d t^{2}+g_{D, t}\right)+(1-\chi(t))\left(d s^{2}+g_{E, l-t}\right) \\
& =d t^{2}+\chi(t) g_{D, t}+(1-\chi(t)) g_{E, 1-t}
\end{aligned}
$$

on $M \backslash(\{p\} \cup N)$, where we observe that $d s=d s(t)=-d t$. It follows easily that the $t$-lines are unit speed geodesics. As a consequence, $g$ turns $M$ into a Blaschke manifold of type $(k, l)$ with respect to $p$. Our previous discussion shows that any Riemannian manifold $M$, which is a Blaschke manifold of type ( $k, l$ ) with respect to some point $p \in M$, arises in this way.

Exercise 5.21. Let $N$ be a Riemannian manifold and $E \rightarrow N$ be a vector bundle of rank $k$. Let $h$ be a Riemannian metric on $E$ as a vector bundle over $N$, that is, $h_{x}$ is an inner product on the fiber $E_{x}$, for all $x \in N$.

1) Show that there is a Riemannian metric $g$ on $E$ such that the restriction $g_{x}$ of $g$ to the fiber $E_{x}$ coincides with $h_{x}$, for each $x \in N$, and such that $E \rightarrow N$ is a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers. Conclude that $g=g_{E}$ is a Riemannian metric on $E$ as required in Example 5.20.
2) Let $\left(\phi_{\alpha}\right)$ be a partition of unity subordinate to an open covering $\left(U_{\alpha}\right)$ of $N$ such that there are trivializations $f_{\alpha}: E_{\alpha} \rightarrow U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}^{k}$ of $E$ over $U_{\alpha}$ which preserve inner products on the fibers. Let $\left(g_{\alpha}\right)$ be a family of Riemannian metrics on the restrictions $D_{\alpha}$ of the disc bundle $D_{E}$ of $E$ to $U_{\alpha}$ such that each $g_{\alpha}$ is of the form $d s^{2}+g_{\alpha, s}$ with respect to the identification of $D_{\alpha} \backslash U_{\alpha}$ with $(0, l) \times U_{\alpha} \times S^{k-1}$ (induced by $f_{\alpha}$ ), where $g_{\alpha, s}$ is a family of Riemannian metrics on $U_{\alpha} \times S^{k-1}$ which is smooth in $s$, for each $\alpha$. Show that

$$
g_{E}=\sum \phi_{\alpha} g_{\alpha}
$$

is a Riemannian metric on $D_{E}$ as required in Example 5.20 and that, conversely, any such metric can be obtained in the described way.

Exercise 5.22. Discuss restrictions on the topology of compact manifolds $N$ which admit a vector bundle $E \rightarrow N$ whose sphere bundle is diffeomorphic to a sphere.

Exercise 5.23. Let $S$ be the unit sphere in some Euclidean space $E$ and $\Sigma$ be a closed $\pi$-convex subset of $S$. Show that there is an orthogonal (and possibly trivial) decomposition $E=E_{1} \oplus E_{2}$ such that $\Sigma_{1}=\Sigma \cap E_{1}$ is the unit sphere in $E_{1}$ and such that $\Sigma_{2}=\Sigma \cap E_{2}$ has circumradius $<\pi / 2$. Conclude also that $\Sigma$ is the spherical join of $\Sigma_{1}$ with $\Sigma_{2}$ if $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ are non-empty; that is, $\Sigma$ then consists of all points which lie on great circle arcs of length $\pi / 2$ from $\Sigma_{1}$ to $\Sigma_{2}$.
5.3. The cohomology of pointed Blaschke manifolds. Let $M$ be a Blaschke manifold with respect to some point $p \in M$. Assume that $M$ is simply connected and of type $(k, l)$, where $k \in\{1, \ldots, m-1\}$.

Fix a point $q \in M \backslash \operatorname{Cut}(p)$; that is, $d(p, q)=l-\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon \in(0, l)$. Then there is precisely one geodesic loop $\gamma$ at $p$ of length $2 l$ which passes through $q$, and $q$ divides $\gamma$ into two segments $\gamma_{1}$ of length $l-\varepsilon$ and $\gamma_{2}$ of length $l+\varepsilon$ from $p$ to $q$. All other geodesic segments from $p$ to $q$ are obtained by concatenation of geodesic loops at $p$ with $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$. Geodesic loops at $p$ have lengths $2 i l, i \geq 0$, and thus the set of geodesic segments from $p$ to $q$ is an infinite family $\left(\gamma_{n}\right), n \geq 1$, where the $\gamma_{2 i+1}$ and $\gamma_{2 i+2}$ are obtained by the concatenation of a geodesic loop of length $2 i l$ with $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$, respectively. Thus the set of geodesic segments from $p$ to $q$ is strictly ordered by length,

$$
L\left(\gamma_{2 i+1}\right)=(2 i+1) l-\varepsilon \quad \text { and } \quad L\left(\gamma_{2 i+2}\right)=(2 i+1) l+\varepsilon
$$

Note that $q$ is not a conjugate point along any geodesic segment from $p$ to $q$ and that the indices of the geodesic segments are given by

$$
\text { ind } \gamma_{2 i+1}=i(k+m-1) \quad \text { and } \quad \text { ind } \gamma_{2 i+2}=i(k+m-1)+k
$$

Thus the indices are strictly increasing with the lengths of the segments.
We now invoke the critical point theory of the length functional $L$ on the space $\Omega_{p q}$ of continuous paths $c:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ from $p$ to $q$. There are several ways of making critical point theory precise in this context. We assume that the reader is familiar with at least one of them.

By the first variation of the length, the critical points of $L$ are the geodesic segments from $p$ to $q$. Moreover, $L$ is a Morse function since $q$ is not a conjugate point of $p$ along any geodesic segment from $p$ to $q$.

Theorem 5.24. Let $M$ be a simply connected Blaschke manifold with respect to a point $p \in M$. Let $M$ be of type $(k, l)$, and let $q$ belong to $M \backslash \operatorname{Cut}(p)$. Then the length functional $L$ on $\Omega_{p q}$ is a perfect Morse function. In particular, we have

$$
H_{j}\left(\Omega_{p q}\right)= \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z} & \text { if } j=i(k+m-1) \text { for some } i \geq 0 \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text { if } j=i(k+m-1)+k \text { for some } i \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We enumerate the geodesic segments from $p$ to $q$ as above (and parameterize them on $[0,1])$. Then their lengths and indices increase strictly. Thus it suffices to show now that, through each geodesic segment $\gamma_{n} \in \Omega_{p q}$,
there is an embedded closed and orientable manifold $P_{n}$ in $\Omega_{p q}$ of dimension equal to ind $\gamma_{n}$ which consists of paths with lengths at most $L\left(\gamma_{n}\right)$. To that end, consider the foliation $\Phi$ of $S_{p} M$ into $k$-dimensional spheres as in Proposition 5.5. For $n=2 i+1$, let $P_{n}$ be the manifold of $2 i$-tuples $\left(v_{1}, w_{1}, \ldots, v_{i}, w_{i}\right)$ of vectors in $S_{p} M$, where each $w_{j}$ belongs to the same leaf of $\Phi$ as the corresponding $v_{j}$. Then $P_{n}$ is closed and orientable of dimension $i(k+m-1)$ as required. For each $\left(v_{j}, w_{j}\right)$, let $\sigma_{j}:[0,2 l] \rightarrow M$ be the geodesic loop at $p$ with initial velocity $v_{j}$ and $\sigma_{j}^{\prime}:[0, l] \rightarrow M$ be the geodesic segment with initial velocity $w_{j}$. Let $\sigma_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ be the restriction of $\sigma_{j}$ to its second half $[l, 2 l]$. Finally, associate to the tupel $\left(v_{1}, w_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}, w_{n}\right)$ the broken geodesic segment

$$
\sigma_{1}^{\prime} * \sigma_{1}^{\prime \prime} * \ldots \sigma_{i}^{\prime} * \sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime} * \gamma_{1} \in \Omega_{p q}
$$

Thus we have a natural embedding $P_{n} \rightarrow \Omega_{p q}$ as required.
For $n=2 i+2$, the construction is similar. In this case, we let $P_{n}$ be the manifold of $2 i+1$-tuples $\left(v_{1}, w_{1}, \ldots, v_{i}, w_{i}, w\right)$ of vectors in $S_{p} M$, where each $w_{j}$ belongs to the same leaf of $\Phi$ as the corresponding $v_{j}$ as above and where $w$ belongs to the same leaf of $\Phi$ as $\dot{\gamma}_{2}(0)$. To any such $(2 i+1)$-tuple we associate the broken geodesic segment

$$
\sigma_{1}^{\prime} * \sigma_{1}^{\prime \prime} * \ldots \sigma_{i}^{\prime} * \sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime} * \sigma^{\prime} * \gamma_{2}^{\prime \prime} \in \Omega_{p q}
$$

where the first part is as above, $\sigma^{\prime}:[0, l] \rightarrow M$ is the geodesic segment with initial velocity $w$, and $\gamma_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ is the restriction of $\gamma_{2}$ to $[l, l+\varepsilon]$.
Theorem 5.25. Let $M$ be a simply connected Blaschke manifold with respect to a point $p \in M$ and of type $(k, l)$. Then the cohomology of $M$ is generated by an element in degree $k+1$. In particular, the dimension of $M$ is a multiple of $k+1$.

Sketch of proof. Let $\Omega_{p}$ be the space of paths $c:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ with $c(0)=p$. Recall that the end point map

$$
\pi: \Omega_{p} \rightarrow M, \quad \pi(c)=c(1)
$$

is a Serre fibration. Since $M$ is simply connected, the Serre spectral sequence for cohomology applies,

$$
E_{2}^{i, j}=H^{i}\left(M, H^{j}\left(\Omega_{p q}\right)\right) \Longrightarrow H^{i+j}\left(\Omega_{p}\right)
$$

Since $\Omega_{p}$ is contractible, the assertion is now a rather straightforward consequence of diagram chasing, using Theorem 5.24 and the multiplicative properties of the Serre spectral sequence.

Results from topology imply that there are only the following possibilities:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
k=1, & m=2 n, \\
k=3, & m=4 n, \\
k=7, & m=16, \\
k=m-1, & m \geq 2 .
\end{array}
$$

These are realized by complex projective spaces, quaternionic projective spaces, the Cayley projective plane, and spheres. However, the construction in Example 5.20 shows that there exist further pointed Blaschke manifolds.

## 6. Harmonic spaces

In Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, the Laplace equation $\Delta f=0$ has the solution $\|x\|^{2-m}$ for $m>2$ and $\ln \|x\|$ for $m=2$, respectively. It is defined on $\mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash\{0\}$ and depends only on the distance of $x$ to 0 . We read in the literature, e.g., [4, Chapter 6], that, in 1930, Ruse tried to establish the existence of nontrivial solutions of the Laplace equation on a general Riemannian manifold $M$, depending only on the distance to a point $p$ in $M$. The attempt was doomed to fail, as is clear from today's perspective, but it was a productive failure.

Following Copson and Ruse [5], we say that $M$ is harmonic about a point $p$ in $M$ if, on some punctured neighborhood of $p, \Delta r=\phi(r)$ for some function $\phi$, where $r$ denotes the distance to $p$. We say that $M$ is a harmonic space if it is harmonic about each of its points. In [5], Copson and Ruse showed that geodesic spheres of sufficiently small radius in harmonic spaces are of constant mean curvature and that harmonic spaces are Einstein spaces. In particular, if the dimension of $M$ is 2 or 3 and $M$ is harmonic, then the curvature of $M$ is constant. This led Copson and Ruse to conjecture that harmonic spaces are of constant curvature, another productive error.

In [16], Lichnerowicz showed that non-flat harmonic spaces are irreducible and reproved the result of Copson and Ruse that harmonic spaces are Einstein spaces. He also oracled whether harmonic spaces, say complete and simply connected, are either flat or symmetric spaces of rank one. This became known as the Lichnerowicz conjecture and was studied in many articles. The conjecture holds true in dimension 4, as shown by Lichnerowicz and Walker. The conjecture had, and still has, its fascination and is the source of many insights and results in differential geometry. Today there are counterexamples to the conjecture, see below, but it holds true in the case where $M$ is compact and simply:

Theorem 6.1 (Szabó [22]). Let $M$ be a compact and simply connected harmonic space. Then $M$ is a compact rank one symmetric space.

Szabó's proof relies on important previous work, as exposited and developed by Besse and his friends, see [4]. In particular, his proof uses Besse's immersion as discussed on pages 174-178 in [4]. In these notes, we do not prove Theorem 6.1, but discuss Besse's immersion.

Given a compact and simply connected harmonic space of diameter $d$ and an eigenvalue $\lambda>0$ of the Laplacian $\Delta$ of $M$, there is a smooth $2 d$-periodic and even function $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\phi(0)=1$ such that, for any point $p \in M$, the function $f=f(q)=\phi(d(p, q))$ is a $\lambda$-eigenfunction of $\Delta$, see Subsection 6.3 below.

Theorem 6.2 (Besse's immersion). Let $\lambda, \phi$ be as above and $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{l}\right)$ be an orthonormal basis of the $\lambda$-eigenspace of $\Delta$ in $L^{2}(M)$. Then the mapping

$$
F: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{l}, \quad F(p):=C \cdot\left(f_{1}(p), \ldots, f_{l}(p)\right)
$$

is a minimal isometric immersion into the sphere $S^{l-1}(R)$ of radius $R$ in $\mathbb{R}^{l}$, where $C^{2}=\operatorname{vol} M / \lambda$ and $R^{2}=m / \lambda$. For all points $p, q$ in $M$,

$$
\|F(p)-F(q)\|=R \sqrt{2-2 \phi(d(p, q))} .
$$

The map $F$ as in Theorem 6.2 had been considered before [4], not in the context of harmonic spaces, however, but for compact homogeneous spaces. It occurs, for example, in the work [8] of do Carmo and Wallach on minimal isometric immersions of spheres into spheres. That the map $F$ works well for harmonic spaces is a nontrivial insight, and this development was a milestone in the theory of harmonic spaces. Below, we present a proof of Theorem 6.2 which avoids a somewhat unpleasant technical detail in the argument of Besse, concerned with Brownian motion or the fundamental solution of the heat equation and their properties, respectively, see Theorem 6.17 in [4].

A simplification of Szabó's original proof of Theorem 6.1, which avoids Besse's immersion, appeared in [18]. A short survey on recent results on harmonic spaces is contained in [15].
6.1. Preliminary remarks. Let $M$ be a complete and connected Riemannian manifold of dimension $m$, and let $p \in M$ be a point. For $v \in T_{p} M$, let $P_{v}$ be parallel translation along the geodesic $\gamma_{v}$ from $p=\gamma_{v}(0)$ to $\gamma_{v}(1)$. Then $\left.P_{v}^{-1} \circ d \exp _{p}\right|_{v}$ is an endomorphism of $T_{p} M$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{p}(v):=\operatorname{det}\left(\left.P_{v}^{-1} \circ d \exp _{p}\right|_{v}\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is well defined on all of $T_{p} M$ and depends smoothly on $p$ and $v$.
Choose $r_{0}>0$ such that $\exp _{p}: B_{0}\left(r_{0}\right) \rightarrow B_{p}\left(r_{0}\right)$ is a diffeomorphism, where $0=0_{p}$. If $g$ denotes the fundamental matrix of the Riemannian metric with respect to $\exp _{p}$ on $B\left(r_{0}\right)$, then we have, for all $v \in B\left(r_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{p}(v)=\sqrt{\operatorname{det} g}(v) . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that, for all $v, w \in T_{p} M$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.d \exp _{p}\right|_{t v}(t w)=J(t) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ is the Jacobi field along $\gamma_{v}$ with initial conditions $J(0)=0$ and $J^{\prime}(0)=w$. Hence, if $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{m}$ is a parallel frame along $\gamma_{v}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{p}(t v)=t^{-m} \operatorname{det}\left(J_{1}(t), \ldots, J_{m}(t)\right), \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{i}$ is the Jacobi field along $\gamma_{v}$ with initial conditions $J_{i}(0)=0$ and $J_{i}^{\prime}(0)=E_{i}(0)$, expressed as linear combination in the frame $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{m}$. If $v$ is a unit vector and $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{m}$ is orthonormal with $E_{1}=\dot{\gamma}_{v}$, we have $J_{1}(t)=t \gamma_{v}(t)$ and then, by the Gauss Lemma,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{p}(t v)=t^{1-m} \operatorname{det}\left(J_{2}(t), \ldots, J_{m}(t)\right) . \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $J(t)=\left(J_{2}(t), \ldots, J_{m}(t)\right)$ satisfies the Jacobi equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\prime \prime}+R J=0 \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial condition $J(0)=0$ and $J^{\prime}(0)=I$, where $R(t)$ is the matrix with entries

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j}(t):=\left\langle R\left(E_{i}(t), \dot{\gamma}_{v}(t)\right) \dot{\gamma}_{v}(t), E_{j}(t)\right\rangle, \quad 2 \leq i, j \leq m \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6.10. Let $\gamma=\gamma_{v}$, where $v \in T_{p} M$ is a unit vector. Let $t_{0}>0$ and $q:=\gamma_{w}\left(t_{0}\right)$, where $w:=-\dot{\gamma}\left(t_{0}\right)$. Then $\omega_{p}\left(t_{0} v\right)=\omega_{q}\left(t_{0} w\right)$.

Proof. Let $E_{1}=\dot{\gamma}, E_{2}, \ldots, E_{m}$ be an orthonormal frame along $\gamma$. Let $J=$ $\left(J_{2}, \ldots, J_{m}\right)$ be as above and $K:=\left(K_{2}, \ldots, K_{m}\right)$, where $K_{i}$ is the Jacobi field along $\gamma$ with $K_{i}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ and $K_{i}^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=E_{i}\left(t_{0}\right)$, expressed as a linear combination in the frame $E_{2}, \ldots, E_{m}, 2 \leq i \leq m$. Then

$$
\omega_{q}\left(t_{0} w\right)=(-t)^{1-m} \operatorname{det} K(0) .
$$

Now $K$ satisfies the Jacobi equation (6.9) as well, and hence

$$
J^{*} K^{\prime}-\left(J^{*}\right)^{\prime} K
$$

does not depend on $t$, by the symmetry of $R$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det} J\left(t_{0}\right) & =\operatorname{det}\left(J^{*}\left(t_{0}\right) K^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(J^{*} K^{\prime}-\left(J^{*}\right)^{\prime} K\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(-J^{*}(0)^{\prime} K(0)\right)=(-1)^{m-1} \operatorname{det} K^{\prime}(0),
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use $J(0)=K\left(t_{0}\right)=0$ and $J^{\prime}(0)=K^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=I$.
It is immediate from (6.9) that $W:=J^{\prime} J^{-1}$ satisfies the Riccati equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\prime}+W^{2}+R=0 . \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 6.12. Show that, along the given unit speed geodesic $\gamma=\gamma(r)$, $W=W(r)$ is equal to the corresponding Weingarten map of the geodesic sphere $S_{p}(r)$ of radius $r \in\left(0, r_{0}\right)$ about $p$ (with respect to the inner normal and written as a matrix with respect to the chosen frame along $\gamma$ ). Use (6.7) to conclude that the mean curvature $h(r):=\operatorname{tr} W(r)$ of $S_{p}(r)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h:=\frac{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega}+\frac{m-1}{r} . \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conclude also that $r h(r)$ extends naturally and smoothly to $\mathbb{R}$.
Lemma 6.14. The matrix function $t W=t W(t)$ extends smoothly to $a$ neighborhood of $t=0$ and

$$
\left.(t W)^{\prime \prime}\right|_{t=0}=-\frac{2}{3} R(0) .
$$

Proof. Since $J(0)=0$, we may write $J(t)=t V(t)$ in a neighborhood of $t=0$, where $V$ is smooth in $t$. We get

$$
J^{\prime}=V+t V^{\prime}, \quad J^{\prime \prime}=2 V^{\prime}+t V^{\prime \prime}, \quad J^{\prime \prime \prime}=3 V^{\prime \prime}+t V^{\prime \prime \prime}
$$

Hence

$$
V(0)=I, \quad V^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad 3 V^{\prime \prime}(0)=J^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=-R(0)
$$

where we recall (6.9). Now $t W=J^{\prime} V^{-1}$, hence $t W$ extends smoothly to $t=0$ with $(t W)^{\prime}=J^{\prime \prime} V^{-1}-J^{\prime} V^{-1} V^{\prime} V^{-1}$. Differentiating once more, the claimed equality follows by substituting the above values for $V, V^{\prime}$, and $V^{\prime \prime}$ at $t=0$.

Remark 6.15. Note that $R(0)$ represents the curvature tensor $R(., v) v$, written as a matrix with respect to the orthonormal basis $E_{2}, \ldots, E_{m}$ of $v^{\perp}$. The point of Lemma 6.14 is that we obtain $R(., v) v$ from $W$. Clearly, using higher derivatives of $t W$ at $t=0$, we get more information on the curvature tensor and its covariant derivatives in the direction of $v$.
6.2. Harmonic spaces. We say that $M$ is harmonic about $p$ if $\omega_{p}(v)$ depends only on $\|v\|$, for all $v \in T_{p} M$ with sufficiently small norm. We say that $M$ is harmonic if it is harmonic about any $p \in M$.

It is clear from (6.13) that $M$ is harmonic iff the mean curvature of geodesic spheres with sufficiently small radius is constant. The latter is mentioned as a consequence of harmonicity as defined in the introduction. However, from our discussion below it will become clear that the two definitions are equivalent.

Theorem 6.16. If $M$ is harmonic, then $M$ is an Einstein space and hence an analytic Riemannian manifold. Moreover, there is an even analytic function $\omega: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the associated volume density, such that $\omega_{p}(t v)=\omega(t)$ for any $p \in M$, unit vector $v \in T_{p} M$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. For any $p \in M$, unit tangent vector $v \in T_{p} M$, and $\gamma=\gamma_{v}$ as above,

$$
-\frac{2}{3} \operatorname{Ric}(v, v)=\left.(t \operatorname{tr} W)^{\prime \prime}\right|_{t=0}=\left.(t h(t))^{\prime \prime}\right|_{t=0}
$$

by Exercise 6.12 and Lemma 6.14. Hence harmonic manifolds are Einstein spaces. It follows that they are analytic Riemannian manifolds, see Theorem 5.2 in [7].

For analytic Riemannian manifolds, the functions $\omega_{p}$ are analytic. Hence, for any given $p \in M$, unit vectors $v_{1}, v_{2} \in T_{p} M$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\omega_{p}\left(t v_{1}\right)=\omega_{p}\left(t v_{2}\right)$, by the unique continuation property of analytic functions. In particular, $\omega_{p}(-t v)=\omega_{p}(t v)$, for any unit vector $v \in T_{p} M$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and hence there exists an even analytic function $\omega_{p}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\omega_{p}(t v)=$ $\omega_{p}(t)$ for any unit vector $v \in T_{p} M$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, $\omega(p, t)=\omega_{p}(t)$ is smooth in $p$ and $t$.

To show that $\omega_{p}$ does not depend on $p$, let $p \in M$ and $u \in T_{p} M$, and assume $\omega_{t}(p):=\omega_{p}(t) \neq 0$. Let $v \in T_{p} M$ be a unit vector perpendicular to $u$ and $q=\exp _{p}(v)$. By Lemma 6.10 , we have $\omega_{q}(t w)=\omega_{p}(t v)$, where $w=-\dot{\gamma}_{v}(t)$, and hence $\omega_{t}(q) \neq 0$. In particular, $p$ is not conjugate to $q$ along $\gamma_{w}$. Hence there is a smooth curve $w_{s},-\epsilon<s<\epsilon$, of unit tangent vectors in $T_{q} M$ with $w(0)=w$ such that the curve $c(s):=\exp _{q}(t w(s))$ has derivative $u$ in $s=0$. Then $\omega_{t}(c(s))=\omega_{t}(q)=\omega_{t}(p)$, again by Lemma 6.10. Hence the derivative of $\omega_{t}$ in the direction of $u$ vanishes. Since $\omega$ is smooth in $p$ and $t$, we get that the derivative of $\omega_{t}$ vanishes for each $t$, and hence $\omega_{t}$ is constant.

Suppose from now on that $M$ is harmonic with associated volume density $\omega$. Then there are two cases, by Theorem 6.16: Either $\omega$ does not have (real) zeroes, then $M$ does not have conjugate points, and then $\exp _{p}: T_{p} M \rightarrow M$ is the universal covering, for any $p \in M$. In particular, the universal covering space of $M$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. Or, else, $\omega$ does have a first positive zero $l$ (where we recall that $\omega(0)=1$ ). Then $M$ does have conjugate points, and, in fact, the first conjugate point along each unit speed geodesic in $M$ occurs precisely at time $l$ and has multiplicity $k \in\{1, \ldots, m-1\}$ equal to the order of vanishing of $\omega$ at $t=l$. Thus we arrive at the theorem of Allamigeon, see [1, 2] and also Theorem 6.82 in [4]:

Theorem 6.17. Let $M$ be a compact harmonic manifold with associated volume density $\omega$ and first positive zero $l$ of $\omega$. Then $M$ is an AllamigeonWarner manifold of type $(k, l)$ with $k$ and $l$ as above.

Assume from now on that $M$ is a compact and simply connected harmonic manifold with associated volume density $\omega$ and first positive zero $d$ of $\omega$. Let $p \in M$. Then the cut locus $C(p) \subseteq M$ is a submanifold of dimension $n$ independent of $p$. Unit speed geodesics starting from $p$ hit $C(p)$ perpendicularly at time $d$ and, vice versa, for any unit normal vector $v$ of $C(p)$, the geodesic $\gamma_{v}$ hits $p$ at time $d$. Moreover, such geodesics from a point $q \in C(p)$ correspond precisely to a great sphere of dimension $m-n-1$ in the unit sphere $S_{p} M$ in $T_{p} M$, thus giving rise to a smooth foliation of $S_{p} M$ by great ( $m-n-1$ )-spheres.
Lemma 6.18. Let $p \in M$. For a smooth function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let $\bar{f}$ : $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function which associates to $q \in M$ the average of $f$ over the geodesic sphere $S_{p}(r)$ if $r:=d(p, q)<d$ and over $N:=C(p)$ if $d(p, q)=r$. Then $\bar{f}$ is $p$-radial about $p$ and smooth.
Proof. With $N=\{p\}$, smoothness of $\bar{f}$ in $M \backslash C(p)$ is immediate from Lemma A.3. Now with $N=C(p)$, we have $S_{p}(r)=S_{N}(d-r)$. Hence smoothness of $\bar{f}$ in $M \backslash\{p\}$ is also immediate from Lemma A.3.
Lemma 6.19. Let $p \in M$. Then, via $f(q)=\phi(d(p, q))$, $p$-radial smooth functions $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are in one to one correspondence with $2 d$-periodic even smooth functions $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
Proof. Define $\phi:[0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\phi(r):=\bar{f}(q)$, where $d(p, q)=r$. Since $\bar{f}$ is smooth, all derivatives of odd order of $\phi$ at $r=0$ and $r=d$ vanish. Hence the extension of $\phi$ to an even and $2 d$-periodic function on $\mathbb{R}$ is smooth. The converse is clear: any such function gives rise to a smooth $p$-radial function.

As for the $L^{2}$-norms of a given radial function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the related $2 d$-periodic even function $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L^{2}(M)}^{2}=\operatorname{vol} S^{m-1} \int_{[0, d]} \phi^{2} r^{m-1} \omega(r) d r=:\|\phi\|_{\omega}^{2} \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

6.3. Besse's immersion. Suppose again that $M$ is simpy connected, compact, and harmonic with diameter $d$. Let $p \in M$. For a smooth $p$-radial function $f$ on a normal geodesic ball $B_{p}(d)$ about $p$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta f=-f^{\prime \prime}-h f^{\prime} \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ denotes the mean curvature of the geodesic spheres about $p$ as in (6.13). We keep in mind that $h$ is an analytic function on $(0, d)$ which only depends on $r$.

We are interested in radially symmetric $\lambda$-eigenfunctions of $\Delta$, where $\lambda>0$ is given: On $[0, d)$, we want to solve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime \prime}+h \phi^{\prime}+\lambda \phi=0 . \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6.13), $r=0$ is a regular singular point of this linear ordinary differential equation, and, therefore, it has precisely one solution $\phi:[0, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with
$\phi(0)=1$, and $\phi$ is analytic on $[0, d)$. It follows easily that the $p$-radial funtion $f$ as in Lemma 6.19 is a $\lambda$-eigenfunction of $\Delta$ on $B_{p}(d)$ and, hence, that $\phi$ extends to an even analytic function on $(-d, d)$, also denoted by $\phi$; that is, $\phi:(-d, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an even analytic function. This holds for any $\lambda>0$.

Now we start with a $\lambda$-eigenfunction $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of $\Delta$. Then the average $\bar{f}$ of $f$ as in Lemma 6.18 is again a $\lambda$-eigenfunction of $\Delta$, by harmonicity, and hence the associated $2 d$-periodic even analytic function $\phi$ as in Lemma 6.19 satisfies (6.22) on ( $0, d$ ) with initial condition $\phi(0)=f(p)$. Conversely, any such function $\phi$ satisfying (6.22) on $(0, d)$ corresponds to an eigenfunction of the Laplacian for the eigenvalue $\lambda$.
Lemma 6.23. If $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a p-radial $\lambda$-eigenfunction with $f(p)=0$, then $f=0$.
Proof. This is a restatement of the fact that a solution $\phi$ of (6.22) with $\phi(0)=0$ vanishes.

Let $V_{\lambda} \subseteq L^{2}(M), \lambda>0$, be an eigenspace of $\Delta$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the corresponding $2 d$-harmonic even analytic function $\phi$ satisfying (6.22) on $(0, d)$ with initial condition $\phi(0)=1$.
Lemma 6.24. For any be an orthonormal basis $B=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{l}\right)$ of $V_{\lambda}$ and pair of points $p, q$ in $M$,

$$
\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} f_{i}(p) f_{i}(q)=\frac{m}{\operatorname{vol} M} \cdot \phi(d(p, q))
$$

Proof. It is clear that the left hand side does not depend on the choice of $B$, which gives us the freedom of making a convenient choice, for any given $p \in M$.

Let $f: V_{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $\lambda$-eigenfunction of $\Delta$ of $L^{2}$-norm 1 , and choose $q \in M$ with $f(q) \neq 0$. Then the average $\bar{f}$ of $f$ as in Lemma 6.18 , but now with averages centered at $q$, is a $q$-radial $\lambda$-harmonic function of $L^{2}$-norm 1 with $\bar{f}(q)=f(q) \neq 0$. Hence the $2 d$-harmonic even analytic function $\phi_{1}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ associated to $\bar{f}$ as in Lemma 6.19 has norm $\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{\omega}=1$ and satisfies (6.22) on $(0, d)$ with initial condition $\phi_{1}(0)=f(p) \neq 0$. Hence the corresponding $p$-radial function $f_{1}$ is a $\lambda$-harmonic function of $L^{2}$-norm 1 with $f_{1}(p) \neq 0$.

The kernel of the linear map $\epsilon: V_{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \epsilon(f):=f(p)$, consists of the space of $\lambda$-harmonic functions vanishing at $p$ and is a compliment of the line $\mathbb{R} f_{1}$. Let $f \in \operatorname{ker} \epsilon$. Then the average $\bar{f}$ of $f$ as in Lemma 6.18 is a $p$-radial $\lambda$-eigenfunction of $\Delta$ with $\bar{f}(p)=0$, and hence $\bar{f}=0$, by Lemma 6.23. Since $f_{1}$ is $p$-radial, we get

$$
\left\langle f_{1}, f\right\rangle_{L^{2}(M)}=\left\langle f_{1}, \bar{f}\right\rangle_{L^{2}(M)}=0
$$

and therefore $\operatorname{ker} \epsilon$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathbb{R} f_{1}$ in $V_{\lambda}$. Hence, for any orthonormal basis $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{l}\right)$ of $V_{\lambda}$ starting with the given $f_{1}$, the remaining functions $f_{2}, \ldots, f_{l}$ belong to ker $\epsilon$. But then

$$
\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} f_{i}(p) f_{i}(q)=f_{1}(p) f_{1}(q)=\phi_{1}(0) \phi_{1}(d(p, q))
$$

In particular, $\sum f_{i}(p)^{2}=\phi_{1}(0)^{2}$ does not depend on $p$ and hence

$$
\phi_{1}(0)^{2} \operatorname{vol} M=\int_{M} \sum f_{i}(p)^{2}=\sum\left\|f_{i}\right\|_{L^{2}(M)}^{2}=m
$$

Now $\phi_{1}=\phi_{1}(0) \phi$ and the asserted equality follows.
Proof of Theorem 6.2. We use Lemma 6.18 a couple of times, firstly by observing that the image of $F$ is contained in $S^{l-1}(R)$. For $p \in M$ and $v \in T_{p} M$ a unit vector, we have

$$
\|d F(v)\|^{2}=C^{2} \sum\left|d f_{i}(v)\right|^{2}
$$

Differentiating $\sum f_{i}^{2}=$ const twice, we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum\left|d f_{i}(v)\right|^{2} & =-\sum f_{i}(p) \operatorname{Hess} f_{i}(v, v) \\
& =-\left.\sum f_{i}(p) f_{i}\left(\gamma_{v}(t)\right)^{\prime \prime}\right|_{t=0}=-m \phi^{\prime \prime}(0) / \operatorname{vol} M
\end{aligned}
$$

hence the left hand side does not depend on $v$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
m \sum\left|d f_{i}(v)\right|^{2} & =\sum_{i, j}\left|d f_{i}\left(v_{j}\right)\right|^{2}=-\sum_{i, j} f_{i}(p) \operatorname{Hess} f_{i}\left(v_{j}, v_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum f_{i}(p) \Delta f_{i}(p)=\lambda \sum f_{i}(p)^{2}=\lambda m / \operatorname{vol} M
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right)$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_{p} M$. Hence $F$ is an isometric imersion. Since $\Delta F=\lambda F$ is a multiple of $F$, it is perpendicular to $S^{l-1}(R)$, and hence $F$ is a minimal immersion into $S^{l-1}(R)$. The remaining assertions follow since $\langle F(p), F(q)\rangle=C^{2} \sum f_{i}(p) f_{i}(q)$.

## Appendix A. Some background material

Let $M$ be an affine manifold, i.e., a manifold together with a connection. For a non-trivial geodesic $\gamma: I \rightarrow M$ and $t_{0} \neq t_{1}$ in $I$, we say that $t_{0}$ and $t_{1}$ are conjugate along $\gamma$ and that $\gamma\left(t_{0}\right)$ and $\gamma\left(t_{1}\right)$ are conjugate points along $\gamma$ if there is a non-trivial Jacobi field $J$ along $\gamma$ which vanishes at $t_{0}$ and $t_{1}$. Such Jacobi fields are perpendicular to $\gamma$.

Exercise A.1. Recall the two different ways in which conjugate points play a role, namely as critical values of the exponential map and, in the Riemannian case, for determining index and nullity of geodesics (Morse index theorem).

Exercise A.2. Let $M$ be a semi-Riemannian manifold and $\left(\phi_{t}\right)$ be the flow of a vector field $X$ on $M$. Show that the flow maps $\phi_{t}$ are isometric if and only if $\nabla X$ is a field of skew-symmetric endomorphisms. If this holds, then $X$ is called a Killing field. Show that

1) for any geodesic $\gamma: I \rightarrow M,\langle\dot{\gamma}, X\rangle$ is constant along $\gamma$;
2) for any geodesic $\gamma: I \rightarrow M, X \circ \gamma$ is a Jacobi field;
3) $X$ is complete if $M$ is a complete Riemannian manifold.
A.1. Mean averages. Let $N \subseteq M$ be a compact submanifold of dimension $n$. Fix an $r_{0}>0$ such that $d_{N}: B\left(r_{0}\right) \backslash N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth. Then $S(r)$ is a smooth submanifold of $M$, for all $0 \leq r<r_{0}$.

Lemma A.3. Let $f: B\left(r_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function. For $0 \leq r<r_{0}$ and $p \in S(r)$, let $\bar{f}(p)$ be the mean of $f$ over $S(r)$ with respect to the induced volume element. Then $\bar{f}: B\left(r_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth.

Proof. Let $\phi: U \rightarrow V$ be a local parameterization of $N$, where $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $V \subseteq N$ are open subsets and $n=\operatorname{dim} N$. Let $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{k}$ be an orthonormal frame of the normal bundle of $N$ over $V$, where $k=m-n$. Let $D$ be the open disc of radius $r_{0}$ about 0 in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$. Then

$$
\psi: U \times D \rightarrow B\left(r_{0}\right), \quad \psi(x, y):=\exp \left(\sum y^{i} E_{i}(\phi(x))\right)
$$

is a parameterization of its image, $W$, in $B\left(r_{0}\right)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that the support of $f$ is contained in $W$.

Let $g$ be the fundamental matrix of the Riemannian metric of $M$ in the parameterization $\psi$. Since grad $d_{N}$ is a smooth vector field of norm one perpendicular to the hypersurfaces $S(r), 0<r<r_{0}$, the induced volume element along these is given by the square root of $\operatorname{det} g$. Since the frame $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{k}$ is orthonormal, the induced volume element along $N=S(0)$ is also given by the square root of det $g$. Now $\mathrm{SO}(k)$ acts transitively on spheres about 0 in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ and hence

$$
\bar{f}(\psi(x, y))=\frac{\int_{U} \int_{\mathrm{SO}(k)} f(\psi(x, A y)) \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g(x, A y)} d \mu(A) d x}{\int_{U} \int_{\mathrm{SO}(k)} \sqrt{\operatorname{det} g(x, A y)} d \mu(A) d x}
$$

where $\mu$ denotes normalized Haar measure of $\mathrm{SO}(k)$.
A.2. Higher helices. Let $I$ be an open interval and $c: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a smooth curve. We say that $c$ is a helix if the inner products $\left\langle c^{(i)}(t), c^{(j)}(t)\right\rangle, i, j \geq 1$, do not depend on $t$. Suppose that $c$ is a helix. Then, for all $i, j \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left\langle c^{(i)}, c^{(j)}\right\rangle^{\prime}=\left\langle c^{(i+1)}, c^{(j)}\right\rangle+\left\langle c^{(i)}, c^{(j+1)}\right\rangle \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle c^{(i-k)}, c^{(j+k)}\right\rangle=-\left\langle c^{(i)}, c^{(j)}\right\rangle \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i, j \geq 1$ and $k$ with $i-k, j+k \geq 1$. For $i, j, l \geq 1$ with $i+j=2 l+1$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle c^{(i)}, c^{(j)}\right\rangle= \pm\left\langle c^{(l)}, c^{(l+1)}\right\rangle= \pm \frac{1}{2}\left\langle c^{(l)}, c^{(l)}\right\rangle^{\prime}=0 \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t \in I$. Then there is a first $k \leq n$ such that $c^{(k+1)}(t)$ is linearily dependent on $c^{\prime}(t), \ldots, c^{(k)}(t)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{(k+1)}(t)=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} a_{i} c^{(i)}(t) \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the inner products $\left\langle c^{(i)}, c^{(j)}\right\rangle$ are constant, this equation holds for all $t \in I$, and thus (A.7) is a linear ordinary differential equation for $c$ of order $k+1$ with constant coefficients $a_{0}=0, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$, the associated differential equation. By the choice of $k, c^{\prime}(t), \ldots, c^{(k)}(t)$ are linearily independent for all $t \in I$. Note that not any linear ordinary differential equation is associated to a helix. For example, the equation $c^{\prime \prime}=c^{\prime}$ is not such an associated equation.

A solution of the associated differential equation (A.7) is uniquely determined by its initial conditions $c\left(t_{0}\right), c^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right), \ldots, c^{(k)}\left(t_{0}\right)$ at any given time $t_{0} \in I$. Moreover, for any affine function $F$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}, F \circ c$ is also a solution of (A.7). Note also that the maximal domain of definition of a solution of (A.7) is the real line $\mathbb{R}$. From what we just said it follows easily that the maximal solution containing $c$ is a helix. In other words, we can assume without loss of generality that $I=\mathbb{R}$.

Proposition A.8. The following are equivalent:

1) $c$ is a helix.
2) The function $\|c(t+s)-c(t)\|$ depends only on $s$.

Proof. Let $t_{0}, t_{1} \in I$. Since the inner products $\left\langle c^{(i)}, c^{(j)}\right\rangle$ are constant, there is an orthogonal transformation $A$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $A\left(c^{(i)}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)=c^{(i)}\left(t_{0}\right)$, for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $F$ be the affine map of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $F(x)=A x+b$, where $b=c\left(t_{0}\right)-A c\left(t_{1}\right)$. Then $F \circ c$ solves (A.7) and has the same initial conditions at $t=t_{1}$ as $c$ at $t=t_{0}$. Hence $(F \circ c)\left(t_{1}+s\right)=c\left(t_{0}+s\right)$, and therefore, since $F$ is a Euclidean motion,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|c\left(t_{1}+s\right)-c\left(t_{1}\right)\right\| & =\left\|F\left(c\left(t_{1}+s\right)\right)-F\left(c\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right\| \\
& =\left\|c\left(t_{0}+s\right)-c\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Now assume conversely that the function $\|c(t+s)-c(t)\|$ depends only on $s$ and set

$$
f(s):=\|c(t+s)-c(t)\|^{2}=\langle c(t+s)-c(t), c(t+s)-c(t)\rangle
$$

By the product rule for higher derivatives,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(k)}(0)=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k-1}\binom{k}{i}\left\langle c^{(i)}(t), c^{(k-i)}(t)\right\rangle, \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we recall that the expression does not depend on $t$. In particular, $\left\langle c^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is constant, and, therefore, $\left\langle c^{\prime \prime}, c^{\prime}\right\rangle=0$. Let $k \geq 4$ be even and assume inductively that $\left\langle c^{(i)}, c^{(j)}\right\rangle$ is constant, for all $i, j \geq 1$ with $i+j \leq k-1$. Assume that $k \geq 4$ is even, $k=2 l$. Then, by (A.5) and (A.9),

$$
f^{(k)}(0)=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k-1}\binom{k}{i}(-1)^{l+i}\left\langle c^{(l)}, c^{(l)}\right\rangle=2(-1)^{l+1}\left\langle c^{(l)}, c^{(l)}\right\rangle,
$$

where we recall that

$$
\sum_{0 \leq i \leq k}(-1)^{i}\binom{k}{i}=0 .
$$

We conclude that $\left\langle c^{(l)}, c^{(l)}\right\rangle$ is constant and, therefore, that $\left\langle c^{(i)}, c^{(j)}\right\rangle$ is constant for all $i, j \geq 1$ with $i+j=2 l=k$. Hence $\left\langle c^{(l+1)}, c^{(l)}\right\rangle=0$, and hence also $\left\langle c^{(i)}, c^{(j)}\right\rangle=0$ for all $i, j \geq 1$ with $i+j=2 l+1=k+1$. Hence $c$ is a helix.

Remark A.10. Using the associated differential equation (A.7) we see that, for any $t_{0} \in I, c$ is contained in the $k$-dimensional affine subspace through $c\left(t_{0}\right)$ spanned by $c^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right), \ldots, c^{(k)}\left(t_{0}\right)$. Normalizing $c$ by $c\left(t_{0}\right)=0$, we get that $c$ is contained in the linear subspace $\mathbb{R}^{k} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ spanned by $c^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right), \ldots, c^{(k)}\left(t_{0}\right)$. In this $\mathbb{R}^{k}, c$ possesses a Frenet frame and is a curve of constant curvatures with respect to it. Conversely, any curve with a Frenet frame and constant curvatures with respect to it is a helix.
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