

Let  $V = (V_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{V}, \nabla, F, S)$  be a  $\mathbb{R}$ -PVHS of weight  $k$  with unipotent monodromy on  $\Delta_t^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 < |z| < 1\}$ . Let  $T \in \text{End}(\mathcal{V}, \bar{\mathcal{V}})$  be the monodromy corresponding to the counterclockwise generator loop of  $\Delta^*$  and set  $N = \log(T) \in \text{End}(\mathcal{V}, \bar{\mathcal{V}})$ . 17/06

Consider the connection  $\nabla^c = \nabla - \frac{1}{2i\pi} N(z) \frac{dz}{z}$  on  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \Delta^*$ .

Its monodromy is trivial, hence we get a privileged trivialization of  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \Delta^*$  and a privileged extension  $\mathcal{V}^c \rightarrow \Delta$  still endowed with a trivialization  $\mathcal{V}^c \cong \mathcal{V} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$

Prop  $\nabla^c N = 0, \nabla^c S = 0$

In other words  $N$  and  $S$  are constant in the trivialization associated to  $\nabla^c$

Thm (Schmid)

- 1)  $\{F\}$  extends to  $\mathcal{V}^c \rightarrow \Delta$  as a filtration by subbundle
- 2) let  $\{F_{\text{nil}}\}$  be the unique filtration of  $\mathcal{V}^c \rightarrow \Delta$  satisfying:
 
$$\begin{cases} F_{\text{nil}}(0) = F(0) \\ \nabla^c F_{\text{nil}} \subset F_{\text{nil}} \end{cases}$$

The data  $V_{\text{nil}} = (V_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathcal{V}, \nabla, F_{\text{nil}}, S)$  defines a  $\mathbb{R}$ -PVHS of weight  $k$  with unipotent monodromy with the same Hodge numbers as  $V$  on  $\Delta_{\varepsilon}^*$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$

Remark 1)  $V_{\text{nil}}$  extends to  $\mathbb{C}^*$

2)  $V_{\text{nil}}$  is completely determined by the following data  $((V_{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{Z}}, V, N, F_{\text{lin}}, S)$

$$\mathcal{V} = V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*}, \nabla = d + \frac{1}{2i\pi} N \frac{dz}{z}$$

$$F'_{\text{nil}} = F_{\text{lin}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*}, S = S \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^*}$$

$$(V_{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{Z}} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(z) N\right) V_{\mathbb{R}}$$

QUESTION When does such data  $(V_{\mathbb{R}}, V, N, F, S)$  define a R-PVHS of weight  $k$

with nilpotent monodromy in a nbhd of 0 in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ ?

When it is the case, the corresponding nilpotent orbit

Need 1)  $N$  is nilpotent

4)  $N$  and  $S$  are real

2)  $N(F^p) \subset F^{p-1}$

5)  $S(F^p, F^{k-p+1}) = 0 \forall p$

3)  $S(N \cdot, \cdot) + S(\cdot, N \cdot) = 0$

1-5) are necessary but not sufficient.

Lemma (Deligne)  $\forall$   $k$ -vector space ( $k$  field)  $N \in \text{End}_k V$  nilpotent

$\exists!$  unique increasing exhaustive filtration  $W_{\bullet}$  ( $= W_{\bullet}(N)$ ) on  $V$  s.t.

1)  $N(W_e) = W_{e-2}$

2)  $N^e: \text{Gr}_e^W V \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gr}_{e-2}^W V$

Moreover if  $P_\ell(N) = \ker(N^{\ell+1} : G_{\ell}^W V \rightarrow G_{-\ell-2}^W V)$  then

$$G_{\ell}^W V = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} N^j (P_{\ell+2j}(N))$$

Thm (Schmid) If  $(k, V_{\mathbb{R}}, V, N, F; S)$  define a nilpotent orbit, then

1)  $N^{k+1} = 0$

2)  $\forall z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $(\exp(\frac{1}{2i\pi} N \log(z)) V_{\mathbb{R}}, V, F, W[-k])$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -MHS

graded-polarized by  $(N, S)$  i.e.: -  $N$  is real

-  $N$  is type  $(-1, -1)$  ( $N(F) \subset F^{-1}, N(W) \subset W_{-1}$ )

- the pure HS of weight  $k+l$  induced by  $F$  on

$P_\ell(N)$  is polarized by  $S_\ell(\cdot, \cdot) = S(\cdot, N^\ell \cdot)$

Thm (Cattani-Kaplan-Schmid)

Let  $(k, V_{\mathbb{R}}, V, N, F; S)$  as before. Assume that this data satisfy 2), ..., 5)

+  $N^{k+1} = 0$  +  $(W[-k], F)$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -MHS on  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  graded polarized by  $(N, S)$

Then it defines a nilpotent orbit.

Dependence on the coordinate  $X$  complex manifold of dim 1,  $p \in X$

Let  $V \in \mathbb{R}$ -PVHS on  $X^* = X \setminus \{p\}$  with unipotent local monodromy around  $p$

Let  $z: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  hol. function satisfying  $z(p) = 0$   $(dz)_p: TX_p \cong \mathbb{C}$

$(V_R, \mathcal{U}, \nabla, F, S)$

In general the construction depends on the choice of the coordinate (just on the first derivative)

but it is canonical on  $(dz)_p(TX_p \setminus \{0\})$