Exercises for Algebra II Bonn, WS 2012/13 Dr. Geordie Williamson Hanno Becker

# Sheet 7

Hand in on Thursday, 29th November, prior to the lecture.

## Exercise 1

A free unital commutative k-algebra on I is a pair (A, f) consisting of a unital, commutative k-algebra A together with a map of sets  $f: I \to A$  such that any map of sets  $g: I \to B$  with B another unital, commutative k-algebra factors uniquely through a homomorphism of unital k-algebras  $A \to B$ .

Show that the polynomial ring  $k[X_i \mid i \in I]$  together with the map  $i \mapsto X_i$  is a free unital commutative k-algebra on I. (4 points)

## Exercise 2

Fix a field k and a set I. A *free unital* k-algebra on I is defined as in Exercise 1, removing the commutativity assumption everywhere.

Let  $(F(I), I \to F(I))$  be a free Lie-algebra on I. Show that  $\mathcal{U}(F(I))$  together with the composition  $I \to F(I) \to \mathcal{U}(F(I))$  is a free unital k-algebra on I. (4 points)

(If you know about adjoint functors: what's the general statement behind here?)

#### Exercise 3

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra over a field. Show that the universal enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g}$  has no zero-divisors. (*Hint: Use the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt-Theorem*) (4 points)

#### Exercise 4

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra over a field k.

(a) Let  $D : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$  be a derivation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Show that there exists a unique derivation  $\widetilde{D} : \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{U}\mathfrak{g}$  such that the following diagram commutes:



(*Hint:* Express derivations on an algebra A in terms of special algebra homomorphisms to the matrix algebra  $\begin{pmatrix} A & A \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$ .) (3 points)

(b) Show that 
$$\widetilde{\operatorname{ad}(x)} = [\iota(x), -]$$
 for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ . (1 point)

### \*-Exercise

In this last exercise we construct a basis for the free Lie algebra F(I) on a set I, where we realize F(I) concretely inside the k-algebra T(I) of formal k-linear combinations of words in I (a concrete model for the free unital k-algebra on I) as the Lie subalgebra generated by elements of I (considered as one-element words).

Equip I with a linear order <, and also denote by < the induced lexicographic order on the set  $I^*$  of words in I. A non-empty word  $w \in I^*$  is called a Lyndon word if it satisfies one of the following two equivalent conditions:

L1) w is smaller than any of its cyclic rotations.

L2) w is either a single letter or w = uv for Lyndon words u, v with u < v.

Let  $I_L^* \subset I^*$  be the set of Lyndon words. To any Lyndon word w, we can associate an element  $\iota(w)$  of F(I) as follows:

- $\iota$ ) If w is a letter in I, put  $\iota(w) = w$ .
- $\iota'$ ) If w = uv for non-empty Lyndon words u, v with u < v and v of maximal possible length, put  $\iota(w) := [\iota(u), \iota(v)].$

We claim that  $\{\iota(w)\}_{w\in I_L^*}$  is a basis for F(I).

- (a) Show that  $\iota(w) \in w + \sum_{w' > w} \mathbb{Z}w'$  for every Lyndon word w.
- (b) Show that  $\{\iota(w_1)\cdots\iota(w_l) \mid l \ge 0, w_1, ..., w_l \in I_L^*, w_1 \ge w_2 \ge ... \ge w_l\}$  is a basis for T(I); you may use here that any word w in I can be written in a unique way as the product  $w_1 \cdots w_l$  with Lyndon words  $w_1, ..., w_l$  satisfying  $w_1 \ge ... \ge w_l$ .
- (c) Use the PBW-Theorem and  $\mathcal{U}(F(I)) \cong T(I)$  to conclude that  $\{\iota(w)\}_{w \in I_L^*}$  is a basis for F(I).

(+4 points)